INSECTICIDE RESISTANCE AND ITS UNDERLYING MECHANISMS IN THE GERMAN COCKROACH, BLATTELLA GERMANICA (LINN.) (DICTYOPTERA: BLATTELLIDAE)

Chow-Yang Lee

School of Biological Sciences Universiti Sains Malaysia 11800 Penang, Malaysia

Abstrak: Tinjauan ini menyimpulkan kes kerintangan insektisid terpilih pada lipas Jerman yang pernah diterbitkan sejak tahun 1952, dengan menumpu kepada mekanisme kerintangannya (penurunan penembusan kutikel, monooxygenase, esterase, glutathion S-transferase, asetilkolinesterase terubah dan kerintangan jenis kdr). Setiap mekanisme kerintangan ini dibincangkan dengan menumpu kepada kes lipas Jerman yang telah dilaporkan. Satu ringkasan pendek mengenai beberapa aspek utama yang memerlukan penyelidikan mendalam juga disertakan.

Abstract: This review summarizes selected published cases of German cockroach resistance to insecticides since 1952, with emphasis on resistance mechanisms (reduced cuticular penetration, monooxygenase, esterase, glutathione S-transferase, altered aceytlcholinesterase and *kdr*-type resistance) that had been reported to date. Each resistance mechanisms is discussed with emphasis on cases reported for German cockroaches. A short summary on several priority research areas that warrants further studies is also included.

INTRODUCTION

"Whereas the presence of resistance was a rare phenomenon during the early 1950s, it is the fully susceptible population that is rare at present...." (Georghiou 1986).

Pesticide resistance is found in many living forms ranging from bacteria to plants and mammals (Georghiou & Mellon 1983). However, the worst case of pesticide resistance is insecticide resistance. According to the World Health Organization (1992), resistance is defined as "an inherited characteristic that imparts an increased tolerance to a pesticide, or group pesticides, such that the resistant individuals survive a concentration of the compound(s) that would normally be lethal to the species." The first case of insecticide resistance was observed in San Jose scale on apples in Washington State, USA where the insect became resistant to lime sulphur (Melander With the discovery of organic insecticides in the 1940s followed by extensive usage in the 1950s, cases of insecticide resistance have increased each year since then. Today, more than 504 species of insects have been reported as being resistant to insecticides. There has been more cyclodienes and DDT resistance than resistance to any other group of insecticides (organophosphates, carbamates and pyrethroids), probably owing to their long persistence in the environment (Georghiou 1990).

The impact of insecticide resistance can range from increases in cost of control programme to total control failures by chemical tools (Moberg 1990). An example of control cost increase is in the international malaria control programme. Due to the DDT resistance in many anopheline populations around the world, the replacement of DDT by malathion has increased the cost by 6- to 7-folds. Replacement with other organophosphates, carbamates and pyrethroids cost even more! Due to these financial constraints, DDT has not been replaced completely; thus human morbidity and mortality due to ineffective control are still on the increase (World Health Organization 1992).

HISTORY OF INSECTICIDE RESISTANCE IN THE GERMAN COCKROACH

The German cockroach, Blattella germanica (L.) is an import nt urban insect pest in many parts of the world. Control of this pest species relies heavily on the use of neurotoxic insecticides. Extensive usage of insecticides has led to the development of insecticide resistance in the German cockroach. The first case of German cockroach resistance to insecticide was detected in Corpus Christi, Texas, USA in 1952, where a strain was found to be resistant to chlordane at >100-fold by the glass jar method (Heal et al. 1953). Subsequently, resistance to other organochlorines such as DDT, dieldrin and lindane were also noted in many strains of the German cockroach in many parts of the world (Cornwell 1976). Resistance to organophosphates and carbamates in the German cockroach was first detected in the 1960s. Failure to achieve satisfactory control with pyrethroids was observed in the 1980s when expermethrin no longer provided effective control against German cockroaches in apartments (Schal 1988, Zhai & Robinson 1991). A thorough resistance study on 45 strains of the German cockroach from all over the USA against various insecticides was reported by Cochran (1989). Rust & Reierson (1991) trapped and studied chlorpyrifos resistance level in German cockroaches from 35 restaurants in California, USA. They found that resistance level (at LD50) ranged from 4.2 to 29.7. The Village Green strain, which was collected from Gainesville, Florida, USA, demonstrated high pyrethroid resistance ranging from 29 to 337-fold when tested against 10 pyrethroids (Atkinson et al. 1991).

In Malaysia, the German cockroach is the major insect pest in hotels and food preparative industries (Lee et al. 1993). Broad spectrum resistance in twelve field collected strains where resistance were low to high for carbamates, low for organophosphates and low to moderate for pyrethroids were reported lately (Lee 1995, Lee et al. 1994, Lee et al. 1995. Lee et al. 1996a). Recently, Lee et al. (1997) reported malathion and bendiocarb resistance in two strains of German cockroach collected from food outlets in Kuala Lumpur, Malaysia.

To avoid repetition of citation in this review, a summary of selected cases of insecticide resistance in the German cockroach documented from 1953 to 1997 is presented in Table 1. This summary excludes reports in which field-collected cockroaches have been subjected to laboratory selection pressure (eg. Scott et al. 1990, Siegfried & Scott 1991, Bull & Patterson 1993, Hemingway et al. 1993a, 1993b).

RESISTANCE MECHANISMS IN THE GERMAN COCKROACH

The mechanism of insecticide resistance in insects can be divided into physiological and behavioural resistance. Physiological resistance occurs when biochemical/ physiological-related mechanisms are involved in reducing the efficacy of an insecticide. On the other hand, the ability of insects to avoid lethal insecticide exposures due to the nature of or changes in their behaviour is classified as behavioural resistance. Only physiological resistance mechanisms is reviewed in this paper. Prior to this paper, a good review on the subject had been reported by Siegfried and Scott (1992).

There are three general resistance mechanisms which can be classified as physiological resistance: reduced cuticular penetration; increased metabolic detoxification and target site insensitivity.

Reduced cuticular penetration

Reduced cuticular penetration confers low level of resistance in insects, usually less than three-fold (Scott 1990). This mechanism was first noted by Fine et al. (1963). mechanism Generally. this protection against a wide variety insecticides (Plapp & Hoyer 1968). ever, it is the least understood and considered as the least significant single (Soderlund mechanism resistance Bloomquist 1990).

In the German cockroach, Siegfried & Scott (1991) found that penetration of [14C]-propoxur into the body was reduced when the chemical was applied topically in a propoxur-resistant strain. A highly

pyrethroid-resistant strain. Village Green, was also found to exhibit this mechanism where the penetration rate of [14C]-permethrin was found to be slower than that of the susceptible strain (Bull & Patterson 1993, Anspaugh et al. 1994). In another report, no difference in [14C]-chlorpyrifos penetration rate was demonstrated in susceptible and chlorpyrifos-resistant cockroaches (Siegfried et al. 1990).

Increased metabolic detoxication

Increased metabolic detoxication is the most common resistance mechanism in insects. The most conclusive way to study this type of resistance mechanism is through in vivo and in vitro metabolism studies using radio-labeled insecticides (Scott 1990). In the German cockroach, several studies utilizing this technique have been reported (Bull et al. 1989, Siegfried et al. 1990, Siegfried & Scott 1991, Bull & Patterson 1993, Anspaugh et al. 1994).

However, if radio-scintillation facilities are not readily available, increased metabolism can be studied by performing enzyme assays. With enzyme assays, a specified centrifugal fraction or purified sample from susceptible and resistant insects is assayed against model substrates and then compared on the basis of product/min/mg protein. This method, however, will not provide direct evidence for the resistance mechanism involved (Scott 1990).

Three groups of metabolic enzymes which are involved in detoxication of insecticides:

Monooxygenases

Monooxygenase or mixed function oxidase (older nomenclature) systems play an important role in detoxication of many groups of insecticides (ie carbamates, pyrethroids and organophosphates) in both mammals and insects (Agosin & Perry 1974, Hodgson 1983). They catalyze a series of oxidative reactions where one atom of an oxygen molecule is reduced to water, while the other atom is incorporated into its substrate (Hodgson 1983). They are located

in the microsomes and can be obtained by centrifuging postmitochondrial homogenates at 100,000g for 60 minutes. Monooxygenases usually require NADPH and O₂ for activity and are inhibited by CO (Agosin & Perry 1974).

The most important component of a monoxygenase system is cytochrome P450 (Agosin & Perry 1974). This name is derived from the prominent peak at or about 450 nm in the CO optical difference spectrum (Omura & Sato 1964). In insects, the activity of cytochrome P450 has been demonstrated in the midgut, fat body and malphigian tubules with the midgut being the site of greatest activity (Hodgson 1983). Reactions catalyzed by cytochrome P450 include epoxidation, aromatic ring hydroxylation, O- and N-dealkylation, N-methyl hydroxylation, oxidation of thioester aphosphorothioates and hydroxylation of aliphatic hydrocarbons.

Cytochrome P450 monooxygenases usually prefer to metabolize lipophilic substances into products with high water solubility. hence promoting excretion (Soderlund & Bloomquist 1990). It has been suggested that foreign compounds will initially form a complex with the oxidised form cytochrome P450; this complex is then reduced by one electron from NADPH. The reduced cytochrome P450/ substrate complex then reacts with and activates O2. resulting oxygenated complex break and form an end-product and water. flavoproteins, (ie NADPH-cytochrome P450 reductase and cytochrome b, are responsible for the electron transfer [Wilkinson 1983, Soderlund & Bloomquist 1990).

This resistance mechanism can be overcome by the action of methyle-nedioxyphenyl (MDP) synergists (eg piperonyl butoxide [PBO] and sesamex) which block the monooxygenases. The presence of these synergists can revert the level of resistance in resistant strains to a level approaching that of the susceptible strain (Sun & Johnson 1960, Casida 1970).

T (time) 8.2 T (dose) 4.3 SC (time) 10-12 SC (time) 5-14 T (dose) 28-33 T (dose) 14-16	21 14.4 322 452 >218	Texas, USA, U.S.A. U.S.A France & Germany California, USA Hawaii, USA Japan Lousiana, USA Canada Baltimore, USA Muncie, IN, USA Texas, USA U.S.A	Heal et al. (1953) Keller et al. (1956) Lofgren et al. (1957) Webb (1961) Micks (1960) Ishii & Sherman (1965) Yasutomi et al. (1966) Bennett & Spink (1968 Batth (1977) Nelson & Wood (1982) Scharf et al. (1996) Heal et al. (1953)
SC (time) 16-1 SC (time) 30 SC (time) 1.2- SC (time) >25 T (dose) 152- T (dose) 117- T (time) 8.2 T (dose) 4.3 SC (time) 10-12 SC (time) 5-14 T (dose) 28-30 T (dose) 14-16	21 14.4 322 452 >218	U.S.A. U.S.A France & Germany California, USA Hawaii, USA Japan Lousiana, USA Canada Baltimore, USA Muncie, IN, USA Texas, USA U.S.A	Keller et al. (1956) Lofgren et al. (1957) Webb (1961) Micks (1960) Ishii & Sherman (1965) Yasutomi et al. (1966) Bennett & Spink (1968) Batth (1977) Nelson & Wood (1982) Scharf et al. (1996) Heal et al. (1953)
SC (time) 16-1 SC (time) 30 SC (time) 1.2- SC (time) >25 T (dose) 152- T (dose) 117- T (time) 8.2 T (dose) 4.3 SC (time) 10-12 SC (time) 5-14 T (dose) 28-30 T (dose) 14-16	21 14.4 322 452 >218	U.S.A. U.S.A France & Germany California, USA Hawaii, USA Japan Lousiana, USA Canada Baltimore, USA Muncie, IN, USA Texas, USA U.S.A	Keller et al. (1956) Lofgren et al. (1957) Webb (1961) Micks (1960) Ishii & Sherman (1965) Yasutomi et al. (1966) Bennett & Spink (1968) Batth (1977) Nelson & Wood (1982) Scharf et al. (1996) Heal et al. (1953)
SC (time) 30 SC (time) 1.2- SC (time) >25 T (dose) 152- T (dose) 117- T (time) 8.2 T (dose) 4.3 SC (time) 10-12 SC (time) 5-14 T (dose) 28-30 T (dose) 14-16	14.4 322 452 >218	U.S.A France & Germany California, USA Hawaii, USA Japan Lousiana, USA Canada Baltimore, USA Muncie, IN, USA Texas, USA U.S.A	Lofgren et al. (1957) Webb (1961) Micks (1960) Ishii & Sherman (1965) Yasutomi et al. (1966) Bennett & Spink (1968) Batth (1977) Nelson & Wood (1982) Scharf et al. (1996) Heal et al. (1953)
SC (time) 1.2- SC (time) >25 T (dose) 152- T (dose) 117- T (dose) 16- T (time) 8.2 T (dose) 4.3 SC (time) 10-12 SC (time) 5-14 T (dose) 28-30 T (dose) 14-16	322 452 >218 2	France & Germany California, USA Hawaii, USA Japan Lousiana, USA Canada Baltimore, USA Muncie, IN, USA Texas, USA U.S.A	Webb (1961) Micks (1960) Ishii & Sherman (1965) Yasutomi et al. (1966) Bennett & Spink (1968) Batth (1977) Nelson & Wood (1982) Scharf et al. (1996) Heal et al. (1953)
SC (time) >25 T (dose) 152-1 T (dose) 117-1 T (dose) 16-1 T (time) 8.2 T (dose) 4.3 SC (time) 10-12 SC (time) 5-14 T (dose) 28-39 T (dose) 14-16	322 452 >218 2	California, USA Hawaii, USA Japan Lousiana, USA Canada Baltimore, USA Muncie, IN, USA Texas, USA U.S.A	Micks (1960) Ishii & Sherman (1965) Yasutomi et al. (1966) Bennett & Spink (1968) Batth (1977) Nelson & Wood (1982) Scharf et al. (1996) Heal et al. (1953)
T (dose) 152-3 T (dose) >42 T (dose) 117-4 T (dose) 16-3 T (time) 8.2 T (dose) 4.3 SC (time) 10-12 SC (time) 5-14 T (dose) 14-16	452 >218 2	Hawaii, USA Japan Lousiana, USA Canada Baltimore, USA Muncie, IN, USA Texas, USA U.S.A	Ishii & Sherman (1965) Yasutomi et al. (1966) Bennett & Spink (1968) Batth (1977) Nelson & Wood (1982) Scharf et al. (1996) Heal et al. (1953)
T (dose) >42 T (dose) 117 T (dose) 16 - 3 T (time) 8.2 T (dose) 4.3 SC (time) 10-12 SC (time) 5-14 T (dose) 28-33 T (dose) 14-16	452 >218 2	Japan Lousiana, USA Canada Baltimore, USA Muncie, IN, USA Texas, USA U.S.A	Yasutomi et al. (1966) Bennett & Spink (1968) Batth (1977) Nelson & Wood (1982) Scharf et al. (1996) Heal et al. (1953)
T (dose) 117 T (dose) 16 - : T (time) 8.2 T (dose) 4.3 SC (time) 10-12 SC (time) 5-14 T (dose) 28-39 T (dose) 14-16	>218 2 9	Lousiana, USA Canada Baltimore, USA Muncie, IN, USA Texas, USA U.S.A	Bennett & Spink (1968 Batth (1977) Nelson & Wood (1982) Scharf et al. (1996) Heal et al. (1953)
T (dose) 16 - 3 T (time) 8.2 T (dose) 4.3 SC (time) 10-12 SC (time) 5-14 T (dose) 28-33 T (dose) 14-16	>218 2 9	Canada Baltimore, USA Muncie, IN, USA Texas, USA U.S.A	Batth (1977) Nelson & Wood (1982) Scharf et al. (1996) Heal et al. (1953)
T (time) 8.2 T (dose) 4.3 SC (time) 10-12 SC (time) 5-14 T (dose) 28-39 T (dose) 14-10	2	Baltimore, USA Muncie, IN, USA Texas, USA U.S.A	Nelson & Wood (1982) Scharf et al. (1996) Heal et al. (1953)
T (dose) 4.3 SC (time) 10-12 SC (time) 5-14 T (dose) 28-33 T (dose) 14-16	9	Muncie, IN, USA Texas, USA U.S.A	Scharf et al. (1996) Heal et al. (1953)
SC (time) 10-12 SC (time) 5-14 T (dose) 28-39 T (dose) 14-16	9	Texas, USA U.S.A	Heal et al. (1953)
SC (time) 5-14 T (dose) 28-39 T (dose) 14-16	9	U.S.A	
T (dose) 28-39 T (dose) 14-16	9		Valley of -1 (1056)
T (dose) 14-16			Keller et al. (1956)
20 27 2008 (1.10)	St.	Hawaii, USA	Ishii & Sherman (1965)
SC (time)	6	Japan	Yasutomi et al. (1966)
SC (time) 9-17		U.S.A	Keller et al. (1956)
	0 = >48 h	England	Gradidge (1960)
SC (time) 35	ECOLOTIA PRINT	Canada	Anonymous (1961)
T (dose) 2000	i	Denmark	Keiding (1964)
	>750	Japan	Yasutomi et al. (1966)
T (dose) > 54		London, UK	Cornwell (1968)
SC (time 5-6		Texas, USA	Heal et al. (1953)
20 March 19 20 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	2		Webb (1961)
			Ishii & Sherman (1965)
T (dose) >6		Malaysia	Lee et al. (1996a)
SC (time) 1.5 -	3.0	Germany & France	Webb (1961)
			Johnston et al. (1964)
2 4 A 2 C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C			Ishii & Sherman (1965)
			Grayson (1965)
			Bennett & Spink (1968
			Batth (1977)
			Cochran (1989)
	(15 Of 45 strains)		Nelson & Wood (1982)
	- 41.1	Malaysia	Lee et al. (1997)
SC (dose) 3 - 1	15 (at 1 C90)	Kentucky IISA	Grayson (1965)
			Johnston et al. (1964)
			Grayson (1965) Repnett & Spink (1968)
	3		Bennett & Spink (1968) Cornwell (1968)
			Batth (1977) Nelson & Wood (1982)
	SC (time) 4 - 1 T (dose) 4 - 7 T (dose) >6 SC (time) 5.1 - 1 T (dose) 6.8 - 1 SC (dose) 2.2 - 1 T (dose) 7 - 1 T (dose) 3 - 4 SC (time) 6.5 SC (time) 1.9 - 1 SC (dose) 3 - 8 T (dose) 3 - 8	SC (time) 4 - 12 T (dose) 4 - 7 T (dose) >6 SC (time) 5.1 - 11.2 (at LT ₉₀) T (dose) 6.8 - 8.5 SC (dose) 2.2 - 12.8 T (dose) 7 - 110 T (dose) 3 - 4 SC (time) >60 (13 of 45 strains) SC (time) 6.5 SC (time) 1.9 - 41.1 SC (dose) 3 - 15 (at LC90) SC (time) 1.3 (at LT90) SC (dose) 3 - 8 T (dose) 3.8 T (dose) 3.8 T (dose) 2 - 4	SC (time) 4 - 12 Germany & France T (dose) 4 - 7 Hawaii, USA T (dose) >6 Malaysia SC (time) SC (time) SC (time) SC (time) SC (dose) T (dose) T (dose) T (dose) T (dose) T (dose) SC (time) T (dose) T (dose) T (dose) T (dose) SC (time) SC (time) SC (time) T (dose) T (

Class	Assessment	Resistance ratio	Location	Reference
insecticide method	SC (time)	1.9	New Jersey, USA	Schal (1988)
	T (dose)	1 - 2	Denmark	Vagn-Jensen (1993)
	T (dose)	3.4	Muncie, IN, USA	Scharf et al. (1996)
	1 (0030)	345 (T.W.	Francic, 111, OSA	Seminar of an (1990)
chlorpyrifos	T (dose)	1.7 - 2.3	Canada	Batth (1977)
	(time)	1.3	New Jersey, USA	Schal (1988)
	SC (time)	3 - 5 (3 of 45 strains)	U.S.A	Cochran (1989)
	T (dose)	4 - 25	California, USA	Rust & Reierson (1991)
	T (dose)	1 - 4	Denmark	Vagn-Jensen (1993)
	T (dose)	1 - 31	UK. USA	Chapman et al (1993)
	T (dose)	2 - 8	Malaysia	Lee et al. (1996a)
	T (dose)	7	USA	Valles & Yu (1996)
	T (dose)	3.7 - 8.3	Muncie, IN, USA	Scharf et al. (1996)
fenthion	LC (dose)	3 - 8	Texas, USA	Grayson (1965)
	T (dose)	8 - 11	Lousiana, USA	Bennett & Spink (1968)
	1 (dose)	0 - 11	Lousiana, USA	Defined & Spink (1908)
fenitrothion	T (dose)	1.3 - 3.7	UK and USA	Chapman et al. (1993).
Carbamates				
bendiocarb	SC (time)	> 60 (32 of 45 strains)	U.S.A	Cochran (1989)
	SC (time)	8 - 11	England	Barson & McCheyne (1979
	SC (time)	94	Baltimore, USA	Nelson & Wood (1982)
	SC (time)	> 100	New Jersey, USA	Schal (1988)
	T (dose)	1.4 - 7.9	UK, USA	Chapman et al. (1993).
	T (dose)	>63	Malaysia	Lee et al. (1996a)
	T (dose)	46	USA	Valles & Yu (1996)
	SC (time)	1.6 - 4.8	Malaysia	Lee et al. (1997)
	T (dose)	10.6	Muncie, IN, USA	Scharf et al. (1996)
	SC (time)	5.1 – 13.3	Malaysia	Lee et al. (In press)
propoxur	T (dose)	2 - 15	Lousiana, USA	Bennett & Spink (1968)
IMESSAGE PROPERTY	T (dose)	2 - 8	Canada	Batth (1977)
	SC (time)	13.3	Baltimore, USA	Nelson & Wood (1982)
	SC (time)	> 100	New Jersey, USA	Schal (1988)
	SC (time)	> 60 (2 of 45 strains)	U.S.A	Cochran (1989)
	T (dose)	1.4 - 10	UK, USA	Chapman et al. (1993)
	T (dose)	3 - 90	Malaysia	Lee et al. (1996a)
	T (dose)	17	USA	Valles & Yu (1996)
	T (dose)	4.0	Muncie, IN, USA	Scharf et al. (1996)
	SC (time)	5.4 - 11.5	Malaysia	Lee et al. (In press)
Pyrethroids				
pyrethrin	SC (time)	3 - 31	U.S.A.	Keller et al. (1956)
produm	SC (time)	> 80 (20 of 45 strains)	U.S.A	Cochran (1989)
	T (dose)	43.4 - 98.8	UK, USA	Chapman et al. (1993)
cypermethrin	SC (time)	4.5	New Jersey, USA	Schal (1988)
2, perimenta in	T (dose)	103.6	Florida, USA	Atkinson et al (1991b)

(Table 1 continued)

Class	Assessment	Resistance ratio	Place	Reference	
insecticide method	d 🛶		95344		
	T (dose)	180	Roanoke, VA, USA Zhai & Robinson (1991)		
	T (dose)	11.6 - 29.1	UK, USA	Chapman et al. (1993)	
	T (dose)	1 - 22	Malaysia	Lee et al. (1996a)	
	T (dose)	28	USA	Valles & Yu (1996)	
	T (dose)	3.5 - 4.2	Muncie, IN, USA	Scharf et al. (1996)	
	SC (time)	1.5 – 3.6	Malaysia	Lee et al. (In press)	
deltamethrin	T (dose)	20	Australia	Horwood et al. (1991)	
	T (dose)	2 - 31	Denmark	Vagn-Jensen (1993).	
	T (dose)	6 - 24	Malaysia	Lee et al. (1996a)	
permethrin	SC (time)	>100 (1 of 45 strains)	USA	Cochran (1989)	
	T (dose)	45	Florida, USA	Atkinson et al. (1991b)	
	T (dose)	3 - 57	Denmark	Vagn-Jensen (1993)	
	T (dose)	1 - 15	Malaysia	Lec et al. (1996a)	
	T (dose)	12	USA	Valles & Yu (1996)	
	T (dose)	2.2	Muncie, IN, USA	Scharf et al. (1996)	
	SC (dose)	1.9 - 3.2	Malaysia	Lee et al. (In press)	
allethrin	SC (time)	>100 (7 of 45 strains)	USA	Cochran (1989)	
phenothrin	SC (time)	> 80 (3 of 45 strains)	USA	Cochran (1989)	
	T (dose)	13 - 52	Malaysia	Lee et al. (1996a)	
fenvalerate	SC (time)	> 60 (1 of 45 strains)	USA .	Cochran (1989)	
	T (dose)	97.7	Florida, USA	Atkinson et al. (1991b)	
cyfluthrin	SC (time)	5 - 6 (1 of 45 strains)	USA	Cochran (1989)	
	T (dose)	87.5	Florida, USA	Atkinson et al. (1991b)	
	T (dose)	3.0	Muncie, IN, USA	Scharf et al. (1996)	

SC = surface contact; T = topical application.

Multiple forms of cytochrome P450 monooxygenases have been reported. Evidence showed that different inducing agents cause synthesis of different forms of cytochrome P450 monooxygenases varying catalytic and structural properties (Lu et al. 1976, Coon et al. 1977). They are also not equally susceptible to inhibition by PBO or other enzyme inhibitors. Therefore, lack of synergism when using any MDP compound does not imply an absence of metabolism (Soderlund oxidative Bloomquist 1990).

· Resistance due to cytochrome P450 monooxygenase is common in the German cockroach. Propoxur and chlopyrifos resistance in Baygon-R and Dursban-R strains, respectively were suppressed partially with PBO and confirmed with higher rates of NADPH-dependent microsomal metabolism of [14C]-propoxur and [14C]-chlorpyrifos (Siegfried et al. 1990, Siegfried & Scott Further studies showed that the Baygon-R strain possessed 1.6x more total cytochrome P450 than a susceptible strain, but no difference was found in cytochrome b, and NADPH-cytochrome c-reductase in both strains. This suggested that the latter two components were not involved in the resistance mechanism (Siegfried & Scott 1992). Hemingway et al. (1993b) studied 30 pyrethroid-resistant strains from three continents and found elevated levels of total cytochrome P450 in 15 strains. Scharf et al. (1996, 1997) also reported increased levels of cytochrome P450 in two strains of German cockroaches collected from a housing project in Indiana, USA. Valles et al. (1996) reported higher monooxygenase activity in late nymphs as compared to adult males which contributed to stage-dependent tolerance the German propoxur in cockroach.

The use of PBO to characterize the possible involvement of increased oxidative metabolism as a resistance mechanism has also been reported in strains of the German cockroach which are carbamate- and organophosphate-resistant (Cochran 1987, Scott et al. 1990, Chapman et al. 1993, Hemingway et al. 1993a, Lee et al. 1996) and pyrethroid-resistant (Scott et al. 1990, Atkinson et al. 1991, Chapman et al. 1993, Hemingway et al. 1993b, Cochran 1994a.

Lee et al. 1996a, Valles & Yu 1996, Valles et al. 1996).

Esterases

Esterases hydrolyze carboxylester phosphorotriester bonds, mainly in OP and CARB insecticides. Like monooxygenases, they exist in multiple forms (Soderlund & Bloomquist 1990). Isozymes are separable with electrophoretic techniques and can also be detected by spectrophotometric assays using model substrates (eg naphthyl acetate) (Scott 1990). These isozymes can be further characterized by using selective inhibitors after electrophoresis and prior to visualization, eg eserine, sodium flouride and cupric sulphate (Sudderuddin & Tan 1973, Setakana 1989, Lim & Tan 1993, Prabhakaran & Kamble 1993).

Two groups of esterases involved in the detoxication of insecticides are carboxylesterases and arylesterases. Carboxylesterases are the most important group in organophosphate and carbamate resistance. These enzymes cleave one or both ethyl ester groups in malathion, leaving it as a mono or diacid (Brattsten 1990). activity is so specific that it does not hydrolyze any other phosphoester bond. Arylesterases catalyze the hydrolysis of OP oxons, but do not hydrolyze the parent insecticides. phosphorothioate mechanism, however, is quite rare in insects (Brown 1990).

Since many organophosphates inhibit serine hydrolases by phosphorylation, they potential inhibitors of esterases (Brattsten 1990). Some relatively non-toxic organophosphates [eg S,S,S-tributylphosphorotrithioate (DEF®) and S-benzyl O,Odiisopropyl phosphorothionate (IBP)] gan act as inhibitors of esterase because they cause irreversible or slowly reversible inhibition (Soderlund & Bloomquist 1990). Another compound, triphenyl phosphate (TPP) can also serve as an inhibitor against malathion-carboxylesterase. Dong & Scott (1992) compared fifteen compounds as synergists for chlorpyrifos and found that substituted N,N-dimethylcarbamates (SK-37 and SK-102) performed better than DEF in reducing the resistance levels of a chlorpyrifos-resistant strain.

Elevated esterase has been reported as a common resistance mechanism in the German cockroach. Chlorpyrifos and propoxur resistance in the Dursban-R and Baygon-R strains, respectively, partially suppressed with DEF®. This was confirmed with in vitro metabolism studies using [14C]-chlorpyrifos and [14C]-propoxur where high levels of hydrolytic activity were also detected in both strains (Siegfried et al. 1990, Siegfried & Scott 1991). Further study revealed that esterases in both strains showed greater hydrolytic activity against α-naphthyl propionate and anaphthyl butyrate when a series of αnaphtolic ester substrates with varying alkyl chain length were assayed (Siegfried & Scott 1992).

Hemingway et al. (1993a) had conducted biochemical esterase assays on resistant strains of the cockroach from 3 continents and found 11 strains had elevated esterase activities. This finding was confirmed with synergism studies using DEF^a. Scott et al. (1990) partially reduced the bendiocarb resistance level in two German cockroach strains (Kenly and Rutgers) with DEF®; however, they were not successful in suppressing pyrethrin and cypermethrin resistance with the same synergist in Kenly and Ectiban-R strains, respectively.

Prabhakaran & Kamble (1993) found two resistant strains of the German cockroach with higher hydrolytic activity than the susceptible strains when using pnitrophenyl acetate (PNPA) as a model substrate. Ten esterase bands (E, to E,) by electrophoresis separated characterized with selective inhibitors suggested that E, - E, were cholinesterases. E, and E, were phosphatases and E, - E, were carboxylesterases. Further studies using differential centrifugal fractions showed that soluble enzymes (the cytosolic fraction) are responsible for most of the total esterase activities in the German cockroach, although esterases are also found at microsomal level (Prabhakaran & Kamble 1994). Recently, the authors purified three isoenzymes (E, E, and E,) column chromatography and preparative gel electrophoresis. suggested that insecticide resistance in the strain of German cockroach studied is due to overproduction of esterase E6 which sequesters rather than hydrolyzes the insecticide (Prabhakaran & Kamble 1995). A summary of their three earlier papers was reported recently (Prabhakaran & Kamble 1996).

In Malaysia, possible involvement of elevated esterase in ten resistant strains of field collected German cockroaches was documented (Lee et al. 1996a). Four strains demonstrating low to high propoxur resistance levels were then chosen and further studied using biochemical enzyme assays and native polyacrylamide gel electrophoresis. Elevated esterase activity in all four resistant strains were confirmed and these activities were also wellcorrelated with propoxur resistance levels. Seven bands were also detected by native polyacrylamide gel electrophoresis, with bands E₁, E₅ and E₇ more intensely stained. This indicated that these esterase isozymes were overproduced. Inhibition studies with selective inhibitors suggested that belongs to the cholinesterase group, while E, and E, were carboxylesterases (Lee 1995, Lee et al. 1996b, Lee et al. In review). More recently. Lee et al. (in press) detected elevated esterase activity in five resistant strains of German cockroach using modified Pasteur-Georghiou's filter paper method which is simple and economical. However. sensitivity for detecting esterase resistance is lower than that of the microplate technique.

As practically all pyrethroids are esters, esterases have also been shown to cause pyrethroid resistance by hydrolysis of the central carboxylester bond in pyrethroids. although this is very rare (Casida et al. 1983, Soderlund et al. 1983). In the cattle tick (Boophilus microplus), resistance to permethrin and cypermethrin appeared to be partially due to elevated esterase activity (Schnitzerling et al. 1982). Riskallah (1983) observed low levels of pyrethroid resistance in Spodoptera littoris in Egypt, which were correlated with enhanced hydrolysis of a-Dowd et al. (1987) naphthyl acetate. reported complete synergism of transpermethrin by profenofos (serving as a synergist) in a resistant strain of H. In M. domestica, esterase virescens.

activity was found to confer low level of resistance to pyrethrin and pyrethoids (Sawicki et al. 1984).

In the German cockroach, permethrin resistance level in a strain from Osaka, Japan, did not decline with the addition of PBO, but reverted with NIA 16388, a monooxygenase and esterase inhibitor, suggesting the possible involvement of esterase (Umeda et al. 1988). Unselected Village Green German cockroaches showed partial elimination of cypermethrin and permethrin resistance with DEF*, suggesting the involvement of esterase in the resistance mechanism (Atkinson et al. 1991). This was later confirmed by Anspaugh et al. (1994) who found elevated esterase activity (1.7 - 2.4x) in this strain.

Glutathione S-transferase (GST)

has not been associated insecticide resistance in as many cases as monooxygenases or esterases (Dauterman 1983). GST catalyzes the nucleophilic of attack the endogenous tripeptide glutathione (Brattsten 1990, Soderlund & Bloomquist 1990). Principally, these enzymes catalyze o-dealkylation of OP (both phosphorothioates and oxon analogues). They also catalyze dehydrochlorination of DDT to DDE (Clark & Shamaan 1984). Like monooxygenases and esterases, GST exists in multiple forms (Clark & Dauterman 1982. Clark et al. 1984).

Elevated GST is not a common cause of resistance in the German cockroach. Babers & Roan (1953) found that in the Corpus Christi strain, DDT was dehydrochlorinated to DDE and other metabolites at a faster rate when compared to a susceptible strain. Hemingway et al. (1993a) found that only 7 out of 15 strains from 3 continents had elevated GST activity. Anspaugh (1994) found a 1.6-fold elevated GST activity in the Village Green strain when compared to that of a susceptible In addition, two resistant strains from Malaysia (Melia II and HangTuah) also demonstrated elevated GST activity, but at low frequencies (Lee 1995, Lee et al. 1996b, Lee et al. In press).

Target site insensitivity

Besides reduced cuticular penetration and increased metabolism, insecticide resistance may also due to an insensitive target site. Reduced sensitivity to insecticides due to modification of target site can be divided into: (1) altered acetylcholinesterase (AChE) which confers resistance to organophosphates and carbamates. (2) knockdown resistance (kdr-type), where insects become insensitive to DDT and pyrethroids.

Altered AChE

Altered AChE, which becomes insensitive to organophosphate and carbamate inhibitions was first reported spider in mites. Tetranychus urticae (Smissaert 1964). Subsequently, altered AChE has been found in several species of insects including green rice leaf hopper (Hama & Iwata 1971, 1978). mosquitoes (Ayad & Georghiou Raymond et al. 1986, Hemingway 1986), house flies (Tripathi & O'Brien 1973) army worm (Yu 1991). comprehensive reviews on the subject have since been published (Hama 1983, Fournier & Mutero 1994).

Modification at the active site of AChE will cause carbamylation or phosphorylation of the active site serine to be less effective (Fournier & Mutero 1994). Method of studying AChE activity generally follows that of Ellman et al. (1961). containing AChE is assayed against acetylthiocholine (ATCh) as substrate and the rate of thiocholine production is measured. This is made possible with the reaction between thiocholine and dithio-bisnitrobenzoic acid (DTNB) which produces vellow-coloured thionitrobenzoic acid.

Like many other metabolic enzymes, altered AChE exists in multiple forms (Tripathi & O'Brien 1973. Devonshire & Moores 1984a, 1984b). Altered AChE has serious implications for resistance management because: (1) there is no suitable synergist available to inhibit the altered enzyme, and (2) cross-resistance to other insecticides with the same mode of action (Brattsten 1990).

mechanism. however, is not common in the German cockroach. date, only three publications has reported altered AChE in the German cockroach. Hemingway et al. (1993a) found only one of 15 strains studied to possess altered AChE. This strain (Dubai) showed low organophosphate and high carbamate resistance. Lee et al. (1997) detected two strains of German cockroaches from food outlets with AChE insensitivity. In four strains of resistant German cockroaches from hotels and restaurants, low frequencies of altered AChE were detected (Lee 1995. Lee et al. 1996b, Lee et al. In press). Altered AChE was not found in an earlier study (Siegfried & Scott 1990).

Knockdown resistance (kdr-type)

Insensitivity to DDT and pyrethroids due to kdr-type resistance has been observed in many insects such as German cockroaches (Scott & Matsumura 1981, 1983, Umeda et al. 1988. Bull & Patterson Hemingway et al. 1993b. Anspaugh et al. 1994), house flies (DeVries & Georghiou 1981. Ahn et al. 1986, Scott & Georghiou 1986), mosquitoes (Omer et al. 1980. Priester & Georghiou 1980, Breadley et al. 1984, Hemingway et al. 1989a, Umeda et al. 1990), diamond-back moths (Liu et al. 1982) and predatory mites Amblyseius fallacis (Scott et al. 1983). To date, three reviews on kdr-type resistance in insects have been published (Miller et al. 1983, Shono 1985, Scott & Dong 1994).

characteristics of kdr-type resistance include: (1) reduced sensitivity to DDT/pyrethroids in neurophysiological studies (Scott & Matsumura 1981, Umeda et al. 1988), (2) resistance to all pyrethroids (Scott & Matsumura 1983), and (3) failure of any synergist to increase toxicity of DDT and pyrethroids. However, it was found recently that formamidine compounds (eg chlormediform and Amitraz) can act as synergists putative target site for pyrethroids (Liu & Plapp 1992).

Although the incidence of kdr-type resistance has been reported extensively, its underlying molecular mechanism is still very much debated. Three hypotheses have been proposed: (1) changes in number of pyrethroid binding site on the voltage-

sensitive sodium channel (Chang & Plapp 1983, Rossignol 1988, Kasbekar & Hall 1988, Bull & Pryor 1990) or altered binding affinity (Salgado et al. 1983, Pauron et al. 1989, Dong & Scott 1991) or combination of both. (2) changes in lipid components of the neuronal membranes (Chiang & Devonshire 1982), and (3) altered Ca++ binding protein (Ca-ATPase) (Ghiasuddin et al. 1981).

The altered binding affinity due to structural changes in sodium channel seems to be the most likely mechanism with recent evidence that reduction in binding sites at the sodium channel is associated with metabolic resistance rather than to kdr-type resistance (Liu & Plapp 1991) and that modification of para-homologous sodium channels is associated with kdr-type resistance (Dong & Scott 1994).

In German cockroaches. a DDTresistant strain (VPIDLS) was first shown to be due to a target site insensitivity to DDT and permethrin. In this strain, the time requi-red to cause repetitive discharges by the two insecticides was longer than that of the susceptible strain (Scott & Matsumura 1981). After 3 generations of DDT selection. VPIDLS showed cross resistance to all pyrethroids tested (Scott & Matsumura 1983). Following that, Umeda et al. (1988) collected a strain of German cockroach Osaka, Japan, which resistance to DDT and 7 pyrethroids and exhibited kdr-type resistance (based on electrophysiological studies on the central nervous system). The Village Green strain. which demonstrated resistance to pyrethroids (Atkinson et al. 1991) was possess indirectly shown kdr-tvpe to resistance based on results metabolism (Bull & Patterson 1993) and dose-knockdown studies (Anspaugh et al. 1994). Recently, Hemingway et al. (1993b) also demonstrated this resistance mechanism in two US and one Denmark pyrethroid-resistant strains of the German cockroach. Possible involvement of kdr-type resistance can also be deduced when resistance level to pyrethroids did not decrease in PBO or DEF-treated cockroaches (Atkinson et al. 1991, Lee et al. 1996a).

Conclusion and future research priorities Insecticide resistance status and underlying mechanisms in the German cockroach is a timely important topic that warrants more studies. Currently there is only a small pool of scientists working in this area. Except for USA, United Kingdom, Malaysia and Japan, there is a serious lack of information on the status of German cockroach resistance in other parts of the world. In addition, many of the studies reported also lack information on its underlying mechanisms, which is essential for constructing resistance management options.

There have been a serious lack of information in methods to overcome the development of insecticide resistance in the German cockroach. Although several laboratory studies have suggested the feasibility of insecticide rotation and mixture as possible solution to the problem (eg Scharf 1997), only a handful of studies actually possibility addressed its under situation (eg Scharf et al. 1997). Possible resistance development models can be constructed to provide a useful tool to pest control operators for proper and correct insecticide usage and rotation. In addition, the prospects of using insect growth regulators and insecticidal baits against insecticide resistant German cockroaches should also be looked into in the near future.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

The author is grateful to Dr Michael E Scharf (University of Nebraska, Lincoln) for his comments, suggestion and friendship, and Dr N L Chong and Mr L C Lee for their constructive criticisms on the manuscript.

REFERENCES

- Ahn Y J, Shono T & Fukami J (1986).
 Inheritance of pyrethroid resistance in a house fly strain from Denmark. J Pestic Sci 11: 591-596.
- Agosin M & Perry A S (1974). Microsomal mixed-function oxidases. In Physiology of insecta (Rockstein M, ed.). Academic Press, New York p. 539-596

- Anonymous (1961). Resistance of Canadian roaches to chlordane is finally proved. Pest Cont 29: 62.
- Anspaugh D D, Rose R L, Koehler P G, Hodgson E & Roe R M (1994). Multiple mechanisms of pyrethroid resistance in the German cockroach, Blattella germanica (L.). Pestic Biochem Physiol 50: 138-148.
- Atkinson T H, Koehler P G & Patterson R S (1991). Pyrethroid resistance and synergism in a field strain of the German cockroach (Dictyoptera: Blattellidae). J Econ Entomol 84: 1247-1250.
- Ayad H & Georghiou G P (1975). Resistance to organophosphates and carbamates in Anopheles albimanus based on reduced sensitivity of acetylcholinesterase. J Econ Entomol 68: 295-297.
- Babers F H & Roan C C (1953). The dehydrochlorination of DDT by resistant cockroaches. J Econ Entomol 46: 1105.
- Barson G & McCheyne N G (1979). A test for detecting resistance to bendiocarb in the German cockroach, Blattella germanica (L.). Int Pest Cont. 21: 42-43.
- Batth S S (1977). A survey of Canadian populations of the German cockroach for resistance to insecticides. Can Entomol 109: 49-52.
- Bennett G W & Spink W T (1968).
 Insecticide resistance of German cockroaches from various areas of Louisiana. J Econ Entomol 61: 426-431.
- Brattsten L B (1990). Resistance mechanisms to carbamate and organophosphate insecticides. In Managing resistance in agrochemicals (Green M B, LeBaron H M & Moberg W K, eds.). American Chemical Society, Washington DC. p 42-60.
- Breadley C J, Crampton P L, Chadwick P R & Rickett F E (1984). Resistance mechanisms to DDT and transpermethrin in Aedes aegypti. Pestic Sci 15: 121-132.
- Brown T M (1990). Biochemical and genetic mechanisms of insecticide resistance. In Managing resistance in agrochemicals (Green M B, LeBaron H M & Moberg W K, eds.). American

- Chemical Society, Washington DC. P 61-76.
- Bull D L & Pryor N W (1990).

 Characteristics of resistance in house flies subjected to long term concurrent selection with malathion and permethrin. Pestic Biochem Physiol 37: 101-105.
- Bull D L & Patterson R S (1993). Characterization of pyrethroid resistance in a strain of the German cockroach (Dictyoptera: Blattellidae). J Econ Entomol 86: 20-25.
- Bull D L, Wadleigh R W & Patterson R S (1989). Pharmacodynamics of malathion and carbaryl in susceptible and multiresistant German cockroaches (Dictyoptera: Blattellidae). J Econ Entomol 82: 1512-1519.
- Casida J E (1970). Mixed-function oxidase involvement in the biochemistry of insecticide synergists. J Agri Food Chem 18: 753-772.
- Casida J E, Gammon D W, Glickman A H & Lawrence L J (1983). Mechanisms of selective action of pyrethroid insecticides. Annu Rev Pharmacol Toxicol 23: 413-438
- Chang C P & Plapp F W Jr (1983). DDT and pyrethroids: receptor binding in relation to knockdown resistance (kdr) in the house fly. Pestic Biochem Physiol 20: 86-91.
- Chapman P.A, Learmount J & Pinniger D.B (1993). Insecticide resistance in Blattella germanica (L.) in the United Kingdom. In Proceeding of the First International Conference on Insect Pests in the Urban Environment (Widley K.B & Robinson W.H, eds.). BPCC Wheatons Ltd, Exeter. p 125-133.
- Chiang C L & Devonshire A L (1982). Changes in membrane phospholipids, identified by arrhenius plots of acetylcholinesterase and associated with pyrethroid resistance (kdr) in house flies (Musca domestica). Pestic Sci 13: 156-160.
- Clark A G & Dauterman W C (1982). The characterization by affinity chromatography of glutathione S-transferases from different strains of house fly. Pestic Biochem Physiol 17: 307-314.
- Clark A G & Shamaan N A (1984). Evidence that DDT-dehydrochlorinase from the house fly is a glutathione S-

- transferase. Pestic Biochem Physiol 22: 249-261.
- Clark A G, Shamaan N A, Dauterman W C & Hayaoka T (1984). Characterization of multiple glutathione S-transferases from the house fly, *Musca domestica* (L.). Pestic Biochem Physiol 25: 169-175.
- Cochran D G (1987). Effects of synergist on bendiocarb and pyrethrin resistance in the German cockroach (Dictyoptera: Blattellidae). J Econ Entomol 80: 728-732.
- Cochran D G (1989). Monitoring for insecticide resistance in field-collected strains of the German cockroach (Dictyoptera: Blattellidae). J Econ Entomol 82: 336-341.
- Coon M J, Vermillion J L, Vatsis K P, French J S, Dean W L & Haugen D A (1977). Biochemical studies on drug metabolism: Isolation of multiple forms of liver microsomal cytochrome P-450. In Drug metabolism concepts (Jerina D M, ed.). American Chemical Society Symposium Society, Washington DC.p. 46-71.
- Cornwell P B (1976). The cockroach. Insecticide and cockroach control. Volume II. Associated Business Programme, London. 557 pp.
- Dauterman W C (1983). Role of hydrolases and glutathione S-transferases in insecticide resistance. In Pest resistance to pesticides (Georghiou G P & Saito T, eds.). Plenum Press, New York p 229-247.
- Devonshire A L & Moores G D (1984a).

 Different forms of insensitive acetylcholinesterase in insecticide-resistant
 house flies (Musca domestica). Pestic
 Biochem Physiol 21: 336-340.
- Devonshire A L & Moores G D (1984b).

 Characterization of insecticide-sensitive acetylcholinesterase: microcomputer analysis of enzyme inhibition in homogenates of individual house fly (Musca domestica) heads. Pestic Biochem Physiol 21: 341-348.
- DeVries D H & Georghiou G P (1981).

 Decreased nerve sensitivity and decreased cuticular penetration as mechanisms of resistance to pyrethroids in a (1R)-trans-permethrinselected strain of the house fly. Pestic Biochem Physiol 15: 234-241.

- Dong K & Scott J G (1991). Neuropharmacology and genetics of kdr-type resistance in the German cockroach. Blattella germanica (L.). Pestic Biochem Physiol 41: 159-169.
- Dong K & Scott J G (1992). Synergism of chlorpyrifos against the German cockroach, Blattella germanica. Med Vet Entomol 6: 241-243.
- Dong K & Scott J G (1994). Linkage of kdrtype resistance and the para-homologus sodium channel gene in German cockroach (Blattella germanica). Insect Biochem Molec Biol 24: 647-654.
- Dowd K, Gagne C C & Sparks T C (1987). Enhanced pyrethroid hydrolysis in pyrethroid-resistant larvae of the tobacco budworm, *Heliothis virescens* (F.). Pestic Biochem Physiol 28: 9-16.
- Ellman G L, Courtney K D, Andres V Jr & Featherstone R M (1961). A new and rapid colorimetric determination of acetylcholinesterase activity. Biochem Pharmacol 7: 88-95.
- Fine B C, Godin P J & Thain E M (1963).

 Penetration of pyrethrin I labelled with C¹⁴ into susceptible and pyrethroid resistant house flies. Nature 199: 927-928.
- Fournier D & Mutero A (1994). Modification of acetylcholinesterase as a mechanism of resistance to insecticides. Comp Biochem Physiol 108C: 19-31.
- Georghiou G P (1986). In Pesticide resistance: Strategies and tactics for management (Glass E H. ed.). National Academy Press, Washington DC p 14-43.
- Georghiou G P (1990). Overview of insecticide resistance. In Managing resistance to agrochemicals. (Green M B, LeBaron H M & Moberg W K. eds.) American Chemical Society, Washington DC p 18-41.
- Georghiou G P & Mellon R B (1983).

 Pesticide resistance in time and space.

 In Pest resistance to pesticides
 (Georghiou G P & Saito T, eds.).

 Plenum Press, New York p 1-46.
- Ghiasuddin S M, Kadous A A & Matsumura F (1981). Reduced sensitivity of a Ca-ATPase in the DDT-resistant strain of the German cockroach. Comp Biochem Physiol 68C: 15-20.

- Gradidge J M G (1960). Resistance to Blattella germanica to dieldrin. Pest Cont Technol 2: 229.
- Grayson J M (1965). Resistance to three organophosphorus insecticides in strains of the German cockroach in Texas. J Econ Entomol 58: 956-958.
- Hama H (1983). Resistance to insecticides due to reduced sensitivity of acetylcholinesterase. In Pest resistance to pesticides (Georghiou G P & Saito T, eds). Plenum Press, New York p 299-331.
- Hama H & Iwata T (1971). Insensitive cholinesterase in the Nakagawara strain of the green rice leafhopper, Nephotettix cincticeps Uhler (Hemiptera: Cicadellidae) as cause of resistance to carbamate insecticides. Appl Entomol Zool 6: 183-191.
- Hama H & Iwata T (1978). Studies on the inheritance of carbamate-resistance in the green rice leaf-hopper. Nephotettix cincticeps Uhler (Hemiptera: Cicadellidae). Relationship between insensitivity of acetylcholinesterase and cross-resistance to carbamate and organophosphate insecticides. Appl Entomol Zool 13: 190-202.
- Heal R E, Nash K B & Williams M (1953). An insecticide-resistant strain of the German cockroach from Corpus Christi, Texas. J Econ Entomol 46: 385-386.
- Hemingway J. Smith C. Jayawardena K G I & Herath P R J (1986). Field and laboratory detection of the altered acetylcholinesterase resistance genes which confer organophosphate and carbamate resistance in mosquitoes. Bull Entomol Res 76: 559-565.
- Hemingway J, Boddington R G, Harris J & Dunbar S J (1989). Mechanisms of insecticide resistance in Aedes agypti (L.) (Diptera: Culicidae) from Puerto Rico. Bull Entomol Res 79: 123-130.
- Hemingway J, Small G J & Monro A G (1993a). Possible mechanisms of organophosphate and carbamate insecticide resistance in German cockroaches (Dictyoptera: Blattellidae) from different geographical areas. J Econ Entomol 86: 1623-1630.
- Hemingway J, Dunbar S J, Monro A G & Small G J (1993b). Pyrethroid resistance in German cockroaches (Dictyoptera: Blattellidae): Resistance levels

- and underlying mechanisms. J Econ Entomol 86:-1631-1638.
- Hodgson E (1983) The significance of cytochrome P-450 in insects. Insect Biochem 13: 237-246.
- Horwood M A, Toffolon R B & Preece R M (1991). Resistance to deltamethrin in Blattella germanica (L.) (Blattodea: Blattellidae). J Aust Entomol Soc 30: 256.
- Ishii T & Sherman M (1965) Resistance of a Hawaiian strain of the German cockroach to several insecticides. J Econ Entomol 58: 46-50.
- Jöhnston L, Parsons R E & Burden G S (1964). Malathion resistance in a field strain of German cockroaches. Pest Cont 32 (6): 34.
- Kasbekar D P & Hall L M (1988). A Drosophila mutation that reduces sodium channel number confers resistance to pyrethroid insecticides. Pestic Biochem Physiol 32: 135-145.
- Keiding J (1964). Resistance to dieldrin in cockroaches. Annu Rep Govn Pest Infest Lab (Springforbi, Denmark), 1963. p. 44.
- Keller J C, Clark P H, Lofgren C S & Wilson H G (1956). Cockroach control. Results of USDA-sponsored research tests on cockroach control. Pest Cont 24 (9): 12, 14, 17, 19-20.
- Lee C Y (1995). Toxicity, resistance and sublethal effects of selected insecticides on German cockroaches, Blattella germanica (L.) (Dictyoptera: Blattellidae) in Malaysia. PhD thesis. Universiti Sains Malaysia.
- Lee C Y, Chong N L & Yap H H (1993). A study on domiciliary cockroach infestation in Penang, Malaysia. J Biosci 4: 95-98.
- Lee C Y, Yap H H, Chong N L & Lee R S T (1994). Preliminary report on insecticide resistance in the German cockroach, Blattella germanica (L.) from Peninsular Malaysia. Presented at the First International Congress of Parasitology and Tropical Medicine, Kuala Lumpur, Malaysia.
- Lee C Y, Yap H H, Chong N L, Lee R S T, Lim S Y & Bakar E (1995). Insecticide resistance in the German cockroach in Peninsular Malaysia. Pest Control Seminar: 'Current issues and new strategies in pest control'. Pest Control

- Association of Malaysia, Kuala Lumpur. Malaysia.
- Lee C Y, Yap H H, Chong N L & Lee R S T (1996a). Insecticide resistance and synergism in field-collected German cockroaches (Dictyoptera: Blattellidae) from Peninsular Malaysia. Bull Entomol Res 86: 675-682.
- Lee C Y, Hemingway J, Yap H H & Chong N L (1996b). Insecticide resistance and possible resistance mechanisms in field collected German cockroaches, Blattella germanica (L.) from Malaysia. Proceedings of the Second International Conference of Insect Pests in the Urban Environment 1996 (K B Widley, ed), Edinburgh, Scotland. July 7 10, 1996 p 602.
- Lee C Y. Yap H H & Chong N L. Elevated esterase detection in insecticide-resisant German cockroaches (Dictyoptera: Blattellidae) using modified Pasteur-Georghiou's filter paper method. Trop Biomed: In press.
- Lee C Y, Hemingway J, Yap H H & Chong N
 L. Biochemical characterization of
 insecticide resistance mechanisms in
 field collected German cockroaches
 from Malaysia. Med Vet Entomol: In
 review.
- Lee H L. Tien W D & Omar B (1997). Insecticide resistance status and mechanisms in Malaysian Blattella germanica (Linnaeus). Southeast Asian J Trop Med Pub Health 28: 212-217.
- Lim E H & Tan K H (1993). Changes in esterase isoenzymes involved in insecticidal resistance of a *Nephotettix* virescens (Distant) field population. J Plant Protec Trop 10: 11-17.
- Liu M Y & Plapp F W Jr (1991). Apparent reduction in the number of nerve membrane sodium channels in the house fly in relation to metabolic resistance to insecticides. Pestic Biochem Physiol 41: 1-7.
- Liu M Y & Plapp F W Jr (1992). Mechanism of formamidine synergism of pyrethroids. Pestic Biochem Physiol 43: 134-140.
- Lofgren C S, Burden G S & Clark P H (1957). Experiments with insecticides for the control of German roaches. Pest Cont 25 (7): 9, 10, 12, 47.
- Lu A Y H, Ryan D, Kawalek J, Thomas P, West S B, Huang M T & Levin W

- (1976). Multiplicity of liver microsomal cytochrome P-450: Separation, purification and characterization. Trans Biochem Soc 4: 169-172.
- Melander A L (1914). Can insects become resistant to sprays? J Econ Entomol 7: 167-172.
- Micks D W (1960). Insecticide resistance: a review of developments in 1958 and 1959. Bull W H O 22: 519-529.
- Miller T A, Salgado V L & Irving S N (1983).

 The kdr factor in pyrethroid resistance.

 In Pesticide resistance to pesticides (Georghiou GP & Saito T, eds) Plenum Press, New York. p. 353-366.
- Moberg W K (1990). Understanding and combating agrochemical resistance. In Managing resistance to agrochemicals (Green M B, LeBaron H M & Moberg W K, eds). American Chemical Society, Washington DC p 1-15.
- Nelson J O & Wood F E (1982). Multiple and cross-resistance in a field collected strain of the German cockroach (Orthoptera: Blattellidae). J Econ Entomol 75: 1052-1054.
- Omer S M, Georghiou G P & Irving S N (1980). DDT/pyrethroid resistance inter-relationships in Anopheles stephensi. Mosq News 40: 200-209.
- Omura T & Sato R (1964). The carbon monoxide-binding pigment of liver microsomes. I. Evidence for its hemoprotein nature. J Biol Chem 239: 2370-2378.
- Pauron D, Barhanin J, Amichot M, Pralavorio M, Berge J B & Lazdunski M (1989). Pyrethroid receptor in the insect Na channel: alteration of its properties in pyrethroid-resistant flies. Biochem 28: 1673-1677.
- Plapp F W Jr & Hoyer R F (1968). Insecticide resistance in the house fly: decreased rate of absorption as the mechanism of action of a gene that acts as an intensifer of resistance. J Econ Entomol 61: 1298-1303.
- Prabhakaran S K & Kamble S T (1993).

 Activity and electrophoretic characterization of esterases in insecticideresistant and susceptible strains of
 German cockroach (Dictyoptera:
 Blattellidae). J Econ Entomol 86: 10091013.
- Prabhakaran S K & Kamble S T (1994). Subcellular distribution and characte-

- rization of esterase isoenzymes from insecticide-resistant and -susceptible strains of German cockroach (Dictyoptera: Blattellidae). J Econ Entomol 87: 541-545.
- Prabhakaran S K & Kamble S T (1995).

 Purification and characterization of an esterase isoenzyme from insecticide resistant and susceptible strains of German cockroach, Blattella germanica (L.). Insect Biochem Molec Biol 25: 519-524.
- Prabhakaran S K & Kamble S T (1996).

 Biochemical characterization and purification of esterases from three strains of German cockroach, Blattella germanica (Dictyoptera: Blattellidae).

 Arch Insect Biochem Physiol 31: 73-86.
- Priester T M & Georghiou G P (1980). Cross resistance spectrum in pyrethroid resistant Culex quinquefasciatus. Pestic Sci 11: 617-624.
- Raymond M, Fournier D, Bride J M, Cuany A, Berge J, Magnin M & Pasteur N (1986). Identification of resistance mechanisms in Culex pipiens (Diptera: Culicidae) from Southern France: insensitive acetylcholinesterase and detoxifying oxidases. J Econ Entomol 79: 1452-1458.
- Riskallah M R (1983). Esterases and resistance to synthetic pyrethroids in the Egyptian cotton leafworm. Pestic Biochem Physiol 19: 184-189.
- Rossignol D P (1988). Reduction in number of nerve membrane sodium channels in pyrethroid resistant house flies. Pestic Biochem Physiol 32: 146-152.
- Rust M K & Reierson D A (1991). Chlorpyrifos resistance in German cockroaches (Dictyoptera: Blattellidae) from restaurants. J Econ Entomol 84: 736-740.
- Salgado V L, Irving S N & Miller T A (1983).

 Depolarization of motor nerve terminals by pyrethroids in susceptible and kdrresistant house flies. Pestic Biochem Physiol 20: 100-114.
- Sawicki R M, Farnham A W. Denholm I & O'Dell K (1984). Factors affecting resistance to insecticides in house flies. Musca domestica L. (Diptera: Muscidae). II. Close linkage on autosome 2 between esterase and resistance to

- trichlorphon and pyrethroids. Bull Entomol Res 74: 197-206.
- Schal C (1988). Relation among efficacy of insecticides, resistance levels, and sanitation in the control of the German cockroach (Dictyoptera: Blattellidae). J Econ Entomol 81: 536-544.
- Scharf M E (1997). Insecticide resistance mechanisms and their responses to insecticide selection pressures in the German cockroach, *Blattella germanica* (L.). PhD thesis. Purdue University, Indiana, USA.
- Scharf M E & Bennett G W (1997).

 Insecticide resistance and current options for its management in the German cockroach. Recent Res Develop Entomol 1: 23-35.
- Scharf M E. Hemingway J. Reid B L. Small G J & Bennett G W (1996). Toxicological and biochemical characterization of insecticide resistance in a field collected strain of Blattella germanica (Dictyoptera: Blattellidae). J Econ Entomol 89: 322-331.
- Scharf M E, Kaakeh W & Bennett G W (1997). Changes in an insecticide resistant field-population of German cockroach following exposure to an insecticide mixture. J Econ Entomol 90: 38-48.
- Schnitzerling H J, Nolan J & Hughes S (1982). Toxicology and metabolism of some synthetic pyrethroids in larvae of susceptible and resistant strains of the cattle tick *Boophilus microplus* (Can.). Pestic Sci 14: 64-72.
- Scott J G (1990). Investigating mechanisms of insecticide resistance: Methods, strategies and pitfalls. p. 39-57. In Pesticide resistance in arthropods (Roush R T & Tabashnik B E, eds). Chapman & Hall, New York.
- Scott J G & Matsumura F (1981). Characteristics of a DDT-induced case of cross-resistance to permethrin in Blattella germanica. Pestic Biochem Physiol 16: 21-27.
- Scott J G & Matsumura F (1983). Evidence for two types of toxic actions of pyrethroids on susceptible and DDTresistant German cockroaches. Pestic Biochem Physiol 19: 141-150.
- Scott J G & Georghiou G P (1986). Mechanisms responsible for high levels

- of permethrin resistance in the house fly. Pestic Sci 17: 195-206.
- Scott J G & Dong K (1994). kdr-Type resistance in insects with special reference to the German cockroach, Blattella germanica. Comp Biochem Physiol 109B: 191-198.
- Scott J G, Croft B A & Wagner S W (1983).

 Studies on the mechanism of permethrin resistance in *Amblyseius fallacis* (Acarina: Phytoseiidae) relative to previous insecticide use on apple. J Econ Entomol 76: 6-10.
- Scott J G, Cochran D G & Siegfried B D (1990). Insecticide toxicity, synergism and resistance in the German cockroach (Dictyoptera: Blattellidae). J Econ Entomol 83: 1698-1703.
- Shono T (1985). Pyrethroid resistance: Importance of the *kdr*-type mechanism. J Pestic Sci 10: 141-146.
- Setakana P (1989). Insecticidal resistance and related esterases in two Malaysian strains of Culex quinquefasciatus Say (Diptera: Culicidae). PhD thesis. Universiti Sains Malaysia.
- Siegfried B D & Scott J G (1990).

 Properties and inhibition of acetylcholinesterase in resistant and susceptible German cockroaches (Blattella germanica L.). Pestic Biochem Physiol 38: 122-129.
- Siegfried B D & Scott J G (1991).

 Mechanism responsible for propoxur resistance in the German cockroach, Blattella germanica (L.). Pestic Sci 33: 133-146.
- Siegfried B D & Scott J G (1992a).

 Insecticide resistance mechanisms in the German cockroach, Blattella germanica (L.). p. 218 230. In Molecular mechanisms of insecticide resistance (Mullins C A & Scott J G, eds).

 American Chemical Society, Washington DC.
- Siegfried B D & Scott J G (1992b).

 Biochemical characterization of hydrolytic and oxidative enzymes in insecticide resistant and susceptible strains of the German cockroach (Dictyoptera: Blattellidae). J Econ Entomol 85: 1092-1098.
- Siegfried B D, Scott J G, Roush R T & Zeichner B C (1990). Biochemistry and genetics of chlorpyrifos resistance in the German cockroach, Blattella germanica

- (L.). Pestic Biochem Physiol 38: 110-121.
- Smissaert H R (1964). Cholinesterase inhibition in spider mites susceptible and ressistant to organophosphate. Science 143: 129-131.
- Soderlund D M & Bloomquist J R (1990).

 Molecular mechanisms of insecticide resistance. In Pesticide resistance in arthropods (Roush R T & Tabashnik B E, eds). Chapman & Hall, New York p. 58-96.
- Soderlund D M, Sanborn J R & Lee P W (1983). Metabolism of pyrethrins and pyrethroids in insects. In Progress in pesticide biochemistry and toxicology. Volume 3 (Hutson D H & Roberts T R, eds) John Wiley, New York p 401-435.
- Sudderuddin K I & Tan K H (1973). Some hydrolases and their involvement in insecticide resistance. PANS 19: 24-35.
- Sun Y P & Johnson E R (1960). Synergistic and antagonistic actions of insecticidesynergist combinations and their mode of action. J Agricul Food Chem 8: 261-266.
- Tripathi R K & O'Brien R D (1973). Insensitivity of acetylcholinesterase as a factor in resistance of house flies to the organophosphate rabon. Pestic Biochem Physiol 3: 495-498.
- Umeda K. Yano T & Hirano M (1988).
 Pyrethroid-resistance mechanism in German cockroach, Blattella germanica (Orthoptera: Blattellidae). Appl Entomol Zool 23: 373-380.
- Umeda K, Shono T, Hirano M & Takahasi M (1990). Reduced nerve sensitivity as a resistant mechanism to a pyrethroid in Culex tritaeniorhynchus from Okinawa. J Pestic Sci 15: 599-601.
- Vagn-Jensen K M (1993). Insecticide resistance in *Blattella germanica* (L.) (Dictyoptera: Blattellidae) from food

- producing establishments in Denmark. In Proceeding of the First International Conference on Insect Pests in the Urban Environment (Widley K B & Robinson W H, eds). BPCC Wheatons Ltd, Exeter p. 135-139.
- Valles S M & Yu S J (1996). Detection and biochemical characterization of insecticide resistance in the German cockroach (Dictyoptera: Blattellidae). J Econ Entomol 89: 21-26.
- Valles S M, Yu S J & Koehler P G (1996). Biochemical mechanisms responsible for stage-dependent propoxur tolerance in the German cockroach. Pestic Biochem Physiol 54: 172-180.
- Webb J E (1961). Resistance of some species of cockroaches to organic insecticides in Germany and France. 1956-59. J Econ Entomol 54: 805-806.
- Wilkinson C F (1983). Role of mixedfunction oxidases in insecticide resistance. In Pest resistance to pesticides (Georghiou GP & Saito T, eds) Plenum Press, New York P. 175-205.
- World Health Organization (1992). Vector resistance to pesticides. Fifteenth report of the WHO Expert Committee on Vector Biology and Control. WHO Technical Report Series 818. World Health Organization, Geneva. 57 pp.
- Yasutomi K, Inuoue Y, Ohtaki Y & Asahina S (1966). A comparative study of insecticide resistance in the German cockroach in Japan. Jap J Sanit Zool 17: 214-217.
- Yu S J (1991). Insecticide resistance in the fall armyworm, Spodoptera frugiperda (J E Smith). Pestic Biochem Physiol 39: 84-91.
- Zhai J & Robinson W H (1991). Pyrethroid resistance in a field population of German cockroach, *Blattella germanica* (L.). Jap J Sanit Zool 42: 241-244.