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## ABSTRACT

Described is the development of a test of inductive reasoning for fifth graders by Max Jerman. Nine test items were selected from the French Kit on the basis of pretest statistics; each item nas eight parts. Appendices to the brief description include item and scale statistics and a matrix of correlations between scales and total score. (SD)
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As part of his dissertation project, Max Jerman tried to construct an inductive reasoning test that would be suitable for fifth grade students. He started by administering both forms of the French Kit Test "Figure Classification" to a group of fifth graders. The directions given these students were precisely those in the French Kit; rowerer, it was made clear to the students that they were not expected to complete either part by telling them to do as many as they could in the allotted time.

Item and scale statistics were computed, including percent not tried and correlations between items and total score. On the basis of these statistics, nine items were selected as being appropriaite for fifth grade students. These items are reproduced in Appendix A.

The location of these items in the French Kit is as follows:

| Jerman No. | French Kit Location |
| :---: | ---: |
|  | Form 1, Item 3 |
| 2 | Form 1, Item 4 |
| 3 | Form 1, Item 5 |
| 4 | Form 2, Item 3 |
| 5 | Form 2, Item 6 |
| 6 | Form 1, Item 6 |
| 7 | Form 2, Item 7 |
| 8 | Form 1, Item 7 |
| 9 | Form 2, Item 5 |

It will be noted that each item consists of 'three exemplars of 'a particular concept and three non-exemplars, followed by eight figures, each of which was to be labeled either as an exemplar or as a nonexemplar of the concept, the total number of responses thereby being 72 .

These nine items were administered in the fall of 1970 to approximately 30 fifth grade students. The eight responses for each item were treated as an eight-item scale. Summary statistics for these nine scales are shown in Appendix B. It will be noted that scale statistics for all items except No. 4 are quite satisfactory.

Intercorrelations between these scales and al.so the total 72response test are shown in Appendix $C$.

With item 4 omitted, the remaining eight items seem to constitute a statistically satisfactory test of inductive reasoning for fifth grade students.

A closer inspection, however, of the correlation matrix in Appendix $C$ indicates that the first three items cluster together, as do the last five. It is suggested that in any future use of this instrument all eight scales (ó 4 responses) be used, and both the total score and a score based on the last forty responses be computed and compared. It may be that the latter score will turn out to be more useful but, if so, the entire eight scales should probably be admin.. istered in all cases, with the first tnree considered as a warm-up.
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SC.AL: STATISTICS:

| NiJurne of cases | 245 |
| :---: | :---: |
| vurber ir Variambes | $=\rho$ |
| MEA: TCITAL SCOEE | $\therefore .1 \underbrace{2}$ |
|  | $\because!1 r$ |
| C-MAMAGHTE ALPIA | $=\quad \because 7 \% 5$ |
| Erat - MEASURTM |  |

ノTEP STATISTIC?:


ITf 2

SCAIF STATICTTCT：

$I^{-}=!$

SCALE STATTCTIC：：

|  | $=\quad 20$ |
| :---: | :---: |
|  | $=$ a |
|  | 4．5：72 |
| ST•M［Aそう DEVIAT［CA | $=1 . \therefore 5 \%$ |
| CDIBALCH＇S ALDHA | ． 4.15 |
| CFFCz ITF AFASIFF－MF | $=1.259$ |


| ！TEN | P： 5 | 2il．Fer |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| ， 5 | 0.425 | $\because$－0¢3 |
| ？ 6 | $\cdots 4!7$ | $\bullet 1.32$ |
| 27 | $\therefore .482$ | 「．．511 |
| ？－ | ？．604 | ）－＜ |
| 20 | O．frer | －＊tat |
| 30 | －． 675 | ． 727 |
| 31 | 0.504 | $\because 733$ |
| 40 | I． 3 c： | 1．3）4 |


| 1．S．FiS | PEDEこNT AT |
| :---: | :---: |
| C．LC？ | 5．？ 0 |
| C． 213 | 5.714 |
| $\bigcirc .292$ | F．714 |
| 3.182 | 5.754 |
| $\therefore 2.40$ | 7.143 |
| －． 207 | ？．143 |
| 0.302 | 6.429 |
| －0．1．05 | 7．5\％） |
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```
SL:L` STA'ISTICS:
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|}
\hline NJWロEM AF CAS＝S & \(=\) & 74. \\
\hline  & \(=\) & ； \\
\hline ant trital scafe & \(\stackrel{+}{+}\) & ᄃ．くらフ \\
\hline c－as．as）otvintala & \(=\) & ？．17？ \\
\hline  & \(=\) & －．7）4 \\
\hline  & \(=\) & 1．1：\({ }^{-r}\) \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
```

ITー・ ¢TコTISTIC:

| T－N | やけ | $\therefore \therefore 1 . p 13$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| ミ 7 | $\therefore 7.14$ | － 7 P ？ |
| $2 \%$ | －カ3t | $\bigcirc \cdot 715$ |
| 25 | $\therefore 2+4$ | $\therefore 06 \%$ |
| $2 \%$ | $\cdots=5.7$ | －． 617 |
| 37 | $\therefore 475$ | － 07. |
| 3.8 |  | ？．77？ |
| ！ | $\therefore .41$ | $\because .7: 3$ |
| $4{ }^{\prime}$ | $\therefore \times 5 \%$ | $\therefore \times \rightarrow 1$ |

$T T=v \leqslant$
SCALT STATISTICS:


```
^HMa=E 7E VAFI\DeltaNLES = a
NEAN.TCTAL SCOEE = =.77%
STAUCAEO NEVIATIO"M= =.1A&
CNCAPAE.l'S ALDHA = O.71r
```


ITEN GTATISTICS:

| I Trim | p．s | Q1．Pic |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $<1$ | $\bigcirc .132$ | ？．167 |
| $\therefore 7$ | ○．4？${ }^{\text {¢ }}$ | $\bigcirc .744$ |
| 47 | $9.4 \sim$ | こ．4．44 |
| 1.2 | C－大ッ2 | ？．2の引 |
| 45 | 1）．5：？ | 1）． $78: 4$ |
| 46 | 10.519 | $\bigcirc .442$ |
| 47 | $\bigcirc 415$ | 2．5？${ }^{\text {a }}$ |
| 40 | ？． 375 | 3．477 |


| N．S．ris |
| :---: |
| ¢． $39 ?$ |
| ก． $\mathrm{FO}_{4}$ |
| 人．515 |
| －． 620 |
| －．653 |
| 9．575 |
| C．385 |
| 「．470 |

PHECENT YT
17．5．50
15．4？
17.500
15.796
17.500

19．236
21.071
21.736
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SCAl＇STATISTICe：





NLFEENT NT
2？． 143
2． 3.2 .14
$23.2 ? 9$
27.500
27.857

2．2．214
2？．214
27．95．7

ITAN

SLALFSTATICTYCS：


ITE゙：STATISTICS：

N．S．EIS
0.921
0.365
$0.47 ?$
0.623
0.405
0.604
0.392
0.505
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    ITEN=
C(|:% STATISTTCS:
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|}
\hline Nurafo jo coscs & ＝ & ？\(\dagger\) \\
\hline  & ＝ & \\
\hline vran total sencr & ＝ & \\
\hline ¢TA：！？．aこ）רEVIATI「．． & \(=\) & \\
\hline rFrajarhos alpha & ＝ & \\
\hline  & & \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
IT=` eTATTSTICS:
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|}
\hline ！T \％ & 0.5 & rrje Prc \\
\hline 二e． & 2.114 & ）． 164 \\
\hline －6 & r．ef： & 1．44？ \\
\hline \(6^{7}\) & \(? .180\) & 1－24？ \\
\hline טi & \(\bigcirc 3.30\) & r．7rin \\
\hline \(6^{\circ}\) & C． 154 & 0．37？ \\
\hline \(7 ?\) & 「．41＊ & ）．77シ \\
\hline 71 & ¢． 271 & 7．517 \\
\hline \(7 ?\) & \(\therefore .735\) & 「．ilcoror \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
\(\because . S .515\)
\(\therefore .3 C 4\)
0.504
0.517
0.773
\(\therefore .550\)
0.712
\(0.7: 64\)
0.714
PEECETT AT
    4!.7F6
    41.7%'6
    44.286
    45.357
    47.142
    46.746
    47.530
    47.500
```

|  | APPENDIX CIntercorrelations between Scales and Iotal Score |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 |
| 1 | . 58 | . 33 | . 05 | . 18 | . 03 | . 0 | - $n$ | -. 04 | . 41 |
| 2 |  | .50 | . 14 | . 24 | . 05 | . 1.5 | .03 | . 00 | . 51 |
| 3 |  |  | . 22 | . 26 | . 15 | 33 | . 09 | . 10 | . 56 |
| 4 |  |  |  | . 40 | . 28 | .18 | . 17 | . 08 | . 46 |
| 5 |  |  |  |  | . 47 | . 41 | . 30 | . 24 | . 68 |
| 6 |  |  |  |  |  | . 42 | . 29 | . 20 | . 57 |
| $i$ |  |  |  |  |  |  | .67 | $\cdots: 49$ | . 73 |
| 8 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | . 65 | . 64 |
| 9 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\bigcirc .54$ |
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[^1]:    アだこご大T NT
    20．2R6
    ？${ }^{\text {c．} .643}$
    31.071
    35.200
    33.214
    3.3 .214
    37.857
    37.590

