

Comments and Responses Report

PAF	RT A: SCOPING PHASE	4
1.		
2.	DEPARTMENT OF FORESTRY, FISHERIES AND THE ENVIRONMENT (DFFE)	17
3.	WESTERN CAPE DEPARTMENT OF ENVIRONMENTAL AFFAIRS AND DEVELOPMENT PLANNING (DEA&DP)	31
4.	SOUTH AFRICAN BAT ASSESSMENT ASSOCIATION	41
5.	SOUTH AFRICAN RADIO ASTRONOMY OBSERVATORY (SARAO)	43
6.	BREEDE-GOURITZ CATCHMENT MANAGEMENT AGENCY	44
7.	WESTERN CAPE GOVERNMENT TRANSPORT AND PUBLIC WORKS - ROADS DEPARTMENT	49
8.	WESTERN CAPE CAPENATURE	53
9.	SOUTH AFRICAN CIVIL AVIATION AUTHORITY	58
PAF	RT B: ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACT ASSESSMENT PHASE	61
10.	GENERAL, ADMINISTRATIVE AND PROJECT NEED	61
11.	DEPARTMENT OF FORESTRY, FISHERIES AND THE ENVIRONMENT (DFFE)	66
12.	DEPARTMENT OF AGRICULTURE, FORESTRY AND FISHERIES (DAFF): WESTERN CAPE	82
13.	WESTERN CAPE DEPARTMENT OF ENVIRONMENTAL AFFAIRS AND DEVELOPMENT PLANNING (DEA&DP)	83
14.	WESTERN CAPE GOVERNMENT TRANSPORT AND PUBLIC WORKS - ROADS DEPARTMENT	96
15.	HERITAGE WESTERN CAPE (HWC)	99
	CENTRAL KAROO DISTRIC MUNICAPLITY	
17.	SOUTH AFRICAN RADIO ASTRONOMY OBSERVATORY (SARAO)	102
18.	DEPARTMENT OF WATER AND SANITATION (DWS)	102
	BREEDE-GOURITZ CATCHMENT MANAGEMENT AGENCY	
20.	SOUTH AFRICAN CIVIL AVIATION AUTHORITY	104
21	AIR TRAFFIC NAVIGATION SERVICES (ATNS)	106

FINAL ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACT ASSESSMENT REPORT: Scoping and Environmental Impact Assessment for the proposed development of the 204.6 MW Kwagga Wind Energy Facility 3 and associated infrastructure near Beaufort West in the Western Cape

22.	SOUTH AFRICAN NATIONAL ROADS AGENCY (SANRAL)	. 106
23.	BIRD LIFE SOUTH AFRICA	. 107
24.	AVIC INTERNATIONAL FLIGHT TRAINING ACADEMY (PTY) LTD	. 108

PART A: SCOPING PHASE

The tables below include the comments and/or issues raised by stakeholders and Interested and Affected Parties (I&APs) following the release of the Draft Scoping Report for the 30-day comment period, extending from 28 May 2021 to 28 June 2021, together with the responses from the Environmental Impact Assessment (EIA) project team. The original comments received (emails and letters) are included in Appendix D.9 of the Final Scoping Report (CSIR, 2021¹). Please note that the comments are taken verbatim from the comments provided by stakeholders and I&APs.

An integrated Public Participation Process was undertaken for the proposed projects, which include the three Kwagga Wind Energy Facilities (WEFs) (namely; the 279 MW Kwagga WEF 1, the 341 MW Kwagga WEF 2 and the 204.6 MW Kwagga WEF 3). The comments included in this appendix only apply to the proposed 204.6 MW Kwagga WEF 3. Comments relating to the other Kwagga WEFs have been included for context or background purposes (where necessary). Comments and responses for the Kwagga WEF 1 and Kwagga WEF 2 projects, are included in the respective Scoping Reports. The comments received have been grouped per organisation, based on the structure recommended by the Department of Forestry, Fisheries and the Environment (DFFE).

1. GENERAL, ADMINISTRATIVE AND PROJECT NEED²

NO	DATE OF COMMENT, FORMAT OF COMMENT, NAME OF ORGANISATION/I&AP	COMMENTS	RESPONSE FROM EAP/APPLICANT/SPECIALIST
1.	28/05/2021,	Please note that my email address will soon be changed to	CSIR: This comment is noted. The contact
	Email,	Nndudula@environment.gov.za, the landline and mobile contacts will	details of this stakeholder has been updated
	Thando Ndudula, Department	remain as 021 9441416/ 066 374 8170.	accordingly on the I&AP database and the
	of Agriculture, Land Reform and		email address provided will be used for future
	Rural Development (DALRRD)		correspondence. Refer to Appendix C of this
			Scoping Report for a copy of the I&AP
			database.
2.	31/05/2021,	Good Day	CSIR: This comment is noted. Ms Anneleen
	Email,		Vorster was identified as a representative of

¹ CSIR, 2021. Scoping and Environmental Impact Assessment for the proposed development of the 279 MW Kwagga Wind Energy Facility 1 (i.e. Kwagga WEF 1) near Beaufort West in the Western Cape. Final Scoping Report. CSIR Report Number: CSIR/SPLA/SECO/ER/2021/0001/B.

² This includes requests to register on and de-register from the project I&AP database.

NO	DATE OF COMMENT, FORMAT OF COMMENT, NAME OF ORGANISATION/I&AP	COMMENTS	RESPONSE FROM EAP/APPLICANT/SPECIALIST
	Anneleen Vorster, Prince Albert Municipality	Please be advised that Prince Albert Municipality wish to register as an interested and affected party pertaining the proposed Kwagga WEF 1, Kwagga WEF 2 and Kwagga WEF 3, with the contact details below. Regards	the Prince Albert Local Municipality and an I&AP and thus included on the pre-identified project database of I&APs and Organs of State at the outset of the Scoping and EIA Process. Refer to Appendix C of this Scoping Report for a copy of the I&AP database.
3.	31/05/2021, Email, Anne Flynn, Falcon Oil and Gas Ltd.	Good afternoon, Would it be possible to provide the email address of a contact for Kwagga? The reason I ask is that Falcon Oil & Gas Ltd. have entered into coexistence agreements with all renewable projects on the same acreage over which Falcon holds a technical cooperation permit? Look forward to hearing from you.	CSIR: This comment is noted. The contact details for the Project Developer, ABO Wind Renewable Energies Pty Ltd, were provided to Falcon Oil and Gas Ltd via email on 11 June 2021. Discussions regarding potential coexistence agreements are currently taking place outside of the S&EIA Process.
4.	02/06/2021, Email, Anne Flynn, Falcon Oil and Gas Ltd.	Good morning, I just wanted to follow up on my email below? Look forward to hearing from you.	CSIR: This was a follow up to the initial email sent by this stakeholder. Refer to the response provided above for feedback in this regard.
5.	08/06/2021, Email, Anne Flynn, Falcon Oil and Gas Ltd.	Good evening, I just wanted to follow up on my email below?	CSIR: This was a follow up to the initial email sent by this stakeholder. Refer to the response provided above for feedback in this regard.
6.	11/06/2021, Email, Anne Flynn, Falcon Oil and Gas Ltd.	Thank you Dhiveshni.	
7.	07/06/2021, Email, Veronique Fyfe,	Good Afternoon Lizande, I hope this email finds you well.	CSIR: This I&AP has been included on the I&AP database. The email address provided will be used for future correspondence. Refer

NO	DATE OF COMMENT, FORMAT OF COMMENT, NAME OF ORGANISATION/I&AP	COMMENTS	RESPONSE FROM EAP/APPLICANT/SPECIALIST
	G7 Renewable Energies (Pty) Ltd	Please could you register G7 Renewable Energies as an I&AP on the Kwagga WEF 1-3.	to Appendix C of this Scoping Report for a copy of the I&AP database.
		Please register me as the main contact person with the email address eia@g7energies.com.	
		Thank you in advance.	
8.	07/06/2021, Email, Veronique Fyfe, G7 Renewable Energies (Pty) Ltd	Thank you very much.	
9.	18/06/2021, Email, Zakiya Abrahams, WKN Windcurrent SA (Pty) Ltd	Hi Lizande, Please may I register as an I&AP for the 3 Kwagga projects near Beaufort West?	CSIR: This request is noted. This I&AP has been included on the I&AP database. The email address provided will be used for future correspondence. Refer to Appendix C of this Scoping Report for a copy of the I&AP database.
10.	21/06/2021, Email, Stephanie Barnardt, Heritage Western Cape (HWC)	I assume the HIA has not get been formally submitted to HWC for the above projects. Please submit HIA to Ceoheritage Ceoheritage@westerncape.gov.za	CSIR: This inquiry is noted. This stakeholder was informed that the Notice of Intent to Develop (NID) for the proposed Kwagga WEFs 1-3 was lodged with HWC. The following NID case numbers received from HWC were also provided in the response: • Kwagga WEF 1: 20101914SB0211E • Kwagga WEF 2: 20101915SB0211E • Kwagga WEF 3: 20101916SB0211E

NO	DATE OF COMMENT, FORMAT OF COMMENT, NAME OF ORGANISATION/I&AP	COMMENTS	RESPONSE FROM EAP/APPLICANT/SPECIALIST
			The stakeholder was also informed that the proposed Kwagga WEF 1-3 projects are currently in the Scoping phase and that the respective Heritage Impact Assessments (HIAs) will be formally submitted during the EIA phase of the Kwagga WEFs projects, in line with the requirements of the HWC, as described in Chapter 4 of the Final Scoping Report.
			A link to the HWC circular, which explains the latest processes for submission of the HIA was also requested by the CSIR.
11.	29/06/2021,	Good day	CSIR: The online submission of the HIA to
	Email,		Ceoheritage@westerncape.gov.za is duly
	Stephanie Barnardt,	The process has moved to online submission, HIA must be formally	noted. The Kwagga WEF 3 HIA and proof of
	Heritage Western Cape	submitted to Ceoheritage Ceoheritage@westerncape.gov.za along with	payment will be formally submitted to
		the proof of payment.	Ceoheritage via
			Ceoheritage@westerncape.gov.za during the
			EIA phase for the Kwagga WEF 3 project.
12.	08/06/2021,	Dear Sir/ Madam	CSIR: This comment is noted. Links to the
	Email,		Project website and Google Drive (to which
	Melanie Koen,	Kindly note we received a text message for above development Kindly	the Draft Scoping Reports, associated
	DFFE Forestry Regulations &	forward above development's documents and attachments in pdf format	appendices and executive summaries of the
	Support	please?	Kwagga Wind Energy Facility (WEF) 1, Kwagga
			WEF 2 and Kwagga WEF 3, were uploaded in pdf format), were shared with the stakeholder on 14 June 2021.

NO	DATE OF COMMENT, FORMAT OF COMMENT, NAME OF ORGANISATION/I&AP	COMMENTS	RESPONSE FROM EAP/APPLICANT/SPECIALIST
13.	21/06/2021 Email,	Good afternoon	CSIR: This comment is noted. This respective I&AP was included on the pre-identified
	Chantal Engelbrecht,	I am requesting that you kindly remove my name from this mailing list,	database of I&APs, and has been removed
	Department of Forestry,	please? You need to send the reports to the correct and relevant	from the Kwagga WEFs 1-3 database, as
	Fisheries and the Environment	commenting sections in the Department and to the competent authority	requested. It must be noted that the Draft
	(DFFE)	(i.e. the unit responsible for issuing environmental authorisations).	Scoping Reports were submitted to various
		We have had increasing instances of EAPs sending reports to random	officials and Directorates of the DFFE,
		officers on their mailing lists and then claiming that they have engaged	including the relevant Directorates that have
		that particular department, with no response from said department,	commented on the reports (i.e. DFFE
		which is totally unacceptable.	Integrated Environmental Authorisations and
			Biodiversity Conservation).
14	31/05/2021,	Please send me KMZ files of the affected properties, proposed layouts and	CSIR: This comment is noted. The requested
	Email,	proposed Grid connections. Please find attached the Eskom general	KMZ file was sent to this stakeholder on 21
	John Geeringh,	requirements for works at or near Eskom infrastructure and servitudes, as	June 2021 via email. The proposed grid
	Eskom Transmission Division:	well as the Eskom setbacks guideline for consideration during planning of	connection options for the Kwagga WEFs
	Land and Rights	the WEF's.	were described. It was also emphasised that
		Kind regards	the Project Developer, ABO Wind Renewable
			Energies (Pty) Ltd, is still investigating options
			for the grid connection and associated 132 kV
			overhead transmission line routings, and
			therefore separate Environmental
			Assessment Processes will be undertaken at a
			later stage once the preferred grid connection
			and the 132 kV overhead transmission line
			routing for each of the proposed Kwagga WEF
			1, Kwagga WEF 2 and Kwagga WEF 3 has been
			confirmed, and hence does not form part of
			these current S&EIA Processes.

NO	DATE OF COMMENT, FORMAT OF COMMENT, NAME OF ORGANISATION/I&AP	COMMENTS	RESPONSE FROM EAP/APPLICANT/SPECIALIST
15.	01/06/2021, Email, Wayleaves Western OU, Eskom	Good day Please fill in attached form and send back to me with your plan to proceed with your application.	 The following specifications were sent to the Project Developer for consideration: Eskom requirements for work in or near Eskom servitudes; and Renewable Energy Generation Plant Setbacks to Eskom Infrastructure. The above Eskom requirements are duly noted and will be adhered to by the Project Development during the relevant project stages. CSIR: The form provided via email was entitled "ESKOM LAND DEVELOPMENT EXTERNAL WAYLEAVE REQUEST FORM". The Project Developer, ABO Wind Renewable Energies (Pty) Ltd, is still investigating options for the grid connection and associated 132 kV overhead transmission line routings, and therefore separate Environmental Assessment Processes will be undertaken at a later stage once the preferred grid connection and the 132 kV overhead transmission line
			routing for each of the proposed Kwagga WEF 1, Kwagga WEF 2 and Kwagga WEF 3 has been confirmed, and hence does not form part of these current S&EIA Processes. All relevant

NO	DATE OF COMMENT, FORMAT OF COMMENT, NAME OF ORGANISATION/I&AP	COMMENTS	RESPONSE FROM EAP/APPLICANT/SPECIALIST
			permits, such as the wayleaves applications will be applied for by the Project Developer during the design phase, after Environmental Authorisation has been issued, should such authorisation be granted.
16.	22/06/2021 Email,	Dear Sir/Madam,	CSIR: This request is noted. The requested KMZ files were sent to this stakeholder on 23
	Lerato Mokgwatlheng, Eskom	I am not sure if we, Eskom do have the kmz files regarding your projects.	June 2021 via email. It was also noted that the KMZ files were sent to Mr John Geeringh of
		Can we be send the kmz files thereof. Eskom is doing a EIA study for 2nd 765Kv powerline from Gamma substation (near Victoria West) to Kappa substation (near Touwsrivier) to Sterrekus substation (near Melkbosstrand). We would like to see if the two projects do affect/impact on each other.	Eskom, as noted above. It must be noted that the proposed Kwagga WEF projects are located approximately 150 km from the Gamma substation (near Victoria West), 250 km from the Kappa substation (near Touwsrivier) and 400 km from the Sterrekus substation (near Melkbosstrand), and thus is not expected to significantly impact on the 2 nd 765 kV power line project (i.e. Gamma – Kappa – Sterrekus).
17.	23/06/2021 Email, Christo Badenhorst, Eskom	Hi Lerato, It does not affect the Gamma-Kappa project. It may have an impact on the Droërivier B Project.	CSIR: This comment is noted. This was an internal discussion between Eskom officials on which the EIA project team was copied. However, it is important to note (as indicated in Chapter 1 of the Final Scoping Report), that the Eskom Droërivier Substation is ideally located within the Central Corridor of the Strategic Transmission Corridors (as gazetted on 16 February 2018, GN R113) and

NO	DATE OF COMMENT, FORMAT OF COMMENT, NAME OF ORGANISATION/I&AP	COMMENTS	RESPONSE FROM EAP/APPLICANT/SPECIALIST
			approximately 65 km north of the proposed Kwagga WEF 3. It is proposed that a 132 kV overhead transmission line, which will be constructed for the proposed Kwagga WEF 3 at a later stage, will extend between the proposed on-site collector substation at the Kwagga WEF 3 and the existing Droërivier—Proteus 400 kV line that runs parallel to the N12 in a north-south direction and connects Beaufort West with the George/Mossel Bay area further south. The Project Developer, ABO Wind Renewable Energies (Pty) Ltd, is still investigating options for the grid connection and associated 132 kV overhead transmission line routings, and therefore separate Environmental Assessment Processes will be undertaken at a later stage once the preferred grid connection and the 132 kV overhead transmission line routing has been confirmed. The various existing and proposed power lines connecting to the Eskom Droërivier Substation will be considered at the time of undertaking the Environmental Assessment.
18.	24/06/2021 Email, Lerato Mokgwatlheng, Eskom	Thanks Christo	CSIR: This comment is noted. This was an internal discussion between Eskom officials on which the EIA project team was copied.

NO	DATE OF COMMENT, FORMAT OF COMMENT, NAME OF ORGANISATION/I&AP	COMMENTS	RESPONSE FROM EAP/APPLICANT/SPECIALIST
19.	21/06/2021,	I&AP Comments (please note this is very brief but just wanted to include	CSIR: This comment is noted. The stakeholder
	Email,	the comment for completeness as I have agreed to have a call with Rob	was informed that all correspondence and
	Anne Flynn, Falcon Oil and Gas	Invernizzi to discuss further this week)	comments from I&APs are being included in the Comments and Responses Report of the
		Dear Lizande,	Final Scoping Report. Refer to the responses
		Dear Elzande,	provided above in this regard.
		Falcon Oil & Gas Ltd. holds a TCP over the same acreage as the each	
		Kwagga WEF projects. We will seek to enter coexistence agreements with	
		the projects to ensure that in the event both parties are operating in close	
		proximity to one another there is a mutual understanding on how the	
		respective projects can coexist.	
		Thank you.	
20.	23/06/2021,	Thank you	
	Email,		
	Anne Flynn, Falcon Oil and Gas		
21.	21/06/2021,	Good day	CSIR: This I&AP has been included on the
	Email,		I&AP database. Refer to Appendix C of this
	AgriSA	Please send all communication of this nature to Agri SA's head of natural	Scoping Report for a copy of the I&AP
		resources, Janse Rabie – janse@agrisa.co.za	database.
22.	31/05/2021,	Good day	CSIR: This I&AP has been included on the
	Email,	Please send information of this nature to Agri SA's head of natural	I&AP database. The email address provided
	AgriSA	resources, Janse Rabie – janse@agrisa.co.za	will be used for future correspondence. Refer
			to Appendix C of this Scoping Report for a copy of the I&AP database.
23.	29/05/2021,	To whom it may concern, in future please use eia@ewt.org.za	CSIR: This comment is noted. The email
	Email,		address provided was included on the pre-
			identified project database of I&APs and

NO	DATE OF COMMENT, FORMAT OF COMMENT, NAME OF ORGANISATION/I&AP	COMMENTS	RESPONSE FROM EAP/APPLICANT/SPECIALIST
	Constant Hoogstad, Endangered Wildlife Trust (EWT)		Organs of State at the outset of the Scoping and EIA Process, and will be retained on the database for receipt for future project related correspondence. The direct email address for Mr Hoogstad will be removed from the database accordingly. In addition, the email with notification of the proposed project was sent to various representatives from the EWT. Refer to Appendix C of this Scoping Report for a copy of the I&AP database.
24.	21/06/2021, Email, Constant Hoogstad, Endangered Wildlife Trust (EWT)	To whom it may concern In future please use eia@ewt.org.za	CSIR: This comment is noted. The email address provided was included on the pre-identified project database of I&APs and Organs of State at the outset of the Scoping and EIA Process, and will be retained on the database for receipt for future project related correspondence. The direct email address for Mr Hoogstad will be removed from the database accordingly. In addition, the email with notification of the proposed project was sent to various representatives from the EWT. Refer to Appendix C of this Scoping Report for a copy of the I&AP database.
25.	23/06/2021, Email, Constant Hoogstad, Endangered Wildlife Trust (EWT)	Yes please remove my email address	CSIR: This comment is noted. As noted above, the email address provided by this stakeholder was included on the pre-identified project database of I&APs and Organs of State at the outset of the Scoping

NO	DATE OF COMMENT, FORMAT OF COMMENT, NAME OF ORGANISATION/I&AP	COMMENTS	RESPONSE FROM EAP/APPLICANT/SPECIALIST
			and EIA Process, and will be retained on the database for receipt for future project related correspondence. The direct email address for Mr Hoogstad will be removed from the database accordingly. In addition, the email with notification of the proposed project was sent to various representatives from the EWT. Refer to Appendix C of this Scoping Report for a copy of the I&AP database.
26.	25/06/2021, Email, Thato Nape and Mr Selaelo Matlhane, South African Radio Astronomy Observatory (SARAO)	I have shared with you the SARAO response letter to Kwagga Wind Energy Facility.	CSIR: This comment is noted. Please refer to Appendix D.9 for a copy of the response letter received from the SARAO. The CSIR's response to SARAO is included below in Section 5 of this Comments and Responses Report.
27.	01/07/2021, Email, Megan Simons, Western Cape CapeNature	Dear Lizande, I trust this email finds you well. Kindly find attached comments from CapeNature for the Draft Scoping Report for the Proposed Development of the Kwagga Wind Energy Facilities near Beaufort West. Have a good afternoon. Kind Regards,	CSIR: This comment is noted. Please refer to Appendix D.9 for a copy of the comments received from Western Cape CapeNature. The CSIR's responses to the comments received from CapeNature are included below in Section 8 of this Comments and Responses Report.
28.	28/06/2021, Email,	Good day Sir/Madam	CSIR: This comment is noted. Please refer to Appendix D.9 for a copy of the comments

NO	DATE OF COMMENT, FORMAT OF COMMENT, NAME OF ORGANISATION/I&AP	COMMENTS	RESPONSE FROM EAP/APPLICANT/SPECIALIST
	Aulicia Maifo, DFFE, The Directorate: Biodiversity Conservation	Hope you are well. Kindly receive the attached comments for your processing.	received from The DFFE Directorate: Biodiversity Conservation. The CSIR's responses to the comments received from the Directorate: Biodiversity Conservation are included below in Section 2.2 of this Comments and Responses Report.
29.	28/06/2021, Email, Keshni Rughoobeer and Adri La Meyer, Department of Environmental Affairs and Development Planning (DEA&DP), Directorate: Development Facilitation	Dear Lizande, Hope you are well. Trust you have been keeping well. Your e-mail below dated 28 May 2021 and the Draft Scoping Report (DSR) for the Kwagga Wind Energy Facility 1, Beaufort West and Prince Albert Municipalities has reference. Thank you for the opportunity to comment on the above report. Please find attached this Department's consolidated comments on the DSR. Kindly acknowledge receipt of this e-mail.	CSIR: This comment is noted. Please refer to Appendix D.9 for a copy of the consolidated comments received from Western Cape DEA&DP. The CSIR's responses to the comments received from DEA&DP are included below in Section 3 of this Comments and Responses Report.
30.	01/07/2021, Email, Zama Mbunquka, Breede-Gouritz Catchment Management Agency (BGCMA)	Email 1: Dear Sir/Madam. Please find attached BGCMA comments for your perusal. Please note that some of the properties mentioned in the report are outside the jurisdiction of the BGCMA hence you need to liase with the Department of Water and Sanitation for comments. This office only commented on the properties that are within its jurisdiction. Thanks	CSIR: It was noted and communicated to the BGCMA on 01/07/2021 that the first comment (i.e. '1.') in the comments letter provided, refers to the proposed <i>Nuweveld</i> development. The BGCMA was kindly asked to please confirm if said comment was

NO	DATE OF COMMENT, FORMAT OF COMMENT, NAME OF ORGANISATION/I&AP	COMMENTS	RESPONSE FROM EAP/APPLICANT/SPECIALIST
		Email 2: Dear Sir/Madam. Thanks a lot for bringing this to my attention. I will send onother letter shortly. It is definitely not my final letter. thank you so much	referring to the three proposed Kwagga Wind Energy Facility developments instead of the proposed <i>Nuweveld</i> development.
		Email 3: Dear Sir/Madam Please received the updated version	The BGCMA acknowledged the error and provided a revised letter of comments on 01/07/2021. The CSIR's responses to the comments received from BGCMA are included below in Section 6 of this Comments and Responses Report.
31.	06/07/2021, Email, Zama Mbunquka Breede-Gouritz Catchment Management Agency (BGCMA)	Dear Sir/madam. Your response below is noted. I have highlighted the portions that fall within the BGCMA and will send you the updated version shortly. Some are in Beaufort west but falls outside the BGCMA jurisdiction. Please note the properties that are within the BGCMA are within J quaternary anything outside that is no longer part of the CMA, it is either the responsibility of DWS in Northern Cape or Eastern Cape. Please confirm if remainder of farm 19 is located in Outdshorn or Laingsburg.	CSIR: It was noted and communicated to the BGCMA on 05/07/2021 that farm portions, which form part of the proposed development and fall within the Breede-Gouritz Catchment Management Area, were not included in revised comments letter received from the BGCMA on 01/07/2021. It was also requested that the BGCMA kindly confirm if their comments refer to Portion 4, 5, 6 and 8 and of Farm 115, as this was not specified in the title of the letter received. The CSIR confirmed that the Remainder of Farm 19 (Surveyor General 21 Digit Code: C0610000000000001900000) is located within

NO	DATE OF COMMENT, FORMAT OF COMMENT, NAME OF ORGANISATION/I&AP	COMMENTS	RESPONSE FROM EAP/APPLICANT/SPECIALIST
32.	08/07/2021,	Email 1: Dear Dhiveshni. Please note that RE/19 is within the BGCMA	CSIR: This comment is noted. This stakeholder
	Email,	jurisdiction, hence the comments are also applicable to this property.	was also asked to kindly confirm if the BGCMA
	Zama Mbunquka	Thanks	will be providing an amended letter of
	Breede-Gouritz Catchment		comments as discussed above.
	Management Agency (BGCMA)	Email 2: Dear Dhiveshni. Will definitely do that before the end of today	
	08/07/2021,	Dear Dhiveshni. Please find attached the amended version, I have been	CSIR: This comment is noted. Please note only
	Email,	struggling with the network hence I could not send on time. Many	the most recent, revised letter of comments
	Zama Mbunquka	apologies for the delay.	received from the BGCMA is included in
	Breede-Gouritz Catchment		Section 6 of this Comments and Responses
	Management Agency (BGCMA)		Reports and in Appendix D.9 of the Final
			Scoping Report.

2. DEPARTMENT OF FORESTRY, FISHERIES AND THE ENVIRONMENT (DFFE)

2.1 THE DIRECTORATE: INTEGRATED ENVIRONMENTAL AUTHORISATION

NO.	DATE OF COMMENT, FORMAT OF COMMENT, NAME OF ORGANISATION/I&AP	COMMENTS	RESPONSE FROM EAP/APPLICANT/SPECIALIST
1.	25/06/2021,	COMMENTS ON THE DRAFT SCOPING REPORT FOR THE PROPOSED	CSIR: Thank you for the comments received on the Draft
	Letter (received via email on	DEVELOPMENT OF THE 204.6 MW KWAGGA WIND ENERGY FACILITY	Scoping Report (DSR) for the proposed Kwagga WEF 3
	30/06/2021),	3 (KWAGGA WEF 3), NEAR BEAUFORT WEST, WESTERN CAPE.	development. Responses are provided below to each
	Sabelo Malaza, Milicent		comment raised:
	Solomon,	The Application for Environmental Authorisation and Draft Scoping	
	DFFE	Report (SR) dated May 2021 and received by the Department on 28	Refer to Section 4.2 of the Final Scoping Report
		May 2021, refer.	where it is described how the listed activities applied

NO.	DATE OF COMMENT, FORMAT OF COMMENT, NAME OF ORGANISATION/I&AP	COMMENTS	RESPONSE FROM EAP/APPLICANT/SPECIALIST
		This letter serves to inform you that the following information must be included to the Final Scoping Report (FSR): (a) Listed Activities • Please ensure that all relevant listed activities are applied for, are specific and can be linked to the development activity or infrastructure as described in the project description. • It is noted Activity 9 (i) and (ii) is applied for, however, the applicability of this activity is questioned. The EAP also indicates that "it is highly unlikely that the storm water drains will exceed 1 000 meters in length". The FSR must include only applicable listed activities. • The application form states that "a separate Environmental Assessment Process will be undertaken at a later stage once the grid connection and the 132 kV power line routing for the proposed Kwagga WEF 3 project has been confirmed, and hence does not form part of this Scoping and EIA Process". However, Activity 11 (i) of Listing Notice 1 is also being applied for. Please clarify this. • Please take note that Activity 14, referring to the development and related operation of facilities or infrastructure, for the storage and handling, of dangerous goods, being applied for is depended on the type of technology to be used. If the preferred technology is the Solid State Lithium Ion Batteries for the BESS, this activity may not be applicable. Installations, facilities or	for are linked to the project description. In addition, all relevant listed activities triggered by the proposed project have now been applied for. The listed activities included in the Draft Scoping Report were based on the worst case and precautionary approach. However, based on this comment, to ensure that only applicable activities are applied for, the listed activities originally applied for in the Draft Scoping Report were reviewed. It has been confirmed by the Project Developer that the following listed activities are no longer applicable because the capacities or thresholds noted in the listed activities will not be exceeded. - GN R327: Activity 9: The development of infrastructure exceeding 1 000 metres in length for the bulk transportation of water or storm water. - GN R325: Activity 4: The development and related operation of facilities or infrastructure, for the storage, or storage and handling of a dangerous goods, where such storage occurs in containers with a combined capacity of more than 500 cubic meters. Therefore, the above listed activities have subsequently been removed from the EA Application and the Final Scoping Report has been updated accordingly.

NO.	OF COMMENT, FORMAT OF COMMENT, NAME OF ORGANISATION/I&AP	COMMENTS	RESPONSE FROM EAP/APPLICANT/SPECIALIST
		infrastructure related to the development (or expansion) of	Note that the Project Developer has subsequently
		battery energy storage systems, will not trigger any of the	confirmed that the construction and operational phases
		activities related to the development and related operation	of the proposed WEF project will require infrastructure
		of facilities or infrastructure, for the storage, or storage and	for the storage and handling of dangerous goods
		handling of a dangerous good, where such storage occurs in	including flammable and combustible liquids such as
		containers with a combined capacity, quantified by the	chemicals, fuel, oils, lubricants and solvents of a
		relevant threshold for the activity listed or specified in the	combined capacity of more than 30 m³ but not exceeding
		relevant Listing Notice. Please clarify and confirm the	80 m³, and therefore the following listed activity has
		applicability of the abovementioned activity.	been included in the EA Application as well as Table 4.1
		 If the activities applied for in the application form differ from 	in Section 4.2 of Chapter 4 of the Final Scoping Report:
		those mentioned in the FSR, an amended application form	- GN R324: Activity 10 (i) (ii): The development
		must be submitted. Please note that the Department's	and related operation of facilities or
		application form template has been amended and can be	infrastructure for the storage, or storage and
		downloaded from the following link	handling of a dangerous good, where such
		https://www.environment.gov.za/documents/forms.	storage occurs in containers with a combined
			capacity of 30 but not exceeding 80 cubic metres
			-
			o i. Western Cape
			 ii. All areas outside urban areas.
			Activity 11 (i) states "The development of facilities or infrastructure for the transmission and distribution
			of electricity (i) outside urban areas or industrial
			complexes with a capacity of more than 33 but less
			than 275 kilovolts". Activity 11 (i) (GN327) is
			specifically applied for the construction of a
			33kV/132kV on-site substation hub incorporating
			the facility substation, switchyard, collector
			infrastructure and associated Operations and

NO.	DATE OF COMMENT, FORMAT OF COMMENT, NAME OF ORGANISATION/I&AP	COMMENTS	RESPONSE FROM EAP/APPLICANT/SPECIALIST
			Maintenance (O&M) buildings. The substation hub qualifies as facilities or infrastructure for the transmission and distribution of electricity. In addition, the proposed project will be constructed on various farm portions approximately 60 km south of Beaufort West within the Prince Albert Local Municipality and the Beaufort West Local Municipality, Western Cape Province and is therefore situated outside of the urban edge. This activity would therefore be triggered. The same listed activity will also be applied for during the separate Environmental Assessment for the actual power line extending from the on-site substation hub to the national grid. In response to this comment, the Project Developer has confirmed that both solid state and flow BESS technologies are being considered for this proposed WEF project; however, should flow BESS be selected as the preferred BESS technology and the need for electrolytes for the flow BESS be needed to be stored in separate storage tanks, the combined capacities of the tanks will not exceed that specified in Listed Activity 4 of Listing Notice 2 (GN R 325 of the 2014 NEMA EIA Regulations (as amended) and therefore this listed activity was removed from the EA Application accordingly (see above). In addition, the impacts associated with the relevant BESS technology alternatives (i.e. Nickel based BESS, High Temperature BESS, Lead Acid and Advanced Lead

DATE OF COMMENT, FORMAT NO. OF COMMENT, NAME OF ORGANISATION/I&AP	COMMENTS	RESPONSE FROM EAP/APPLICANT/SPECIALIST
2. 25/06/2021, Letter (received via email on 30/06/2021), Sabelo Malaza, Milicent	 (b) Layout & Sensitivity Maps Please provide a layout map which indicates the following: The proposed grid infrastructure for the above WEF, overlain by the sensitivity map; 	Acid BESS, Lithium ion BESS, and Redox Flow BESS) will be assessed in detail during the EIA Phase and the preferred technology will be provided in the Draft EIA Report. CSIR: These comments are noted. As is noted throughout the Draft Scoping report, the Project Developer is still investigating the different options for the grid connection and associated
Solomon, DFFE	 All supporting onsite infrastructure e.g. roads (existing and proposed); The location of sensitive environmental features on site e.g. CBAs, heritage sites, wetlands, drainage lines etc. that will be affected; Buffer areas; and All "no-go" areas. The above map must be overlain with a sensitivity map and a cumulative map which shows neighbouring renewable energy developments and existing grid infrastructure. Google maps will not be accepted. 	transmission line routings for the proposed WEF. Therefore, a separate Environmental Assessment Processes will be undertaken at a later stage once the preferred grid connection and the transmission line routing for the proposed Kwagga WEF 3 has been confirmed, and hence does not form part of this Scoping and EIA Process. The main body of the Final Scoping Report contains all maps as requested, and maps are also included throughout the document (refer to the list of figures upfront in the Final Scoping Report). Chapter 3 of the Final Scoping Report also includes relevant features identified by the Specialists. Refer to Chapter 3 of the Final Scoping Report for the relevant sensitivity maps. Please note that the fine scale sensitivities mapped by the specialists within the proposed project development area, and the fine scale project layout cannot be easily seen when combined with a 50 km radius cumulative map. Scale

NO.	DATE OF COMMENT, FORMAT OF COMMENT, NAME OF ORGANISATION/I&AP	COMMENTS	RESPONSE FROM EAP/APPLICANT/SPECIALIST
			cumulative map. A feature- and sensitivity map has been provided in Chapter 3 of this Final Scoping Report to cover these points. A separate cumulative map is also provided in Chapter 3 (i.e. Figure 3.4.2 and Figure 3.4.3) of the Final Scoping Report, as well as in the relevant specialist assessments included in Appendix F. Maps compiled by the CSIR in the Final Scoping Report are not produced using Google Maps.
3.	25/06/2021, Letter (received via email on 30/06/2021), Sabelo Malaza, Milicent Solomon, DFFE	 Public Participation Process Please ensure that all issues raised and comments received during the circulation of the Draft SR from registered I&APs and Organs of State, which have jurisdiction (including this Department's Biodiversity Section) in respect of the proposed activity are adequately addressed in the FSR. Proof of correspondence with the various stakeholders must be included in the FSR. Should you be unable to obtain comments, proof should be submitted to the Department of the attempts that were made to obtain comments. The Public Participation Process must be conducted in terms of Regulation 39, 40 41, 42, 43 & 44 of the EIA Regulations 2014, as amended. A Comments and Response Report report (C&R) must be submitted with the FSR. The C&R report must incorporate all historical comments for this development. The C&R report must be a separate document from the main report and the format must be in the table format as indicated in Annexure 1 of this comments letter. Please refrain from summarising 	 Prior to the commencement of the Scoping and EIA Processes (and advertising the Environmental Authorisation Processes in the local print media), an initial database of I&APs (including key stakeholders and Organs of State) was developed based on research and interaction with the DFFE and Project Developer. A detailed copy of the I&AP database is included in the Final Scoping Report that is being submitted to the DFFE for decision-making. The database includes the names, contact details and addresses of the I&APs, as well as an indication of the interaction with I&APs, as well as all I&APs that have been added to the project database based on requests, submission of comments or based on research. This complies with Regulation 42 of the 2014 NEMA EIA Regulations (as amended). Copies of all comments received during the 30-day comment period of the Draft Scoping Report have

NO.	OATE OF COMMENT, FORMAT OF COMMENT, NAME OF ORGANISATION/I&AP	COMMENTS	RESPONSE FROM EAP/APPLICANT/SPECIALIST
	ORGANISATION/I&AP	comments made by I&APs. All comments from I&APs must be copied verbatim and responded to clearly. Please note that a response such as "Noted" is not regarded as an adequate response to I&AP's comments. • The FSR must provide evidence that all identified and relevant competent authorities have been given an opportunity to comment on the proposed development; particularly the South African Astronomical Observatory, the Western Cape Environmental Department the District and Local Municipalities.	 been captured in Appendix D.8 of this Final Scoping Report. A detailed Comments and Responses Report (i.e. this Report) is included as part of this Final Scoping Report. This Comments and Responses Report includes all comments received during the public participation process on the Draft Scoping Report, as well as responses provided to all these comments and issues raised. Comments received from the DFFE have been included in this section as well. All comments received have been duly considered and adequately addressed in this Comments and Responses Report. All issues raised and comments received during the review of the Draft Scoping Report from registered I&APs and Organs of State (including the DFFE Biodiversity and Conservation Directorate) which have jurisdiction in terms of the proposed activity, have been adequately addressed in this Comments and Responses Report, and the Final Scoping Report, where applicable and necessary. Proof of correspondence with various stakeholders such as letters received from DFFE, BGCMA, SARAO and the Western Cape DEA&DP is included in Appendix D.9 of this Final Scoping Report. It includes the necessary proof of
			Western Cape DEA&DP is included in Appendix D.9 of this

NO.	DATE OF COMMENT, FORMAT OF COMMENT, NAME OF ORGANISATION/I&AP	COMMENTS	RESPONSE FROM EAP/APPLICANT/SPECIALIST
4.	25/06/2021, Letter (received via email on	(d) Specialist Assessments • Specialist studies to be conducted must provide a detailed	and newspapers. It also shows relevant follow up emails sent in order to remind stakeholders of the comment period closure, in order to seek comments. Refer to Chapter 4 of the Final Scoping Report, for background on the Public Participation Process, including feedback on compliance with the regulations relating to Public Participation. Also, refer to the Public Participation Plan included in Appendix D.1 of the Final Scoping Report, which provides feedback on compliance with the 2014 NEMA EIA Regulations (as amended). CSIR: These comments are noted. • Specialists Site Sensitivity Verifications were
	30/06/2021), Sabelo Malaza, Milicent Solomon, DFFE	 Specialist studies to be conducted must provide a detailed description of their methodology, as well as indicate the locations and descriptions of turbine positions, and all other associated infrastructures that they have assessed and are recommending for authorisations. The specialist studies must also provide a detailed description of all limitations to their studies. All specialist studies must be conducted in the right season and providing that as a limitation, will not be accepted. Should the appointed specialists specify contradicting recommendations, the EAP must clearly indicate the most reasonable recommendation and substantiate this with defendable reasons; and were necessary, include further expertise advice. It is further brought to your attention that Procedures for the Assessment and Minimum Criteria for Reporting on identified 	conducted in accordance with Part A and Part B of the Government Gazette 43110, GN R320, where required. The gazetted Procedures for the assessment and minimum requirements for reporting on identified environmental themes in terms of Sections 24(5) (a) and (h) and 44 of the National Environmental Management Act, 1998, when applying for environmental authorisation (GG 43110 / GN R320, 20 March 2020) was adhered to in terms of the minimum reports requirements for the Scoping Phase, and will be complied with, as applicable, during the EIA Phase. Please refer to Appendix F of this Final Scoping Report for the Specialists Assessments.

DATE OF COMMENT, FORMAT NO. OF COMMENT, NAME OF COMMENTS ORGANISATION/I&AP	RESPONSE FROM EAP/APPLICANT/SPECIALIST
Environmental Themes in terms of Sections 24(5)(a) and (h) and 44 of the National Environmental Management Act, 1998, when applying for Environmental Authorisation, which were promulgated in Government Notice No. 320 of 20 March 2020 (i.e. "the Protocols"), and in Government Notice No. 1150 of 30 October 2020 (i.e. protocols for terrestrial plant and animal species), have come into effect. Please note that specialist assessments must be conducted in accordance with these protocols.	 Specialist Impact Assessments, where relevant, will be conducted in adherence to Part A and B of the gazetted Protocol requirements of GN R320 during the EIA phase. All Specialist Assessments will include a detailed description of their methodologies, project infrastructure descriptions and locations, limitations applicable to the study, and recommendations for authorisations. All specialist fieldwork required for this proposed project was conducted during very dry conditions amidst an ongoing drought in the Central Karoo region, albeit during late spring/early summer following the start of the rainy season. Details on the suitability of the season for fieldwork will be provided in the Specialist Assessments during the EIA Phase. The EAP will clearly indicate the most reasonable recommendation substantiated by defendable reasons should any of the appointed Specialists specify contradicting recommendations in their assessments during the EIA phase. Further expert advice will be sought to substantiate such recommendations, if required. As noted above, all Specialist Assessments were conducted in adherence to the Procedures for the assessment and minimum requirements for reporting on identified environmental themes in

NO.	DATE OF COMMENT, FORMAT OF COMMENT, NAME OF ORGANISATION/I&AP	COMMENTS	RESPONSE FROM EAP/APPLICANT/SPECIALIST
			National Environmental Management Act, 1998 as published in Government Notice 320 in March 2020. Note that the Terrestrial Biodiversity Specialist Assessments undertaken as part of these Scoping and EIA Processes for the proposed Kwagga WEF 1-3 projects were commissioned prior to the publication date of the Species Protocols (Government Notice No. 1150 of 30 October 2020) i.e. 30 October 2020, and therefore the terrestrial animal and plant species components form part of the Terrestrial Biodiversity Specialist Assessments that are being undertaken in adherence to the protocol specified in GN R320. Please refer to Appendix G for contractual proof showing appointment of the Specialist and commencement of the Specialist Assessments prior to 30 October 2020. The above approach was also presented to the Competent Authority during the preapplication consultation process and no objections were noted. Please refer to Appendix E for a copy of the pre-application consultation consultation correspondence with DFFE.
5.	25/06/2021, Letter (received via email on 30/06/2021), Sabelo Malaza, Milicent Solomon, DFFE	 (e) Cumulative Assessment Should there be any other similar projects within a 30 km radius of the proposed development site, the cumulative impact assessment for all identified and assessed impacts must be refined to indicate the following: 	CSIR: These comments are noted. Renewable energy (RE) projects located within a 50 km radius of the proposed Kwagga WEF project cluster, that have received an EA or has a BA/EIA process underway at the time of starting this Scoping and EIA Process, as well as the three proposed Kwagga WEF developments, will be considered in the Cumulative Impact Assessment during the EIA

NO.	DATE OF COMMENT, FORMAT OF COMMENT, NAME OF ORGANISATION/I&AP	COMMENTS	RESPONSE FROM EAP/APPLICANT/SPECIALIST
	ORGANISATION/I&AP	 Identified cumulative impacts must be clearly defined, and where possible the size of the identified impact must be quantified and indicated, i.e. hectares of cumulatively transformed land. Detailed process flow and proof must be provided, to indicate how the specialist's recommendations, mitigation measures and conclusions from the various similar developments in the area were taken into consideration in the assessment of cumulative impacts and when the conclusion and mitigation measures were drafted for this project. The cumulative impacts significance rating must also inform the need and desirability of the proposed development. A cumulative impact environmental statement on whether the proposed development must proceed. 	Phase of this project. The reason for selecting a 50 km radius instead of the recommended 30 km radius was to ensure the inclusion of a larger number of RE projects in the Cumulative Impact Assessment, since only two other RE projects were found to be located within the recommended 30 km radius from the proposed Kwagga project cluster. No additional RE projects within a 50 km radius will be considered following the submission of the Final Scoping Report, in order to close out the list of projects considered during the EIA Phase. Refer to Appendix E of this Final Scoping Report for a copy of the pre-application consultation with the DFFE, wherein this approach was confirmed, as well as the DFFE's approval thereof. The RE projects located within a 50 km radius of the proposed Kwagga WEF project cluster that will be considered in the Cumulative Impact Assessment are detailed in Table 7.3 and Table 7.4 and shown in Figure 7.1 within Chapter 7 of this Final Scoping Report.
			The DFFE's content guidelines on the cumulative impact assessments are duly noted, will be adhered to during the EIA phase of the proposed project where possible, and will be documented in the Draft EIA Report.
6.	25/06/2021, Letter (received via email on 30/06/2021), Sabelo Malaza, Milicent Solomon, DFFE	General You are further reminded to comply with Regulation 21 (1) of the NEMA EIA Regulations 2014, as amended, which states that:	CSIR: These comments are noted. The timeframes in Regulation 21 (1) have been adhered to in the submission of this Final Scoping Report. The Application Form for EA was submitted to the DFFE, together with the Draft Scoping Reports

NO.	DATE OF COMMENT, FORMAT OF COMMENT, NAME OF ORGANISATION/I&AP	COMMENTS	RESPONSE FROM EAP/APPLICANT/SPECIALIST
		"If S&EIR must be applied to an application, the applicant must, within 44 days of receipt of the application by the competent authority, submit to the competent authority a scoping report which has been subjected to a public participation process of at least 30 days and which reflects the incorporation of comments received, including any comments of the competent authority"	for comment, on 28 May 2021. In line with Regulation 21 (1) of the 2014 EIA Regulations (as amended), the Final Scoping Report needed to be submitted to the DFFE for decision-making within 44 days of receipt of the application by the Competent Authority.
		You are are further reminded that the FSR to be submitted to this Department must comply with all the requirements in terms of the scope of assessment and content of Scoping reports in accordance with Appendix 2 and Regulation 21 (1) of the EIA Regulations 2014, as amended.	The Final Scoping Report complies with all the requirements in terms of the scope of assessment and content of Scoping Reports in accordance with Appendix 2 of the 2014 NEMA EIA Regulations (as amended). Refer to the Executive Summary of the Final Scoping Report for additional information on
		Further, note that in terms of Regulation 45 of the EIA Regulations 2014, as amended, this application will lapse if the applicant fails to meet any of the timeframes prescribed in terms of these Regulations, unless an extension has been granted in terms of Regulation 3(7).	 compliance with Appendix 2. The reminder regarding failure to meet any timeframes stipulated in Regulation 45 of the 2014 NEMA EIA Regulations (as amended) is noted.
		You are hereby reminded of Section 24F of the National Environmental Management Act, Act No. 107 of 1998, as amended, that no activity may commence prior to an Environmental Authorisation being granted by the Department.	The Project Developer is aware of Section 24F of the National Environmental Management Act (Act 107 of 1998, as amended).

2.2 THE DIRECTORATE: BIODIVERSITY CONSERVATION

	DATE OF COMMENT, FORMAT		
NO.	OF COMMENT, NAME OF	COMMENTS	RESPONSE FROM EAP/APPLICANT/SPECIALIST
	ORGANISATION/I&AP		
1.	28/06/2021,	COMMENTS ON THE DRAFT SCOPING REPORT FOR THE PROPOSED	CSIR: This comment is noted. The location of the proposed
	Letter (received via email on	204.6 MW KWAGGA WIND ENERGY FACILITY 3 NEAR BEAUFORT	project in relation to the REDZ and Strategic Transmission
	28/06/2021),	WEST, WESTERN CAPE PROVINCE	Corridors are noted and described in the Final Scoping Report.
	Seoka Lekota and Aulicia Maifo,		Section 5.1.5.1 in Chapter 5 of the Final Scoping Report
	DFFE, The Directorate:	The Directorate: Biodiversity Conservation has reviewed and	specifically describes in detail the proximity of the proposed
	Biodiversity Conservation	evaluated the aforementioned report.	project to the Beaufort West REDZ and the Central Strategic
			Transmission Corridor.
		According to the information provided in the Draft Scoping Report	
		(DSR) the proposed development site is not located within any of the	The Aquatic Biodiversity Specialist Assessment as well as the
		Renewable Energy Development Zones (REDZs) and is also not located	Terrestrial Biodiversity Specialist Assessment have already
		within any of the Strategic Transmission Corridors.	taken into consideration the Western Cape Biodiversity Spatial
			Plan (WCBSP, 2017), the National Biodiversity Assessment
		The final Scoping Report must include the following as guidelines	(NBA, 2018), and the NEMBA National List of Threatened
		considered and ensure that the proposed project is in compliance	Ecosystems (Government Gazette No 34809, General Notice
		with their requirements:	1002, 9 December 2011, Department of Environmental
		All relevant provincial Biodiversity Plans;	Affairs).
		NEMBA National List of Threatened Ecosystem that are	
		threatened and in need of protection; and	Note that the Terrestrial Biodiversity Specialist Assessment
		Draft Species Environmental Assessment guideline.	undertaken as part of these Scoping and EIA Processes for the
			proposed Kwagga WEF 1-3 projects were commissioned prior
			to the publication date of the Species Protocols (Government
			Notice No. 1150 of 30 October 2020) and the Species
			Environmental Assessment Guideline, and therefore the
			terrestrial animal and plant species components of these
			projects form part of the Terrestrial Biodiversity Specialist

NO.	DATE OF COMMENT, FORMAT OF COMMENT, NAME OF ORGANISATION/I&AP	COMMENTS	RESPONSE FROM EAP/APPLICANT/SPECIALIST
			Assessments that are being undertaken in adherence to the protocol specified in GN R320. Please refer to Appendix G for contractual proof showing appointment of the Terrestrial Biodiversity Specialist and commencement of the Specialist Assessments prior to 30 October 2020. The above approach was also presented to the Competent Authority during the pre-application consultation process and no objections were
			noted. Please refer to Appendix E for a copy of the pre- application consultation correspondence with DFFE.
2.	28/06/2021, Letter (received via email on 28/06/2021), Seoka Lekota and Aulicia Maifo, DFFE, The Directorate: Biodiversity Conservation	NB: The Public Participation Process documents related to Biodiversity EIA for review and queries should be submitted to the Directorate: Biodiversity Conservation at Email; BCAdmin@environment.gov.za for attention of Mr. Seoka Lekota.	CSIR: This comment is noted. This stakeholder has been included on the I&AP database. Refer to Appendix C of this Scoping Report for a copy of the I&AP database.

2.3 THE DIRECTORATE: PROTECTED AREAS PLANNING AND MANAGEMENT EFFECTIVENESS

NO.	DATE OF COMMENT, FORMAT OF COMMENT, NAME OF ORGANISATION/I&AP	COMMENTS	RESPONSE FROM EAP/APPLICANT/SPECIALIST
1.	28/06/2021,	Good day	CSIR: This comment is noted. Section 3.2.9.2 as well as Section
	Email,		3.5 in Chapter 3 of the Final Scoping Report includes feedback
	Rofhiwa Magodi, DFFE, The	The Directorate: Protected Areas Planning and Management	on the location of Protected Areas in relation to the proposed
	Directorate: Protected Areas	Effectiveness, would like to thank you for the opportunity to review	project. The proposed project falls outside of any declared
	Planning and Management	the Draft Scoping Reports for the proposed development of three	protected areas, and thus will not have any detrimental impacts
	Effectiveness		on conservation planning.

NO.	DATE OF COMMENT, FORMAT OF COMMENT, NAME OF ORGANISATION/I&AP	COMMENTS	RESPONSE FROM EAP/APPLICANT/SPECIALIST
		Kwagga Wind Energy Facilities (WEF) near Beaufort West Town in the Western Cape.	
		We have noted that the proposed development will not take place within any kind of protected areas in terms of Section 9 of the National Environmental Management: Protected Areas Act (NEMPAA), Act No. 57 of 2003. However, there is Karoo National Park and Steenbokkie Private Nature Reserve located approximately 60 km north of the proposed sites of the WEF. Further, there are Cape Floral Region Protected Areas situated approximately 55 km south of the proposed sites. Therefore, the Directorate of the Protected Areas Planning and Management Effectiveness does not have the comments on the proposed project as it does not fall within the protected areas.	

3. WESTERN CAPE DEPARTMENT OF ENVIRONMENTAL AFFAIRS AND DEVELOPMENT PLANNING (DEA&DP)

NO.	DATE OF COMMENT, FORMAT OF COMMENT, NAME OF ORGANISATION/I&AP	COMMENTS	RESPONSE FROM EAP/APPLICANT/SPECIALIST
1.	28/06/2021,	COMMENTS ON THE DRAFT SCOPING REPORT AND PLAN OF STUDY	CSIR: This comment is noted. The email addresses of the officials
	Letter (received via email on	FOR ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACT ASSESSMENT FOR THE PROPOSED	indicated in this correspondence have been included on the I&AP
	28/06/2021), Keshni Rughoobeer	DEVELOPMENT OF THE 204.6MW KWAGGA WIND ENERGY FACILITY	database, if not already registered. Refer to Appendix C of this
	and Adri La Meyer, Western Cape	3 AND ASSOCIATED INFRASTRUCTURE ON PORTIONS 1, 2 AND 3 OF	Scoping Report for a copy of the I&AP database.
	DEA&DP, Directorate:	THE FARM ARTHURS KRAAL NO. 386, REMAINDER OF FARM ANNEX	
	Development Facilitation	TAAIBOS NO. 21, PORTIONS 4, 5, 6 AND 8 OF THE FARM	
		CYFERFONTEIN NO. 115 AND PORTIONS 5 AND 7 OF THE FARM MUIS	
		KRAAL NO. 373, BEAUFORT WEST	

NO.	DATE OF COMMENT, FORMAT OF COMMENT, NAME OF ORGANISATION/I&AP	COMMENTS	RESPONSE FROM EAP/APPLICANT/SPECIALIST
		AND PRINCE ALBERT MUNICIPALITIES The e-mail notification of 28 May 2021 regarding the availability of the Draft Scoping Report for comments refers. Please find consolidated comment from various directorates within the Department on the Draft Scoping Report ("DSR") and Plan of Study for Environmental Impact Assessment ("EIA") dated May 2021 that was available for download from various online platforms provided by the environmental assessment practitioner ("EAP"). Please direct any enquiries via e-mail to the official/s indicated in this correspondence should you require any clarity on any of the comments provided. The Department reserves the right to revise or withdraw comments or request further information based on any information received.	

3.1 DIRECTORATE: DEVELOPMENT MANAGEMENT (REGION 3)

NO.	DATE OF COMMENT, FORMAT OF COMMENT, NAME OF ORGANISATION/I&AP	COMMENTS	RESPONSE FROM EAP/APPLICANT/SPECIALIST
1.	28/06/2021,	This Directorate will provide detailed comment on the Draft EIA Report	
	Letter (received via email on		
	28/06/2021), Keshni Rughoobeer	("DFFE") accept the Final Scoping Report ("FSR").	Draft EIA Report for this proposed project.
	and Adri La Meyer, Western Cape		
	DEA&DP, Directorate:		
	Development Facilitation		

	DATE OF COMMENT, FORMAT		
NO.	OF COMMENT, NAME OF	COMMENTS	RESPONSE FROM EAP/APPLICANT/SPECIALIST
	ORGANISATION/I&AP		
	28/06/2021,		
	(received via email on		
	28/06/2021), Ms Jessica Christie,		
	Western Cape DEA&DP,		
	Directorate: Development		
	Management (Region 3)		

3.2 DIRECTORATE: DEVELOPMENT FACILITATION

NO.	DATE OF COMMENT, FORMAT OF COMMENT, NAME OF ORGANISATION/I&AP	COMMENTS	RESPONSE FROM EAP/APPLICANT/SPECIALIST
1.	28/06/2021, (received via email on 28/06/2021), Keshni Rughoobeer	Section 2.1.2.5 of the DSR indicates that the total length of the internal service road network for the proposed Kwagga wind energy facility ("WEF") 3 would be approximately 33km. Please indicate in the Draft	CSIR: This comment is noted. The maps to be included in the Draft EIA Report will differentiate between the portions of the stated internal road network that are existing, portions of the existing roads which require ungradies, and the portions of
	and Adri La Meyer, Western Cape DEA&DP, Directorate: Development Facilitation	EIA Report which proportion of the stated internal road network would be existing roads that would require upgrading, and what proportion will be newly constructed.	existing roads which require upgrading, and the portions of internal access roads which will be newly constructed.
	28/06/2021, (received via email on 28/06/2021), Mr Ryan Apolles, Western Cape DEA&DP, Directorate: Development Facilitation		
2.	28/06/2021, (received via email on 28/06/2021), Keshni Rughoobeer and Adri La Meyer, Western Cape	Its noted that a maximum of 33 wind turbines is preliminary proposed. This Directorate awaits the Draft EIA Report with specialist studies that should provide a refined layout, to provide further comments.	CSIR: This comment is noted. Detailed maps indicating the refined WEF layout will be included in the Draft EIA Report. Representatives of the Directorate will receive a notification

NO.	DATE OF COMMENT, FORMAT OF COMMENT, NAME OF	COMMENTS	RESPONSE FROM EAP/APPLICANT/SPECIALIST
	ORGANISATION/I&AP		
	DEA&DP, Directorate: Development Facilitation		indicating the release of the Draft EIA Report for a 30-day public comment period.
	28/06/2021, (received via email on 28/06/2021), Mr Ryan Apolles, Western Cape DEA&DP, Directorate: Development Facilitation		
3.	28/06/2021, (received via email on 28/06/2021), Keshni Rughoobeer and Adri La Meyer, Western Cape DEA&DP, Directorate: Development Facilitation 28/06/2021, (received via email on 28/06/2021), Mr Ryan Apolles, Western Cape DEA&DP, Directorate: Development Facilitation	It is noted that the cumulative impacts of the proposed WEF in relation to other renewable energy projects within 50 km of the proposed project site will be addressed in the Draft EIA Report. Please amend Table 7-3 of the DSR (Proposed renewable energy projects located within 50 km of the proposed Kwagga WEF projects) to include the following renewable energy applications that this Directorate is aware of, but which may not yet be indicated on the renewable energy EIA application database: • Proposed 75MW Beaufort West Photovoltaic Project near Beaufort West. Applicant: Beaufort West Photovoltaic (Pty) Ltd (DFFE reference: 14/12/16/3/3/1/2332). EAP: Nemai Consulting (Pty) Ltd. Status: In process (Final Basic Assessment Report submitted to the DFFE for a decision). • Proposed 280MW Nuweveld East wind farm and associated infrastructure across various properties near Beaufort West (DFFE reference: 14/12/16/3/3/2/2044). EAP: Zutari (Pty) Ltd. Status: In process (Draft EIA Report released for public comment).	CSIR: This comment is noted. As noted in Section 2.1 of this Comments and Responses Report, only renewable energy projects located within a 50 km radius of the proposed Kwagga WEF project cluster, that have received an EA or has a BA/EIA process underway at the time of starting this Scoping and EIA Process, as well as the three proposed Kwagga WEF developments, will be considered in the Cumulative Impact Assessment during the EIA Phase of this project. Refer to Appendix E of this Final Scoping Report for a copy of the preapplication consultation with the DFFE, wherein this approach was confirmed, as well as the DFFE's approval thereof. The four additional renewable energy projects which this Directorate has suggested are duly noted but are all located outside of the 50 km radius applied to the proposed Kwagga WEF project cluster, and would therefore not form part of the Cumulative Impact Assessment. Please refer to Figure 7.1 in Chapter 7 of the Final Scoping Report, which displays the 50 km radius applied to the proposed Kwagga WEF project

NO.	DATE OF COMMENT, FORMAT OF COMMENT, NAME OF ORGANISATION/I&AP	COMMENTS	RESPONSE FROM EAP/APPLICANT/SPECIALIST
		 Proposed 280MW Nuweveld North wind farm and associated infrastructure across various properties near Beaufort West (DFFE REF: 14/12/16/3/3/2/2042). EAP: Zutari (Pty) Ltd. Status: In process (Draft EIA Report released for public comment). Proposed 280MW Nuweveld West wind farm and associated infrastructure across various properties near Beaufort West (DFFE reference: 14/12/16/3/3/2/2043) EAP: Zutari (Pty) Ltd. Status: In process (Draft EIA Report released for public comment). Please feel free to contact this Directorate for further information regarding the above-mentioned renewable energy applications. 	

3.3 DIRECTORATE: POLLUTION AND CHEMICALS MANAGEMENT

NO.	DATE OF COMMENT, FORMAT OF COMMENT, NAME OF ORGANISATION/I&AP	COMMENTS	RESPONSE FROM EAP/APPLICANT/SPECIALIST
1.	28/06/2021,	Section 2.1.2.8 of the DSR details the proposed handling and disposal of	CSIR: This comment is noted. This comment has been
	(received via email on	sewage and liquid effluent. It is recommended that additional detail on	forwarded to the Project Developer. Additional detail on the
	28/06/2021), Keshni Rughoobeer	this aspect be included in the environmental impact reporting ("EIR")	handling and disposal of the sewage and liquid effluent will
	and Adri La Meyer, Western Cape	phase of the application, including the frequency of servicing of facilities	be provided in the EIA phase of the proposed Kwagga WEF
	DEADP, Directorate:	and conservancy tanks, and disposal of effluent, as opposed to using the	project development. The email with notification of the
	Development Facilitation	term "regular". Furthermore, it is <u>www.westerncape.gov.za</u> Department	proposed project was sent to various representatives from
		of Environmental Affairs and Development Planning 3 recommended	the Prince Albert Local Municipality and the Beaufort West
	28/06/2021,	that the relevant local municipalities (Beaufort West Municipality	Local Municipality. Refer to Appendix C of this Final Scoping
	(received via email on	and/or Prince Albert Municipality) are consulted during the application	Report for a copy of the I&AP database.
	28/06/2021), Ms Nabeelah	process to obtain confirmation of available unallocated service capacity	

NO.	DATE OF COMMENT, FORMAT OF COMMENT, NAME OF ORGANISATION/I&AP	COMMENTS	RESPONSE FROM EAP/APPLICANT/SPECIALIST
	Achmat, Western Cape DEADP, Directorate: Pollution and Chemicals Management	to accept sewage and effluent at the designated wastewater treatment works.	The Beaufort West Local Municipality and/or Prince Albert Local Municipality will receive a copy of the Draft EIA Report during the EIA Phase and comment will be sought from them accordingly, where possible. However, obtaining non-binding letters prior to bidding, as well as confirming actual Service Level Agreements with the local municipalities is understood to be outside of the EIA process, and will only be concluded if the project receives preferred bidder status in terms of the REIPPPP.
2.	28/06/2021, (received via email on 28/06/2021), Keshni Rughoobeer and Adri La Meyer, Western Cape DEADP, Directorate: Development Facilitation 28/06/2021, (received via email on 28/06/2021), Ms Nabeelah Achmat, Western Cape DEADP, Directorate: Pollution and Chemicals Management	The DSR states that the municipal water supply could not be "confirmed", and that the project developer will investigate "other water sources". Should an alternative water supply be required, such as groundwater, it is imperative that the Department of Water and Sanitation be consulted to ensure that the correct application process is undertaken concerning water use and licencing requirements, as well as ensuring that appropriate mitigation measures are in place to avoid and minimise any possible contamination to the water quality, given that the project is located in an arid region within the Nama Karoo Biome with groundwater quality considered to be generally good.	CSIR: This comment is noted. As stated in Section 2.1.5 in Chapter 2 of the Final Scoping Report, high water use is only anticipated during the first six months of the construction phase mainly for purposes of the turbine foundations, roads and dust suppression. Thereafter the water usage will decrease drastically. The water use requirement during the operational phase will be primarily for human consumption and sanitation purposes. It is proposed that water be sourced from either the Beaufort West Local Municipality or the Prince Albert Local Municipality, and specific arrangements will be agreed upon with the relevant Local Municipality in a Service Level Agreement, which will only be concluded if the project receives preferred bidder status in terms of the REIPPPP. Only if municipal water supply cannot be confirmed, the Project Developer will investigate other water sources (such as groundwater) and the Department of Water and Sanitation will be consulted to ensure that the correct application

NO.	DATE OF COMMENT, FORMAT OF COMMENT, NAME OF ORGANISATION/I&AP	COMMENTS	RESPONSE FROM EAP/APPLICANT/SPECIALIST
			process is undertaken concerning water use and licencing
			requirements, as well as ensuring that appropriate mitigation
			measures are in place to avoid and minimise any possible
			contamination to the water quality, as requested.
3.	28/06/2021,	It is recommended that a detailed Stormwater Management Plan be	CSIR: This comment is noted. A detailed Stormwater
	(received via email on	compiled to address possible contamination from the proposed battery	Management Plan will be compiled to address possible
	28/06/2021), Keshni Rughoobeer	energy storage system, and any concomitant discharge into the	contamination from the proposed battery energy storage
	and Adri La Meyer, Western Cape	stormwater system. The plan should indicate how stormwater will be	system, and any concomitant discharge into the stormwater
	DEADP, Directorate:	managed on site and be incorporated into the relevant sections of the	system, and included in the Environmental Management
	Development Facilitation	Environmental Management Programme ("EMPr") to be submitted as	Programme (EMPr), which will be included in the Draft EIA
		part of the EIR phase of the application.	Report.
	28/06/2021,		
	(received via email on	This Directorate awaits the Draft EIA Report and specialist assessments	Representatives of the Directorate will receive a notification
	28/06/2021), Ms Nabeelah	to provide further comment.	indicating the release of the Draft EIA Report for a 30-day
	Achmat, Western Cape DEADP,		public comment period.
	Directorate: Pollution and		
	Chemicals Management		

3.4 DIRECTORATE: WASTE MANAGEMENT

	DATE OF COMMENT, FORMAT		
NO.	OF COMMENT, NAME OF	COMMENTS	RESPONSE FROM EAP/APPLICANT/SPECIALIST
	ORGANISATION/I&AP		
1.	28/06/2021,	This Directorate agrees with the specialist studies as proposed in the	CSIR: This comment is noted. Representatives of the
	(received via email on	Plan of Study for EIA. This Directorate awaits the Draft EIA Report to	Directorate will receive a notification indicating the release of
	28/06/2021), Keshni Rughoobeer	provide further comment on the project.	the Draft EIA Report for a 30-day public comment period.
	and Adri La Meyer, Western Cape		

NO.	DATE OF COMMENT, FORMAT OF COMMENT, NAME OF ORGANISATION/I&AP	COMMENTS	RESPONSE FROM EAP/APPLICANT/SPECIALIST
	DEADP, Directorate: Development Facilitation		
	28/06/2021, (received via email on 28/06/2021), Muneeb Baderoon, Western Cape DEA&DP, Directorate: Waste Management		
2.	28/06/2021, (received via email on 28/06/2021), Keshni Rughoobeer and Adri La Meyer, Western Cape DEADP, Directorate: Development Facilitation 28/06/2021, (received via email on	Wind turbines and associated infrastructure must be appropriately placed to avoid any "no-go" areas and areas of very high and high sensitivities. This Directorate acknowledges that the layout of the proposed Kwagga WEF 3 will be refined by various specialists during the EIR phase.	CSIR: This comment is noted. Detailed maps indicating the refined WEF layout will be included in the Draft EIA Report. Representatives of the Directorate will receive a notification indicating the release of the Draft EIA Report for a 30-day public comment period.
	28/06/2021), Muneeb Baderoon, Western Cape DEA&DP, Directorate: Waste Management		
3.	28/06/2021, (received via email on 28/06/2021), Keshni Rughoobeer and Adri La Meyer, Western Cape DEADP, Directorate: Development Facilitation	Section 2.1.2.9 of the DSR dealing with solid waste generation is noted. An integrated waste management approach that incorporates the waste management hierarchy should be addressed in the forthcoming EMPr. Furthermore, this Directorate recommends than a waste management plan be compiled as part of the EMPr. Waste handling, storage and disposal during the construction and operation phases must be undertaken in accordance with the requirements of the	CSIR: This comment is noted. Detailed mitigation measures and management actions to address the handling, storage and disposal of waste will be included in the Environmental Management Programme (EMPr), which will be included in the Draft EIA Report.
	28/06/2021,	National	

NO.	DATE OF COMMENT, FORMAT OF COMMENT, NAME OF ORGANISATION/I&AP	COMMENTS	RESPONSE FROM EAP/APPLICANT/SPECIALIST
	(received via email on 28/06/2021), Muneeb Baderoon, Western Cape DEA&DP, Directorate: Waste Management	Environmental Management: Waste Act, 2008 (Act No. 59 of 2008) and its subordinate legislation, such as the relevant Norms and Standards.	Representatives of the Directorate will receive a notification indicating the release of the Draft EIA Report for a 30-day public comment period.
4.	28/06/2021, (received via email on 28/06/2021), Keshni Rughoobeer and Adri La Meyer, Western Cape DEADP, Directorate: Development Facilitation 28/06/2021, (received via email on 28/06/2021), Muneeb Baderoon, Western Cape DEA&DP, Directorate: Waste Management	This Directorate awaits the Draft EIA Report to provide further comment	CSIR: This comment is noted. Representatives of the Directorate will receive a notification indicating the release of the Draft EIA Report for a 30-day public comment period.

3.5 DIRECTORATE: AIR QUALITY

	DATE OF COMMENT, FORMAT		
NO.	OF COMMENT, NAME OF	COMMENTS	RESPONSE FROM EAP/APPLICANT/SPECIALIST
	ORGANISATION/I&AP		
1.	28/06/2021,	This Directorate notes that dust impacts will be assessed in the EIR	CSIR: This comment is noted. Impacts relating to the
	(received via email on	phase. It is recommended that all potential atmospheric emissions	generation of dust and resultant emissions will be assessed
	28/06/2021), Keshni Rughoobeer	emanating during the various phases of the proposed WEF be assessed	during the EIA Phase. Other emissions, such as those from
	and Adri La Meyer, Western Cape	in the forthcoming Draft EIA Report and EMPr	construction vehicles, are not expected to be significant
			during the construction and operational phases.

NO.	DATE OF COMMENT, FORMAT OF COMMENT, NAME OF ORGANISATION/I&AP	COMMENTS	RESPONSE FROM EAP/APPLICANT/SPECIALIST
	DEA&DP, Directorate: Development Facilitation 28/06/2021, (received via email on 28/06/2021), Mpendulo Dlamini, Western Cape DEA&DP, Directorate: Air Quality Management		Nevertheless, general management measures relating to the control of atmospheric emissions from vehicles and equipment during the construction and operational phase will be captured in the EIA Phase. Representatives of the Directorate will receive a notification indicating the release of the Draft EIA Report for a 30-day public comment period.
2.	28/06/2021, (received via email on 28/06/2021), Keshni Rughoobeer and Adri La Meyer, Western Cape DEA&DP, Directorate: Development Facilitation 28/06/2021, (received via email on 28/06/2021), Mpendulo Dlamini, Western Cape DEA&DP, Directorate: Air Quality Management	The generation of dust must comply with the National Dust Control Regulations (Government Notice No. R. 827 of 1 November 2013) promulgated in terms of the National Environmental Management: Air Quality Act, 2004 (Act No. 39 of 2004).	CSIR: This comment is noted. The potential impact of dust pollution during the construction and operational phases of the proposed project, should it receive Environmental Authorisation, will be assessed by the Specialists during the EIA phase. This requirement as stipulated will also be included in the Environmental Management Programme (EMPr) that will be included in the Draft EIA Report.
3.	28/06/2021, (received via email on 28/06/2021), Keshni Rughoobeer and Adri La Meyer, Western Cape DEA&DP, Directorate: Development Facilitation	It is noted that potential noise impacts will be assessed by a specialist in the EIR phase. Please note that noise generated during the proposed project phases must comply with the Western Cape Noise Control Regulations (Provincial Notice 200/2013).	CSIR: This comment is noted. The potential impact of noise pollution during the construction and operational phases of the proposed project, should it receive Environmental Authorisation, will be assessed by the Noise Specialist during the EIA phase. This requirement as stipulated will also be

NO.	DATE OF COMMENT, FORMAT OF COMMENT, NAME OF ORGANISATION/I&AP	COMMENTS	RESPONSE FROM EAP/APPLICANT/SPECIALIST
	28/06/2021, (received via email on 28/06/2021), Mpendulo Dlamini, Western Cape DEA&DP, Directorate: Air Quality Management		included in the Environmental Management Programme (EMPr) that will be included in the Draft EIA Report.

4. SOUTH AFRICAN BAT ASSESSMENT ASSOCIATION

NO	DATE OF COMMENT, FORMAT OF COMMENT, NAME OF ORGANISATION/I&AP	COMMENTS	RESPONSE FROM EAP/APPLICANT/SPECIALIST
1.	28/06/2021,	Dear EIA project team,	BAT SPECIALIST: Post-construction monitoring and carcass
	(received via email on		searching is recommended to be implemented in full
	28/06/2021),	Thank you for the Scoping reports for the three Kwagga WEFs near	compliance with the relevant bat monitoring guidelines at
	Eleanor J. (Kate) Richardson,	Beaufort West. I have read the reports and would like to make the	the time of implementation / or operation of the facility.
	South African Bat Assessment	following comments:	This is considered standard practice and will form part of
	Association (SABAA)		the recommendations in the final specialist impact
		I note that the developers have been warned of the possibility of	assessment report, during the relevant EIA phase. It is
		curtailment if bat deaths reach threshold levels. It is important that post-	agreed that bat carcasses must be examined in such detail
		construction monitoring and carcass searching is undertaken timeously	that they are identified to species level. In the event that
		and rigorously, and that the dead bats are identified to species level	positive identification is not possible, additional
		(preferably being sent to the Durban Natural Museum for correct	consultation will be made with relevant experts to aid in
		identification). The results also need to be analysed and sent to SABAA	the identification, which may include (but not limited to)
		and the EWT as soon as they are generated.	the Durban Natural Museum. This is considered standard
			practice and should be facilitated by an appropriate bat
			specialist during the post-construction phase. Results of

NO	DATE OF COMMENT, FORMAT OF COMMENT, NAME OF ORGANISATION/I&AP	COMMENTS	RESPONSE FROM EAP/APPLICANT/SPECIALIST
			the post-construction monitoring campaign will be
			distributed as per standard reporting protocols and
			requirements.
2.	28/06/2021,	Kwagga WEF1 Scoping report pages 1-14: instead of the CBA being	CSIR: Precautionary buffers and inputs provided by
	(received via email on	avoided "as far as possible", I would like to see it avoided completely.	specialists in the Scoping Phase of the proposed
	28/06/2021),		development will be applied to refine the layout of the
	Eleanor J. (Kate) Richardson,		WEF to avoid environmentally sensitive areas such as CBAs.
	South African Bat Assessment		Refer to Figure 3.4.2 and Figure 3.4.3 in Chapter 3 of the
	Association (SABAA)		Final Scoping Report for the preliminary combined
			sensitivity maps. Detailed maps indicating the refined WEF
			layout will be included in Draft EIA Report. Representatives
			of SABAA will receive a notification indicating the release
			of the Draft EIA Report for a 30-day public comment
			period, during which they are encouraged to submit
			comments on the Draft EIA Report.
3.	28/06/2021,	All three Scoping reports note (section 3.2.10) that "no roosts were	BAT SPECIALIST: As mentioned in sections 3.2 (Field
	(received via email on	located within the combined study area.". Most bat species in South	Surveys) of the specialist scoping inputs, potential bat
	28/06/2021),	Africa have small, cryptic roosts (the point, after all, is to not be seen by	roosting structures (including buildings, rocky outcrops and
	Eleanor J. (Kate) Richardson,	predators) and so unless each building was stripped to individual bricks	trees) were inspected for evidences. Such structures were
	South African Bat Assessment	and each tree stripped of its bark and dead leaves it is unlikely that bat	understandably not broken down and stripped to their
	Association (SABAA)	roosts would have been found. Since most of the smaller bat species like	individual units when undergoing the inspections.
		the Cape Serotine do not generally fly long distances to feed you can	Regardless, no evidences were found that would warrant
		assume the roosts were there, just not seen. After all, absence of	such structures to be considered as significant roosts.
		evidence is not evidence of absence.	Nonetheless, please note that precautionary buffers were
			applied, as detailed in sections 5.1 (Risk to Bats) of the
			specialist scoping inputs, where it states: "Important bat
			features including riparian habitats, buildings, cultivated

NO	DATE OF COMMENT, FORMAT OF COMMENT, NAME OF ORGANISATION/I&AP	COMMENTS	RESPONSE FROM EAP/APPLICANT/SPECIALIST
			areas, wetlands and farm dams, all of which have been buffered by 300 m". CSIR: Scoping inputs provided by the Bat Specialist are included in Appendix F.4 of the Final Scoping Report.
4.	28/06/2021, (received via email on 28/06/2021), Eleanor J. (Kate) Richardson, South African Bat Assessment Association (SABAA)	Please ensure the SABAA (chair@sabaa.org.za) is registered as an I&AP and continues to receive reports.	CSIR: This comment is noted. The email address provided was included on the pre-identified project database of I&APs and Organs of State at the outset of the Scoping and EIA Process. Refer to Appendix C of this Final Scoping Report for a copy of the I&AP database.

5. SOUTH AFRICAN RADIO ASTRONOMY OBSERVATORY (SARAO)

NO	DATE OF COMMENT, FORMAT OF COMMENT, NAME OF	COMMENTS	RESPONSE FROM EAP/APPLICANT/SPECIALIST
	ORGANISATION/I&AP		
1.	24/06/2021,	RE: DRAFT SCOPING REPORT ON THE PROPOSED DEVELOPMENT OF	CSIR: This comment is noted. Section 4.3.1 in Chapter 4 of this Final
	Letter (received via email	THREE	Scoping Report includes information on the Square Kilometre Array
	on25/06/2021),	KWAGGA WIND ENERGY FACILITIES NAMELY 279 MW KWAGGA	(SKA) and Karoo Central Astronomy Advantage Area (KCAAA) in
	Thato Nape and Selaelo	WEF 1, 341 KWAGGA WEF 2 AND 204.6 KWAGGA WEF 3 IN THE	relation to the proposed project. The proposed projects fall outside
	Matlhane,	WESTERN CAPE PROVINCE.	of the KCAAA, and thus will not have any detrimental impacts on the
	South African Radio Astronomy		SKA.
	Observatory (SARAO)	This letter is in response to the notification of the proposed wind	
		energy facilities and its possible impact on the Square Kilometre	Representatives of the SARAO will receive a notification indicating
		Array radio telescopes. SARAO has undertaken an impact	the release of the Draft EIA Report for a 30-day public comment
		assessment and based on the information provided it was	period, and will be kept on the project database.

NO	DATE OF COMMENT, FORMAT OF COMMENT, NAME OF ORGANISATION/I&AP	COMMENTS	RESPONSE FROM EAP/APPLICANT/SPECIALIST
		determined that the project represents a low risk of interference to the SKA radio telescope with a compliance surplus of 41.53 dBm/Hz. As such, we do not have any objection to the development. Thank you for your correspondence, we would appreciate it if you could keep us informed with the development of the project.	

6. BREEDE-GOURITZ CATCHMENT MANAGEMENT AGENCY

NO	DATE OF COMMENT, FORMAT OF COMMENT, NAME OF ORGANISATION/I&AP	COMMENTS	RESPONSE FROM EAP/APPLICANT/SPECIALIST
1.	30/06/2021, Letter (received via sent on 11/07/2021), Zama Mbunquka and Jan Van Staden, Breede-Gouritz Catchment Management Agency (BGCMA)		CSIR: This comment is noted. The Project Developer was informed of the requirement to apply for water authorisation in terms of section 21 (c) and (i) water uses of the National Water Act, 1998 (NWA) as stipulated. The Project Applicant will apply for and obtain the required authorisation prior to commencement of construction, should this project be granted Environmental Authorisation.
		Please note that the proposed Kwagga wind energy development constitutes section 21 (c) & (i) water uses of the National Water Act, 1998 (Act 36 of 1998) .The applicant must obtain authorization in	

NO	DATE OF COMMENT, FORMAT OF COMMENT, NAME OF ORGANISATION/I&AP	COMMENTS	RESPONSE FROM EAP/APPLICANT/SPECIALIST
		terms of the aforementioned Act prior to the commencement of the	
		proposed windfarm energy development.	
2.	30/06/2021,	Page 2 of the aquatic biodiversity and species report indicates that	CSIR: This comment is noted. The Beaufort West Local Municipality
	Letter (received via sent on	each windfarm energy facility will make use of approximately 8333 kl	and/or Prince Albert Local Municipality will be consulted to obtain
	11/07/2021), Zama Mbunquka	per month during the construction stage of the projects. Water used	the necessary non-binding letters confirming sufficient water
	and Jan Van Staden,	during the operation phase of the projects will primarily be for	availability for construction and operation of the proposed project
	Breede-Gouritz Catchment	drinking and sanitation purposes. The applicant proposes municipal	prior to bidding. Actual Service Level Agreements with the local
	Management Agency (BGCMA)	water be trucked to the site, however, it is noted that alternative	municipalities are only to be concluded after the project is selected
		sources are still being investigated. Please provide this office with the	as a preferred bidder in terms of the REIPPPP.
		service level agreement letter from the municipalities confirming the	
		availability of water for the proposed project.	
3.	30/06/2021,	It is noted that sewerage infrastructure for the above proposed	CSIR: These comments are noted.
	Letter (received via sent on	activity would be supplied by means of the chemical toiles during the	The Project Developer will be made aware that the disposal of
	11/07/2021), Zama Mbunquka	construction phase and conservancy tanks during the operational	sewage must at all times comply with the requirements of
	and Jan Van Staden,	phase. Please note the following concerning the disposal of sewage:	Sections 22 and 40 of the National Water Act of 1998, (Act 36
	Breede-Gouritz Catchment		of 1998). Such references and requirements will be included in
	Management Agency (BGCMA)	The disposal of sewage must at all times comply with the	the Environmental Management Programme (EMPr), which will
		requirements of Sections 22 and 40 of the National Water Act	be compiled as part of the EIA Phase.
		of 1998, (Act 36 of 1998.	The Environmental Management Programme (EMPr) which will
		Should chemical toilets facilities be used on-site during	be included in the Draft EIA Report, will make a note that should
		construction phase of project development to be used by	chemical toilet facilities be used on-site during the construction
		construction workers such toilets facilities must be located	phase, such toilet facilities must be located outside of the 1:
		outside of the 1: 100 year floodline.	100 year floodline.
		When a conservancy tank is used for the disposal of	As noted in Section 2.1.2.8 in Chapter 2 of the Final Scoping
		sewerage, this office must be furnished with a signed copy of	Report, the remote location of the project site indicates that it
		the contract between the contractor or the municipality	is highly likely that a conservancy tank system will be employed
		which is appointed to pump the conservancy tank.	on site during the operational phase. A registered company will
			be contracted to store and transport sewage from site to an

NO	DATE OF COMMENT, FORMAT OF COMMENT, NAME OF ORGANISATION/I&AP	COMMENTS	RESPONSE FROM EAP/APPLICANT/SPECIALIST
		The volume of sewage needs to be metered on a monthly basis and removal programme needs to ensure to be scheduled to ensure that the conservancy tank is pumped well within time before overflowing. It is the responsibility of the applicant to make an agreement with such Municipality in connection with sewer connection. Such agreement must be made available to this office.	 appropriate municipal wastewater treatment facility. Should a conservancy tank system be employed, the Project Applicant will provide the BGCMA with a signed copy of the contract between the contractor or the municipality which is appointed to empty the conservancy tank. The EMPr, which will be included in the Draft EIA Report, will make a note that the volume of sewage must be metered on a monthly basis and a removal programme will be compiled during the detailed design phase, post Environmental Authorisation and prior to construction, to ensure that the conservancy tank is emptied well within time before overflowing.
4.	30/06/2021, Letter (received via sent on 11/07/2021), Zama Mbunquka and Jan Van Staden, Breede-Gouritz Catchment Management Agency (BGCMA)	No pollution of surface water or ground water resources may occur due to any activity on the property.	CSIR: This comment is noted. Any hazardous waste such as chemicals or contaminated soil as a result of spillages, which may be generated during the construction and/or operational phases, will be temporarily stockpiled within a designated area on site and thereafter removed off site by a suitable service provider for safe disposal at a registered hazardous waste disposal facility. Measures to avoid, remedy, mitigate or manage waste will be included within the EMPr that will be compiled during the EIA phase and included within the Draft EIA Report.
5.	30/06/2021, Letter (received via sent on 11/07/2021), Zama Mbunquka and Jan Van Staden, Breede-Gouritz Catchment Management Agency (BGCMA)	The stormwater drainage network system must be kept separate from the sewage effluent system.	CSIR: This comment is noted. The EMPr, which will be included in the Draft EIA Report, will make a note that the stormwater drainage network system must be kept separate from the sewage effluent system.

NO	DATE OF COMMENT, FORMAT OF COMMENT, NAME OF ORGANISATION/I&AP	COMMENTS	RESPONSE FROM EAP/APPLICANT/SPECIALIST
6.	30/06/2021, Letter (received via sent on 11/07/2021), Zama Mbunquka and Jan Van Staden, Breede-Gouritz Catchment Management Agency (BGCMA)	All relevant sections and regulations of the National Environmental Management: Waste Act, 2008 (Act 59 of 2008) regarding the disposal of solid waste must be adhered to. Solid waste may only be disposed off onto an authorized solid waste facility in terms of abovementioned legislation.	CSIR: This comment is noted. As noted in Section 2.1.2.9 in Chapter 2 of the Final Scoping Report, any solid waste generated will be collected and temporarily stockpiled within designated areas on site during construction, and thereafter removed and disposed of at a nearby registered waste disposal facility on a regular basis as per an agreement with the Beaufort West and/or the Prince Albert Local Municipalities. Temporary storage on site will be undertaken in compliance with the National Environmental Management: Waste Act (Act 59 of 2008, as amended). Proof of disposal or waybills must be kept on file. Where possible, recycling and re-use of materials will be encouraged. The EMPr will include relevant management actions for the management of waste on site during all phases of the project.
			The Beaufort West Local Municipality and/or Prince Albert Local Municipality will be consulted with to obtain non-binding letters confirming the availability of unallocated service capacity to accept solid waste at the relevant authorized solid waste facility prior to bidding. Specific arrangements will be agreed upon with the relevant Local Municipality in a Service Level Agreement, which will only be concluded if the project receives preferred bidder status in terms of the REIPPPP.
7.	30/06/2021, Letter (received via sent on 11/07/2021), Zama Mbunquka and Jan Van Staden, Breede-Gouritz Catchment Management Agency (BGCMA)	The minimizing of waste must be promoted and alternative methods for waste management must be investigated.	CSIR: This comment is noted. Waste will mostly be generated during the construction and decommissioning phases of the project. Measures to avoid, remedy, mitigate or manage waste will be included within the EMPr that will be compiled during the EIA Phase and included within the Draft EIA Report.

NO	DATE OF COMMENT, FORMAT OF COMMENT, NAME OF ORGANISATION/I&AP	COMMENTS	RESPONSE FROM EAP/APPLICANT/SPECIALIST
8.	30/06/2021, Letter (received via sent on 11/07/2021), Zama Mbunquka and Jan Van Staden, Breede-Gouritz Catchment Management Agency (BGCMA)	No permanent structures maybe constructed within the 100-year flood line of any watercourse (seasonal or permanent river, stream, etc.) without authorisation in terms of National Water Act 1998 (Act 36 of 1998).	Waste generated on site will be disposed of at a licenced landfill site. The Beaufort West Local Municipality and/or Prince Albert Local Municipality will be consulted with to obtain non-binding letters confirming the availability of unallocated service capacity to accept solid waste at the relevant authorized solid waste facility prior to bidding. Specific arrangements will be agreed upon with the relevant Local Municipality in a Service Level Agreement, which will only be concluded if the project receives preferred bidder status in terms of the REIPPPP. CSIR: This comment is noted. The impact of the proposed project on the surrounding watercourses will be assessed in detail in the EIA Phase. The relevant listed activities for activities and development within 32 m from affected watercourses or within the affected watercourses have been considered and included in the Application for EA and will be assessed in detail. The project layouts will be revised during the EIA Phase to avoid watercourses as best as possible, but where it is not possible to avoid watercourses, relevant authorisation for such development in proximity to the affected watercourses has been applied for in terms of NEMA and the 2014 NEMA EIA Regulations (as amended). In addition, water authorisation in terms of section 21 (c) and (i) water uses of the National Water Act, 1998 (NWA) will be applied for prior to commencement of construction, should this project be granted Environmental Authorisation.
9.	30/06/2021,	Environmental sensitive areas must be identified as well as possible	CSIR: This comment is noted. Environmental sensitive areas and
	Letter (received via sent on	pollution impacts and mitigation measures of such areas must be	possible pollution impacts during the construction, operational and
	11/07/2021), Zama Mbunquka	employed.	decommissioning phases of the proposed project has been
	and Jan Van Staden,		identified and described in Chapter 3 and Chapter 6 of the Final

NO	DATE OF COMMENT, FORMAT OF COMMENT, NAME OF ORGANISATION/I&AP	COMMENTS	RESPONSE FROM EAP/APPLICANT/SPECIALIST
	Breede-Gouritz Catchment		Scoping Report. The identified impacts will be further studied and
	Management Agency (BGCMA)		subjected to a detailed impact assessment during the EIA phase of
			the proposed project and recommended measures to avoid,
			remedy, mitigate or manage waste will be included within the EMPr
			that will be included within the Draft EIA Report.
10.	30/06/2021,	Notwithstanding the above, the responsibility rests with the applicant	CSIR: This comment is noted. The Project Developer has been made
	Letter (received via sent on	to identify any sources of pollution from his undertaking and to take	aware of the responsibility to identify any sources of pollution from
	11/07/2021), Zama Mbunquka	appropriate measures to prevent any pollution of the environment.	their undertaking and to take appropriate measures to prevent any
	and Jan Van Staden,	Failure to comply with the requirements of the National Water Act	pollution of the receiving environment. The failure to comply with
	Breede-Gouritz Catchment	1998 (Act 36 of 1998) could lead to legal action being instituted	the requirements of the National Water Act, 1998 (Act 36 of 1998),
	Management Agency (BGCMA)	against the applicant.	which could lead to legal action being instituted against the Project
			Applicant, has also been communicated to the Project Developer.
		The BGCMA reserves the right to revise initial comments and request	
		further information based on any additional information that might	
		be received.	

7. WESTERN CAPE GOVERNMENT TRANSPORT AND PUBLIC WORKS - ROADS DEPARTMENT

NO	DATE OF COMMENT, FORMAT OF COMMENT, NAME OF ORGANISATION/I&AP	COMMENTS	RESPONSE FROM EAP/APPLICANT/SPECIALIST
1.	24/06/2021	THREE DRAFT SCOPING REPORTS FOR THE DEVELOPMENT OF THE	CSIR: This comment is noted. The Western Cape Government:
	Letter (received via email on	THREE KWAGGA WIND ENERGY FACILITIES (NAMELY THE 279MW	Transport and Public Works – Roads Department was pre-included on
	25/06/2021),	KWAGGA WEF 1, THE 341MW KWAGGA WEF 2 AND THE 204.6MW	the project database of I&APs and Organs of State at the outset of the
	Mr Lyle Martin and Mr SW	KWAGGA WEF 3) AND ITS ASSOCIATED INFRASTRUCTURE; NEAR	Scoping and EIA Process. This stakeholder will be notified of the
	Carstens with endorsements	BEAUFORT WEST IN THE WESTERN CAPE PROVINCE	release of the future reports and will be given the opportunity to
	from Ms D. Cloete and Mr. E.		comment on the Draft EIA Report during the 30-day public comment
	Burger, Western Cape	The following refer:	period. The Western Cape Government: Transport and Public Works

NO	DATE OF COMMENT, FORMAT OF COMMENT, NAME OF ORGANISATION/I&AP	COMMENTS	RESPONSE FROM EAP/APPLICANT/SPECIALIST
	Government: Transport and Public Works - Roads	 Your e-mail on behalf of CSIR Environmental Management Services on 28 May 2021 to Interested and/or Affected Party. JG Afrika's three transport specialist assessments (respectively for Kwagga Wind Energy Facilities I, 2 and 3) all dated 25 January 2021. This Branch will not object to the issuing of an Environmental Authorisation in favour of any of the three wind energy facilities, provided that this Branch is offered the opportunity to comment on the land use application, at which stage this Branch will issue its approvals in terms of Act 21 of 1940 (building restrictions) and Roads Ordinance 19 of 1976 (accesses and construction activities with the road reserves and building lines). 	 Roads Department will be contacted by the Project Developer and/or Project Contracter during the land use application process. This will take place outside of the EIA process.
2.	24/06/2021 Letter (received via email on 25/06/2021), Mr Lyle Martin and Mr SW Carstens with endorsements from Ms D. Cloete and Mr. E. Burger, Western Cape Government: Transport and Public Works - Roads	 The following (Public) Provincial Roads, all for which this Branch is the Road Authority, are either in the immediate vicinity or traversing the affected farms: Trunk Road 33 section 5 (TR03305; N12) Divisional Road 2301 (DR02301) Minor Road 7066 (OP07066) Minor Road 7067 (OP07067) Minor Road 7070 (OP07070) Minor Road 8806 (OP08806) Minor Road 8807 (OP08807) Minor Road 8824 (OP08824) Minor Road 8828 (OP08825) Minor Road 8831 (OP08831) 	CSIR: This comment is noted. The Specialist Traffic Impact Assessment to be undertaken during the EIA phase will take into consideration the presence of these listed roads.

NO	DATE OF COMMENT, FORMAT OF COMMENT, NAME OF ORGANISATION/I&AP	COMMENTS	RESPONSE FROM EAP/APPLICANT/SPECIALIST
3.	24/06/2021	Based upon the above-mentioned roads and the farms being served,	CSIR: This comment is noted. It is proposed that an internal service
	Letter (received via email on	it appears as if Portion 1 of Farm 386, Portion 3 of Farm 386, Portion	road network, connecting the wind turbines and associated project
	25/06/2021),	4 of Farm 115 and Portion 6 of Farm 115 are all possibly land locked.	infrastructure, be newly constructed. This has been accommodated
	Mr Lyle Martin and Mr SW	This Branch is not aware of any registered servitude rights of way	for in the Application for EA. A detailed road layout, which will be
	Carstens with endorsements	being in place to ensure permanent, legal and unfettered access to	subjected to detailed specialist assessment during the EIA phase, will
	from Ms D. Cloete and Mr. E.	those farms.	be included in the Draft EIA Report. The detailed road network will
	Burger, Western Cape		connect and provide access to turbines and associated infrastructure
	Government: Transport and		located on Portion 1 of Farm 386, Portion 3 of Farm 386, Portion 4 of
	Public Works - Roads		Farm 115 and Portion 6 of Farm 115. The Project Developer and/or
			Project Contractor will lodge the necessary applications to the
			relevant authority to register servitude rights, where required.
4.	24/06/2021	Regardless of all the Provincial Roads in that vicinity are only TR03305	CSIR: This comment is noted. Only the two stated roads as per the
	Letter (received via email on	and DR02301 described as access roads. An impression is created that	provided comment will be utilised as main access roads to the
	25/06/2021),	the other (gravelled) Provincial Roads in that vicinity will be utilised	proposed WEFs. The Project Developer and/or Project Contractor will
	Mr Lyle Martin and Mr SW	as private roads. All the Provincial Roads Public accessibility must be	ensure that all Provincial Public Roads will remain open and accessible
	Carstens with endorsements	retained (if not closed — deproclaimed) and they must be evaluated	to all during the construction, operational and decommissioning
	from Ms D. Cloete and Mr. E.	for the purposes of construction, operation and decommissioning.	phases.
	Burger, Western Cape		
	Government: Transport and		
	Public Works - Roads		
5.	24/06/2021	This Branch, for now, will ultimately require the following:	CSIR: This comment is noted. The Project Developer and/or Project
	Letter (received via email on	Access applications (for alt the accesses to each respective)	Contractor will ensure that access applications are submitted and
	25/06/2021),	farm portion), which might include servitude rights of way to	obtained prior to the commencement of construction, and these will
	Mr Lyle Martin and Mr SW	be registered to ensure permanent, legal and unfettered	clearly state the purpose of each access. All relevant permits, such as
	Carstens with endorsements	access to all affected farm portions. It will be required to	the wayleaves and abnormal load applications will be applied for by
	from Ms D. Cloete and Mr. E.	clearly state which access will serve what purpose (wind	the Project Developer and/or Project Contractor during the detailed
	Burger, Western Cape	energy and / or farming)	design phase prior to construction, after Environmental Authorisation
			is issued, should such authorisation be granted.

NO	DATE OF COMMENT, FORMAT OF COMMENT, NAME OF ORGANISATION/I&AP	COMMENTS	RESPONSE FROM EAP/APPLICANT/SPECIALIST
	Government: Transport and Public Works - Roads	 Construction applications, including wayleaves for third party services, when building restrictions, building lines and road reserves are affected. Abnormal load applications, which may require prior geometric and materials designs to be completed and constructed. Due to the possible longevity of this process it is recommended to commence with these applications as soon as possible. A geotechnical and geometric design report, including improvement proposals, must be compiled to ensure that all the roads (on the entire road network) that will be affected by this development are adequately improved and maintained before any other construction activity may commence on any of the farm portions. This is to ensure that no more than normal deterioration and additional maintenance costs are experienced by the Road Authority during the construction and operating phases. It will be required that any design affecting any Proclaimed Provincial Road must carry this Branch's Chief Directorate Road Design's approval before implementation thereof may commence. Confirmation that a similar geotechnical (as per paragraph 6.4) proposal will be compiled and approval obtained prior 	The EMPr will make note that a geotechnical and geometric design report must be compiled during the detailed design phase, post Environmental Authorisation, and that relevant approvals are obtained from the relevant authorities prior to commencement of construction. The Project Developer will also commission a similar geotechnical report for approval from the relevant authorities prior to commencing with any major upgrade or decommissioning phase.
		to commencing with any major upgrade or decommissioning phase; whenever that may be.	

8. WESTERN CAPE CAPENATURE

NO	DATE OF COMMENT, FORMAT OF COMMENT, NAME OF ORGANISATION/I&AP	COMMENTS	RESPONSE FROM EAP/APPLICANT/SPECIALIST
1.	01/07/2021 Letter (received via email on 01/07/2021), Megan Simons, CapeNature	DRAFT SCOPING REPORT FOR THE PROPOSED DEVELOPMENT OF THREE WIND ENERGY FACILITIES (I.E. KWAGGA WEF 1, KWAGGA WEF 2, AND KWAGGA WEF 3), BEAUFORT WEST LOCAL MUNICIPALITY, WESTERN CAPE	CSIR: This comment is noted. Based on specialist inputs received and considered during the Scoping phase of this proposed WEF project, the Project Developer will revise the project infrastructure layouts to ensure that all wind
		CapeNature would like to thank you for the opportunity to review the proposed Kwagga Wind Energy Facilities in project in Beaufort West. The applicant proposed the following as extracted from the draft scoping report: "ABO Wind Renewable Energies (Pty) Ltd ("the Developer") is proposing to develop three Wind Energy Facilities (WEFs) and associated infrastructure approximately 60km south of Beaufort West in the Western Cape Province, on	turbines and associated infrastructure will avoid any buffered environmental sensitive areas such as CBAs and ESAs identified on site. In addition, vegetation clearance that will be required for purposes of developing this proposed project will be kept to the absolute minimum as to limit the potential impact on the natural habitat. The
		behalf of three separate project Applicants, namely: Kwagga 1 = Kwagga Wind Energy Facility 1 (Pty) Ltd (Reg. no. 2020/258426/07) Kwagga 2 = Kwagga Wind Energy Facility 2 (Pty) Ltd (Reg. no. 2020/429949/07) Kwagga 3 = Kwagga Wind Energy Facility 3 (Pty) Ltd (Reg. no. 2020/429978/07) The WEFs will each have a total installed capacity of 279 MW (Kwagga 1), 341 MW (Kwagga 2) and 204.6 MW (Kwagga 3), respectively"	Western Cape Land Use Guideline Handbook (WCBSP, 2017) and the Western Cape Land Use Planning Guidelines for Rural Areas will be considered by the relevant specialists during the EIA Phase.
		Please note that our comments only pertain to the biodiversity related impacts and not to the overall desirability of the application. CapeNature wishes to make the following comments:	
		According to the Western Cape Biodiversity Spatial Plan (WCBSP 2017)1 the WEF sites will cross farms with Critical Biodiversity Areas (CBA 1: Terrestrial, Aquatic,	

NO	DATE OF COMMENT, FORMAT OF COMMENT, NAME OF ORGANISATION/I&AP	COMMENTS	RESPONSE FROM EAP/APPLICANT/SPECIALIST
		River), Ecological Support Areas (ESA 1: Aquatic and fragments of ESA 2: Restore), and Other Natural Areas. The farms have numerous dams, wetlands, rivers (i.e., Swartbakens, Muishond se loop, Muis kraal, kouka, etc.) and non-perennial rivers. These watercourses form part of the National Freshwater Ecosystem Priority Areas (FEPAs) river corridor.	
		The natural vegetation unit is Least Threatened Gamka Karoo as listed in the updated National Biodiversity Assessment (2018). Although, the vegetation type is Least Threatened (LT), kindly note that any loss to natural habitat should be avoided and all proposed land use should be in line with the Western Cape Land Use Guideline Handbook (WCBSP 2017) and the Western Cape Land Use Planning Guidelines Rural Areas. Both these documents are available free to download online.	
		CapeNature reminds the applicant that the farms have CBAs and ESAs, these areas should be maintained in a functional, near-natural state. The loss of CBA and natural habitat should be avoided (WCBSP 2017).	
2.	01/07/2021 Letter (received via email on 01/07/2021), Megan Simons, CapeNature	In terms of the development, the turbines will have hub heights up to 180 m, and blade diameter is 100 m. This is a large range in specifications, will the final specifications still be decided, or will different blade lengths be used for different turbines on site? We strongly recommend using the shortest blades possible to reduce the windswept area and thus mortalities of birds and bats.	CSIR: This comment is noted. The turbine dimensions to be used in the proposed WEF development are noted throughout the Final Scoping Report. Note that the proposed wind turbines will have a hub height of up to 180 m and a rotor (or blade) diameter of up to 200 m, which means each blade will be up to 100 m in length.
			Both the Avifauna (Birds) and Bats Impact Assessments will carefully consider and assess in detail the potential impact of the wind turbine blades on birds and bats which could result in possible mortalities. These detailed specialist

DATE OF COMMENT, FORMAT OF COMMENT, NO NAME OF ORGANISATION/I&AP	COMMENTS	RESPONSE FROM EAP/APPLICANT/SPECIALIST
		assessments with recommended mitigation and management actions will be included in the Draft EIA Report.
3. 01/07/2021 Letter (received via email on 01/07/2021), Megan Simons, CapeNature	There is a high likelihood of riverine rabbits in the vicinity of the site. We recommend that EWT should be contacted to do a site suitability survey, including the number and placement of camera traps. The information from camera trap monitoring can improve the understanding and population trends. Given the conservation status of riverine rabbit, we strongly recommend that areas where these species could occur be buffered as a precautionary approach. CapeNature will not support turbines that will be located within Riverine Rabbit habitat buffer areas. In addition, we suggest the developer install ongoing camera trap survey on sites for the duration of this project. In the area, many of the mammals are crepuscular or nocturnal and thus difficult to observe directly. To understand the mammal community and the impacts of the proposed development, we recommend that this study to extend into the construction phase and into the first year of the operational phase.	CSIR: This comment is noted. The Terrestrial Specialist has been informed of this comment received and the recommendations provided. The Terrestrial Biodiversity Specialist has noted already during the Scoping Phase that the proposed project sites lie outside of the known distribution range of the Riverine Rabbit and that favourable habitat for the Riverine Rabbit does not appear to be present on the proposed Kwagga WEF 1-3 project sites. This is mainly due to intensive grazing by livestock exacerbated by the ongoing drought, which severely degraded the vegetation on site and along many of the drainage lines. The Project Developer will also revise the preliminary project infrastructure layouts for each WEF to ensure that all wind turbines and associated infrastructure will avoid any ecologically sensitive areas including its buffers such as river/streams and drainage lines with associated riparian vegetation. TERRESTRIAL BIODIVERSITY SPECIALIST: The probability that the species occurs at the sites is extremely unlikely and it is not anticipated that a site suitability survey would be needed. However, EWT should be contacted to discuss the

NO	DATE OF COMMENT, FORMAT OF COMMENT, NAME OF ORGANISATION/I&AP	COMMENTS	RESPONSE FROM EAP/APPLICANT/SPECIALIST
			during the construction and operational phases of the proposed projects, as recommended.
4.	01/07/2021	Prior to the construction phase a search-and-rescue should be conducted for plant	CSIR: This comment is noted. A 'search-and-rescue' plan
	Letter (received via email on	and animal species. We strongly recommend that this activity be on going during	along with the recommended mitigation measures relating
	01/07/2021),	the construction. Furthermore, no hunting and/or trapping (i.e. poaching) of wild	to fencing and access control will be included in the
	Megan Simons, CapeNature	animals is allowed. Measures must be in place for faunal species entering during	Environmental Management Programme (EMPr) that will
		construction and operational phases. We recommend appropriate fencing and	be included in the Draft EIA Report.
		access control must be put in place around the entire area to reduce the risk of	'
		animal species gaining access to the site.	
5.	01/07/2021	We strongly recommend that a site-specific Alien Plant Species Management Plan	CSIR: This comment is noted. A site-specific Alien Plant
	Letter (received via email on	be compiled and included in the Environmental Management Programme (EMPr).	Species Management Plan will be compiled for the project
	01/07/2021),	Prior to the closure of the construction phase, the last monitoring report must	site, which will be informed by specialist input, and
	Megan Simons, CapeNature	confirm that there are no introduced alien flora or fauna species on site. If at any	included in the Environmental Management Programme
		stage during the construction phase any such species are noted they should be	(EMPr) that will be included in the Draft EIA Report. In
		eradicated using suitable methods. Confirmation of eradication of all such species	addition, a Rehabilitation Plan will be compiled, which will
		must be recorded within the last monitoring report. The removal of invasive alien	be informed by the Terrestrial Biodiversity Specialist team
		plant species must be continuous and should continue beyond the operational	that includes a Botanist very familiar with the local natural
		phase. Suitable indigenous vegetation must be used during the rehabilitation. We	vegetation on site. This Rehabilitation Plan will also be
		recommend a rehabilitation plan be compiled with inputs from a local Botanist to	included in the EMPr that will be included in the Draft EIA
		inform the plan with regards to the relevant local plant species for planting and	Report.
		stabilising during rehabilitation activities.	
6.	01/07/2021	We recommend avoiding the watercourse (river lines and wetlands) and their	CSIR: This comment is noted. All watercourses have been
	Letter (received via email on	buffers as far possible with turbines and new roads. The area is highly erodible so	carefully identified, delineated and sufficiently buffered by
	01/07/2021),	extra caution must be taken to mitigate that during all phases. Possible erosion	the Aquatic Biodiversity Specialist already during the
	Megan Simons, CapeNature	points need to be monitored and rehabilitated when needed. The access road that	Scoping Phase of this project. These watercourses will be
		will carry the heavy loads associated with wind turbines should avoid crossing	subject to detailed impact assessment during the EIA Phase
		aquatic and terrestrial CBA.	and the specialist findings included in the Draft EIA Report.

NO	DATE OF COMMENT, FORMAT OF COMMENT, NAME OF ORGANISATION/I&AP	COMMENTS	RESPONSE FROM EAP/APPLICANT/SPECIALIST
7.	01/07/2021 Letter (received via email on 01/07/2021), Megan Simons, CapeNature	There are a few renewable developments planned in the broader region. CapeNature remains concerned that the cumulative impacts of energy facilities, if not properly considered and planned for, could be quite significant.	CSIR: This comment is noted. Renewable energy projects located within a 50 km radius of the proposed Kwagga WEF project cluster, that have received an EA, or has a BA/EIA in process at the time of starting this Scoping and EIA Process, as well as the three proposed Kwagga WEF developments will be considered in the Cumulative Impact Assessment, during the EIA Phase of this project. Please refer to Appendix E of this Final Scoping Report for a copy of the pre-application consultation with the DFFE, wherein this approach was confirmed and approved. The renewable energy projects located within 50 km of the proposed Kwagga WEF project cluster that will be considered in the Cumulative Impact Assessment are detailed in Table 7.3 and Table 7.4 and shown in Figure 7.1 within Chapter 7 of this Final Scoping Report.
8.	01/07/2021 Letter (received via email on 01/07/2021), Megan Simons, CapeNature	Information on the impacts of renewable energy facilities on bats and avifauna are limited. Therefore, that data collected should be submitted to the Endangered Wildlife Trust, Department of Forestry, Fisheries and Environment, South African Bat Assessment Association and South African National Biodiversity Institution for collation and analysis on a national basis.	CSIR: This comment is noted. Data collected during the Avifauna and Bats Impact Assessments for these proposed project sites could potentially be shared with the EWT, DFFE, SABAA and SANBI, as requested, following the completion of the EIA Phase of these projects.
9.	01/07/2021 Letter (received via email on 01/07/2021), Megan Simons, CapeNature	On-going monitoring throughout the various phases of this project is important to assess the impacts of these developments on different species biodiversity and the impact these facilities will have on habitat fragmentation and associated ecological corridors. It is therefore essential to take a precautionary approach and to ensure that the infrastructure (i.e., roads, power lines, substations, etc.) be positioned outside ecologically sensitive areas. Thus, the sensitive buffer, delineated by the specialist, must be avoided.	CSIR: This comment is noted. The Project Developer will revise the project infrastructure layouts for each WEF based on the specialist inputs and recommended buffer zones resulting from the Scoping phase, to ensure that all wind turbines and associated infrastructure will avoid any buffered ecologically sensitive areas on site.

NO	DATE OF COMMENT, FORMAT OF COMMENT, NAME OF ORGANISATION/I&AP	COMMENTS	RESPONSE FROM EAP/APPLICANT/SPECIALIST
		CapeNature reserves the right to revise initial comments and request further information based on any additional information that may be received.	

9. SOUTH AFRICAN CIVIL AVIATION AUTHORITY

NO.	DATE OF COMMENT, FORMAT OF COMMENT, NAME OF ORGANISATION/I&AP	COMMENTS	RESPONSE FROM EAP/APPLICANT/SPECIALIST
1.	21/06/2021,	There is an ATNS process whereby an assessment is applied for wrt obstacles	CSIR: The Project Developer has been liaising with the SACAA
	Email,	which could pose an aviation hazard.	about these proposed Wind farm projects since February
	Lizell Stroh,		2021, specifically relating to the requirement and need for
	South African Civil Aviation	http://www.caa.co.za/Pages/Obstacles/Urgent-notices.aspx	the Obstacle Evaluation, Application and Approval with the
	Authority (SACAA)		SACAA. Proof of correspondence between the Project
		Obstacle Notice 1/2021 – Appointment of New Windfarm Obstacle Application	Developer and the SACAA is included in Appendix G of this
		Service Provider	Final Scoping Report.
		Kindly be advised, as of the 1st of May 2021 Air Traffic and Navigation Services	This SACAA comment regarding the appointment of the Air
		(ATNS) has been appointed as the new Obstacle application Service Provider for	Traffic and Navigation Services (ATNS) as the new Obstacle
		Windfarms and later Solar Plants. Their responsibility would pertain to the	Application Service Provider has been noted and forwarded
		assessments, maintenance, and all other related matters in respect to Windfarms	to the Project Developer for consideration as the necessary
		and in due time Power Plant assessments.	documents for the Obstacle Application and Approval will be
		Kindly provide a .kml (Google Earth) file reflecting the footprint of the	lodged with the ATNS.
		proposed development site including the proposed overhead electric	
		power line route that will evacuate the generated power to the national	The Civil Aviation Site Sensitivity Verification (SSV) is
		grid.	included in Appendix F.11 of this Scoping Report. The SSV

NO.	DATE OF COMMENT, FORMAT OF COMMENT, NAME OF ORGANISATION/I&AP	COMMENTS	RESPONSE FROM EAP/APPLICANT/SPECIALIST
		Also indicate the highest structure of the project & the Overhead electric power transmission line. Please follow the ATNS process. The contact details for ATNS is: ObstacleEvaluator ATNS Head Office Tel: +2711 071000 (AskforObstacleEvaluators) Email: ObstacleEvaluators@atns.co.za	notes that low sensitivity from a civil aviation perspective identified by the Screening Tool was verified by the EAP during the site visit. The Air Traffic and Navigation Services SOC Limited (ATNS) data (May 2021) indicates that there is a licensed aerodome (i.e. Karoo Gateway) located approximately 80 km away from the proposed project site in a northerly direction, as well as one licensed aerodrome (i.e. Oudtshoorn) and one unlicensed aerodrome (i.e. Willowmore) located more than 60 km away from the proposed project site in a southerly direction. The ATNS data further notes that although Conventional Routes relating to Air Traffic Services (ATS) associated with the Cape Town International Airport Airspace intersect with the proposed Kwagga WEF 3 project airspace. The ATNS data also notes that Area Navigation Routes (ANR) associated with the Cape Town International Airport Airspace intersect with the 50 km radius area from the project site, but none intersects with the proposed Kwagga WEF 3 project airspace. The proposed wind turbines will have a hub height of up to 180 m, a rotor diameter of up to 200 m and blades of up to 100 m in length; however, based on the above no civil aviation installations or air traffic services will be impacted on by the proposed WEF development.

FINAL ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACT ASSESSMENT REPORT: Scoping and Environmental Impact Assessment for the proposed development of the 204.6 MW Kwagga Wind Energy Facility 3 and associated infrastructure near Beaufort West in the Western Cape

NO.	DATE OF COMMENT, FORMAT OF COMMENT, NAME OF ORGANISATION/I&AP	COMMENTS	RESPONSE FROM EAP/APPLICANT/SPECIALIST
			Therefore, since proposed Kwagga WEF 3 project site was determined and verified to be of low sensitivity (as it relates to civil aviation), in terms of GN R320, no further requirements are applicable i.e. a Compliance Statement is not required.

PART B: ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACT ASSESSMENT PHASE

The Draft Environmental Impact Assessment Reports for the proposed Kwagga WEF 1, Kwagga WEF 2 and Kwagga WEF 3 were released for a 30-day comment period, extending from 22 October to 22 November 2021 (excluding public holidays). All comments and/or issues raised by stakeholders and Interested and Affected Parties (I&APs) following the release of the Draft Environmental Impact Assessment Reports for comment have been considered and included in this section of this Comments and Responses Report together with the responses from the Environmental Impact Assessment (EIA) project team. Please note that the comments are taken verbatim from the comments provided by stakeholders and I&APs.

10. GENERAL, ADMINISTRATIVE AND PROJECT NEED³

NO	DATE OF COMMENT, FORMAT OF COMMENT, NAME OF ORGANISATION/I&AP	COMMENTS	RESPONSE FROM EAP/APPLICANT/SPECIALIST
1.	23/10/2021	Good Day ,	CSIR: This comment is noted. Ms Grace
	Email,		Swanepoel has been included on the
	Mr Lyle Martin, Western Cape	I hope this email meets you well.	database of I&APs and Organs of State. Refer
	Government: Transport and		to Appendix C of this EIA Report for a copy of
	Public Works - Roads	Please note that as from the 30th September 2021 forward, I, Mr L Martin,	the I&AP database.
		am no longer employed at this Branch.	
			Please refer to Appendix D of this EIA Report
		Kindly please forward all related enquiries to Ms Grace Swanepoel at	for the email received from Ms Grace
		Grace.Swanepoel@westerncape.gov.za (Contact number 021 483 4669).	Swanepoel informing the EAP that Job
			number 28641 was allocated for the
		Warm Wishes	proposed Kwagga WEF projects.
		Kindest Regards	
		L. Martin	
2.	25/10/2021,	Dear Sir/Madam	CSIR: This comment is noted. Mr Seoka Lekota
	Email,		was added to the I&AP database prior to
		DFFE Directorate: Biodiversity Conservation hereby acknowledge receipt	submission of the Final Scoping Report. Ms
		of the invitation to review and comment on the Draft Environmental	Ms Makitla and Maifo have been added to the

³ This includes requests to register on and de-register from the project I&AP database.

NO	DATE OF COMMENT, FORMAT OF COMMENT, NAME OF ORGANISATION/I&AP	COMMENTS	RESPONSE FROM EAP/APPLICANT/SPECIALIST
	Tsholofelo Shalot Sekonko, DFFE, The Directorate: Biodiversity Conservation	Impact Assessment Reports for the proposed three Kwagga Wind Energy Facility Developments, near Beaufort West, Western Cape Province. Kindly note that the project has been allocated to Ms Makitla and Maifo (both copied on this email).	I&AP database. Refer to Appendix C of this EIA Report for a copy of the I&AP database.
		Please note: All Public Participation Process documents related to Biodiversity EIA review and any other Biodiversity EIA queries will be submitted to the Directorate: Biodiversity Conservation at Email: BCAdmin@environment.gov.za for attention of Mr Seoka Lekota.	
3.	25/10/2021 Email, Ms Grace Swanepoel, Western Cape Government: Transport and Public Works - Roads	Good morning Received your application, our reference Job 28641. A further communication will be addressed to you as soon as circumstances permit. Regards Grace	CSIR: This comment is noted. Ms Grace Swanepoel has been included on the database of I&APs and Organs of State. Refer to Appendix C of this EIA Report for a copy of the I&AP database.
4.	25/10/2021 Email, Ms Nokukhanya Khumalo, South African Heritage Resource Agency (SAHRA)	Good Morning Please see the email notification for a WEF in the Western Cape. Kind Regards, Nokukhanya Khumalo	CSIR: The EAP was copied on this email which was sent to Ms Waseefa Dhansay.
5.	25/10/2021 Email, Ms Waseefa Dhansay, Western Cape Government	Hi Stephanie Please see below Kind regards Waseefa	CSIR: The EAP was copied on this email which was sent to Ms Stephanie Barnardt. Please note that Ms Stephanie Barnardt was identified as the HWC Case officer for the proposed Kwagga WEFs. Please refer to

NO	DATE OF COMMENT, FORMAT OF COMMENT, NAME OF ORGANISATION/I&AP	COMMENTS	RESPONSE FROM EAP/APPLICANT/SPECIALIST
			Appendix D.11 for the comments received after the 30-day consultation with the HWC.
7.	25/10/2021 Email, Ms Adri La Meyer, DEA&DP	Dear EMS Team, I refer to your e-mail of 23 October 2021 below.	CSIR: This comment was responded to via email indicating the commitment of the EIA Project Team in ensuring that I&APs are provided ample opportunity to comment on
		You have indicated the commenting period on the 3 Draft EIA Reports	the Draft EIA Reports within the regulated
		from 22 October 2021 to 22 November 2021. Please note that I have only	timeframes.
		received the e-mail on 23 October 2021 (see e-mail sent on 23 October	
		2021, @ 21h47). Please reconsider amending the commenting period as:	The DEA&DP was also informed that any
		(1) It is unfair to expect I&APs, especially state Departments to	comments received from DEA&DP up until 24
		check e-mails over a weekend. Whilst we acknowledge that	November 2021 will be accepted,
		"days" refer to calendar days, notifying I&APs over a	incorporated into the Final EIA Reports and
		weekend is not best practice.	recorded in the Comments and Responses
		(2) It is unfair to send e-mails to I&APs on a Saturday and	Reports which will be submitted with the Final
		"backdate" the commenting period to start a day before.	EIA Reports to DFFE for decision making.
		(3) Regulation 3(1): Subject to subregulations (2) and (3), when	
		a period of days must in terms of these Regulations be	It was also duly noted that where DFFE is the
		reckoned from or after a particular day, that period must be	CA for an application, all communication
		reckoned as from the start of the day following that	should be forwarded MS Adri La Meyer as the
		particular day to the end of the last day of the period, but if	contact person for the Department,
		the last day of the period falls on a Saturday, Sunday or public	irrespective if the application falls in the
		holiday, that period must be extended to the end of the next	George or Cape Town regions.
		day which is not a Saturday, Sunday or public holiday. The	
		commenting period should thus be calculated from the	
		following day of the notification (i.e. from the 24th, but	
		ideally from the next working day, being today).	

NO	DATE OF COMMONIANT, ORGANISATION/I	NAME		COMMENTS	RESPONSE FROM EAP/APPLICANT/SPECIALIST
				Note that you have provided 3 separate e-mails to our George Office and DFFE officials for the Kwagga WEF 1, 2 and 3 notifications. As indicated previously, where the DFFE is the CA for an application, please forward all communication to me as the contact person for the Department, irrespective if the application falls in our George or Cape Town regions.	
				We look forward to a revised deadline for comments.	
				Kind regards, Adri	
				Dear EMS EIA Team,	CSIR: This comment was received in response to the above EAPs response. Please refer to
				Many thanks for your positive feedback. The Department appreciates the extension of the deadline for comments to 24 November 2021 and will endeavour to provide comments by then.	Appendix D.10 for proof of the email correspondence with DEA&DP regarding the comment period.
				Your willingness to extend the commenting period is greatly appreciated.	
				Kind regards, Adri	
8.	03/11/2021 Email, Ms Namhla Dondi Development	, Eskom L	and	Good day May I please have a name of the EAP who is responsible for this project.	CSIR: The details of the EAP were provided to Ms Namhla Dondi as requested.
	Development			Regards Namhla Dondi Eskom Land Development	

NO	DATE OF COMMENT, FORMAT OF COMMENT, NAME OF ORGANISATION/I&AP		RESPONSE FROM EAP/APPLICANT/SPECIALIST
9.	03/11/2021 Email, Mr Reuben Maroga, SOLA: Permitting Specialist	Good Day Paul, I trust you are well. Would you kindly register SOLA as an I&AP on the database for the following projects: Kwagga Wind Energy Facility 1,2, and 3 We are an IPP that intends to also develop projects, and acquire existing projects within the area of the aforementioned project. For registration purposes, please capture the following email address:	CSIR: This I&AP has been included on the I&AP database. The email address provided will be used for future correspondence. Refer to Appendix C of this EIA Report for a copy of the I&AP database.
10.	17/11/2021, Email,	iap@solagroup.co.za Best Regards Good afternoon,	CSIR: This comment is noted. This comment was received in response to the Reminder
	Natasha Higgit, South African Heritage Resources Agency	Please note that SAHRA does not jurisdiction to provide comments for developments located in the Western Cape Province. Please contact Heritage Western Cape in this regard. Kind regards,	email sent to all I&APs. Please refer to Appendix D.6 for proof of submission of the NID to the HWC, Appendix D.8 for proof of submission of the Integrated heritage Impact Assessment to the local authorities and the
12.	25/11/2021, Email, Zama Mbunquka,	Dear EIA Project team. I would like to bring it to your attention that the proposed project falls within two Catchment Management Areas, that is Mzimvubu and Tsitsikama as well as Breede Gouritz CMA, The comments	HWC and Appendix D. 11 for the correspondence received form the HWC following the 30-day consultation. CSIR: This comment is noted with thanks. The details of Ms Machaka have been noted down and forwarded to the Project Developer. It is

NO	DATE OF COMMENT, FORMAT OF COMMENT, NAME OF ORGANISATION/I&AP	COMMENTS	RESPONSE FROM EAP/APPLICANT/SPECIALIST
	Breede-Gouritz Catchment	provided by this office are specific to our Juridiction. I have ccd Ms	noted that the proposed Kwaggs WEF
	Management Agency (BGCMA)	Machaka who can assist in identifying the relevant official in Umzimvubu	projects fall within the Mzimvubu and
		Tsitsikama who can provide the comments in future. I have noted that	Tsitsikama as well as the Breede Gouritz CMA.
		projects of this nature often fall within different management areas,	The Project Applicant will apply for and obtain
		hence it is imperative to check with me as to which Management Areas	the required authorisation from officials of
		are affected so that the reports can be sent to the relevant officials. Thank	the relevant CMA prior to commencement of
		you	construction, should this project be granted
		Kind regards	Environmental Authorisation.
		Zama Mbunquka	

11. DEPARTMENT OF FORESTRY, FISHERIES AND THE ENVIRONMENT (DFFE)

11.1 THE DIRECTORATE: INTEGRATED ENVIRONMENTAL AUTHORISATION

NO.	DATE OF COMMENT, FORMAT OF COMMENT, NAME OF ORGANISATION/I&AP	COMMENTS	RESPONSE FROM EAP/APPLICANT/SPECIALIST
1.	24/11/2021,	COMMENTS ON THE DRAFT ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACT ASSESSMENT REPORT FOR	CSIR: Thank you for the comments received on the Draft
	Letter (received via email	THE PROPOSED DEVELOPMENT OF THE 204.6 MW KWAGGA WIND ENERGY	EIA Report for the proposed Kwagga WEF 3 development.
	on 24/11/2021),	FACILITY 3 (KWAGGA WEF 3), NEAR BEAUFORT WEST, WESTERN CAPE.	Responses are provided below to each comment raised:
	Sabelo Malaza, Wayne	The Application for Environmental Authorisation and draft Environmental Impact	
	Hector,	Assessment Report (EIAR) received by the Department on 28 May 2021 and 25	Refer to Section 4.2 of the Final EIA Report where it
	DFFE	October 2021, respectively, refer.	is described how the listed activities applied for are
		This letter serves to inform you that the following information must be included	linked to the project description. In addition, all
		in the final EIAR:	relevant listed activities triggered by the proposed

NO. NAME	OF COMMENT, T OF COMMENT, OF SATION/I&AP	COMMENTS	RESPONSE FROM EAP/APPLICANT/SPECIALIST
		(a) Listed Activities Please ensure that all relevant listed activities are applied for, are specific (i.e., the exact threshold must be presented in the application form) and can be linked to the development activity or infrastructure as described in the project description. Only activities applicable to the development must be applied for and assessed. If the activities applied for in the application form differ from those mentioned in the final EIAR, an amended application form must be submitted. Please note that the Department's application form template has been amended and can be downloaded from the following link https://www.environment.gov.za/documents/forms. The final EIAR must provide the technical details for the proposed facility in table format as well as their description and/or dimensions. It is imperative that the relevant authorities are continuously involved throughout the environmental impact assessment process as the development property possibly falls within geographically designated areas in terms of numerous GN R. 985 Activities. Written comments must be obtained from the relevant authorities and submitted to this Department.	project have now been applied for. The listed activities included in the Scoping Report and Draft EIA Report were based on the worst case and precautionary approach. However, based on this comment, to ensure that only applicable activities are applied for, the listed activities applied for in the Draft EIA Report were further reviewed. It has been confirmed by the Project Developer that the following listed activities are no longer applicable because the capacities or thresholds noted in the listed activities will not be exceeded. - GN R324: Activity 2 (i) (ii): The development of reservoirs, excluding dams, with a capacity of more than 250 cubic metres. (i) Western Cape (ii) In areas containing indigenous vegetation. Therefore, the above listed activity has subsequently been removed from the EA Application, and the Final EIA Report has been updated accordingly. • Please refer to Table C of the Executive Summary of the Final EIA Report for the tabulated technical details of the proposed Kwagga WEF 3. • Please refer to the sections above in this appendix (Appendix D of this Final EIA Report) for feedback on the consultation with stakeholders, I&APs and government departments; and comments provided.

NO.	DATE OF COMMENT, FORMAT OF COMMENT, NAME OF ORGANISATION/I&AP	COMMENTS	RESPONSE FROM EAP/APPLICANT/SPECIALIST
			The Draft EIA Report was sent to the relevant stakeholders and authorities for comment, and relevant comments have been received from the DFFE – Integrated Environmental Authorisations, DFFE – Forestry Western Cape, DFFE Biodiversity and Conservation Directorate and Western Cape DEA&DP. These authorities have been continuously involved in this Scoping and EIA Process. Relevant comments have also been received from Department of Water and Sanitation, the Breede Gourtiz Catchment Management Agency, SANRAL and the Central Karoo District Municipality Municipal Health section. Follow up emails were sent to all I&APs on the database to seek comments. Copies of these follow up emails are included in Appendix D of the Final EIA Report.
2.	24/11/2021,	(b) Public Participation Process	CSIR:
	Letter (received via email on 24/11/2021), Sabelo Malaza, Wayne Hector, DFFE	o Please ensure that comments from all relevant stakeholders are submitted to the Department with the EIAR. This includes but is not limited to Western Cape: DEA&DP, the provincial Department of Agriculture, SANRAL, the Beaufort West Local Municipality, the Central Karoo District Municipality, the Department of Human Settlements, Water and Sanitation, the South African Heritage Resources Agency (SAHRA), the Endangered Wildlife Trust (EWT), BirdLife SA, SANParks, SKA, Civil Aviation, the Department of Mineral Resources and Energy, the Department of Rural Development and Land Reform, the Department Transport and Public Works – Roads ,and the Department	Please refer to the sections above in this CRR, as well as in Appendix D of this Final EIA Report for correspondence received from, and sent to stakeholders, I&APs and government departments; and comments provided. The Draft EIA Report was sent to the relevant stakeholders and authorities for comment, and relevant comments have been received from the DFFE – Integrated Environmental Authorisations, DFFE – Forestry Western Cape, DFFE Biodiversity and Conservation Directorate and

NO. DATE OF COMMENT, FORMAT OF COMMENT, NAME OF ORGANISATION/I&AP	COMMENTS	RESPONSE FROM EAP/APPLICANT/SPECIALIST
	of Forestry, Fisheries and the Environment: Directorate Biodiversity and Conservation. A Comments and Response trail report (C&R) must be submitted with the final EIAR. The C&R report must incorporate all comments for this development. The C&R report must be a separate document from the main report and the format must be in the table format. All comments from I&APs must be responded to adequately. A response such as "noted" is not regarded as an adequate response to I&AP's comments. Comments from each submission must be responded to individually. The dates in which comments were received must be recorded in the C&R. Your responses to the issues raised by the Department must be included in the comments and response (C&R) report, in table format. The final EIAR must comply with all conditions of the acceptance of the scoping report (SR) dated 18 August 2021. The final EIAR must address all comments received on the SR and the draft EIAR, including this letter. The Public Participation Process must be conducted in terms of Regulation 39, 40, 41, 42, 43 & 44 of the EIA Regulations, 2014, as amended.	Western Cape DEA&DP. These authorities have been continuously involved in this Scoping and EIA Process. Relevant comments (including correspondence stating that the organisation has no comments on the Draft EIA Reports) have also been received from Department of Water and Sanitation, the Breede Gourtiz Catchment Management Agency, SANRAL, Birdlife SA and the Central Karoo District Municipality Municipal Health section. Follow up emails were sent to all I&APs on the database to seek comments. Copies of these follow up emails are included in Appendix D of the Final EIA Report. • Copies of all comments received during the 30-day comment period of the Draft EIA Report have been captured in table format in the Comments Responses Report i.e. this report, which is included as a separate document to the Final EIA Report. This Comments and Responses Report (CRR) includes all comments received during the public participation process of the Kwagga WEF 1 project, as well as responses provided to all these comments and issues raised. Comments received from the DFFE have been included in this report as well. All comments received have been duly considered and adequately addressed in this CRR.

NO.	DATE OF COMMENT, FORMAT OF COMMENT, NAME OF ORGANISATION/I&AP	COMMENTS	RESPONSE FROM EAP/APPLICANT/SPECIALIST
			 The Final EIA Report complies with all conditions of the acceptance of the Scoping Report dated 18 August 2021. All comments received during the review of the Draft Scoping Report and Draft EIA Report from registered I&APs and Organs of State which have jurisdiction in terms of the proposed activity, were adequately addressed in this CRR, and the Final EIA Report, where applicable and necessary. Proof of correspondence with various stakeholders is included in Appendix D of the Final EIA Report. It includes the necessary proof of correspondence, such as emails, text messages, letters, and newspapers. It also shows relevant follow up emails sent in order to remind stakeholders of the comment period closure, in order to seek comments. Refer to Chapter 4 of the Final EIA Report, as well as Appendix D for background on the Public Participation Process, including feedback on compliance with the regulations relating to Public Participation. Also refer to the Public Participation Plan included in Appendix D.1 of the Final EIA Report, which provides feedback on compliance with the 2014 NEMA EIA Regulations (as amended).
3.	24/11/2021,	(c) Cumulative Assessment	CSIR: These comments are noted.
	Letter (received via email		Renewable energy (RE) projects located within a
	on 24/11/2021),		50 km radius of the proposed Kwagga WEF

NO.	DATE OF COMMENT, FORMAT OF COMMENT, NAME OF ORGANISATION/I&AP	COMMENTS	RESPONSE FROM EAP/APPLICANT/SPECIALIST
	Sabelo Malaza, Wayne Hector, DFFE	Should there be any other similar projects within a 30km radius of the proposed development site, the cumulative impact assessment for all identified and assessed impacts must be refined to indicate the following: Identified cumulative impacts must be clearly defined, and where possible the size of the identified impact must be quantified and indicated, i.e., hectares of cumulatively transformed land. Detailed process flow and proof must be provided, to indicate how the specialist's recommendations, mitigation measures and conclusions from the various similar developments in the area were taken into consideration in the assessment of cumulative impacts and when the conclusion and mitigation measures were drafted for this project. The cumulative impacts significance rating must also inform the need and desirability of the proposed development. A cumulative impact environmental statement on whether the proposed development must proceed.	a BA/EIA process underway at the time of starting this Scoping and EIA Process, as well as the three proposed Kwagga WEF developments, were considered in the Cumulative Impact Assessment during the EIA Phase of this project. The reason for selecting a 50 km radius instead of the recommended 30 km radius was to ensure the inclusion of a larger number of RE projects in the Cumulative Impact Assessment, since only two other RE projects were found to be located within the recommended 30 km radius from the proposed Kwagga project cluster. No additional RE projects within a 50 km radius were considered following the submission of the Final Scoping Report, in order to close out the list of projects considered during the EIA Phase. Refer to Appendix E of this Final EIA Report for a copy of the pre-application consultation with the DFFE, wherein this approach was confirmed, as well as the DFFE's approval thereof. The RE projects located within a 50 km radius of the proposed Kwagga WEF project cluster that have been considered in the Cumulative Impact Assessment are detailed Section 19.4 within Chapter 19 of this Final EIA Report.

NO.	DATE OF COMMENT, FORMAT OF COMMENT, NAME OF ORGANISATION/I&AP	COMMENTS	RESPONSE FROM EAP/APPLICANT/SPECIALIST
			• The specialists have identified cumulative impacts as per the above approach, and such impacts have been clearly defined, and where possible the size of the identified impact was quantified and indicated, i.e. hectares of cumulatively transformed land, where possible. Refer specifically to the Chapter 6 (Agriculture Compliance Statement), Chapter 8 (Terrestrial Biodiversity and Species), Chapter 7 (Aquatic Biodiversity and Species) and Chapter 9 (Avifauna Assessment) for additional information in this regard. It is also important to note that in most cases the actual development footprint of the nearby Renewable Energy developments could not be easily quantified or accessed spatially. For example, the National Renewable Energy EIA Application Database contains the land parcels, and not the footprint. Nonetheless, it is believed that the assessment of cumulative impacts has been adequately captured in this Final EIA Report. With regards to the levels of transformation, the current state of the affected area must also be taken into consideration. For further details, please refer to the Specialist Assessments in which have been included in Chapter 6 to 18 of this Final EIA Report.

NO.	DATE OF COMMENT, FORMAT OF COMMENT, NAME OF ORGANISATION/I&AP	COMMENTS	RESPONSE FROM EAP/APPLICANT/SPECIALIST
			 The assessment of cumulative impacts was based on the specialist and EAP's knowledge of similar approved Renewable Energy projects in the 50 km radius, which was also supplemented by the National Renewable Energy EIA Applications (REEA) database and the South African Heritage Resources Information System (SAHRIS). Each specialist study in Chapters 6 to 18 of the Final EIA Report contains feedback on the assessment of potential cumulative impacts. The specialists assessed such impacts based on their expertise and knowledge of similar projects and management actions. Furthermore, the assessment of cumulative impacts is not necessarily solely focused on an assessment of impacts linked to previously authorised similar developments and consideration of their mitigation measures, but also about the sensitivities of the land on which the projects take place. The impact assessment tables and concluding statements provided by each specialist in Chapter 6 to 19 of the Final EIA Report include considerations of cumulative impacts. Chapter 20 of the Final EIA Report also includes an overall cumulative impact statement on the proposed development proceeding.

NO.	DATE OF COMMENT, FORMAT OF COMMENT, NAME OF ORGANISATION/I&AP	COMMENTS	RESPONSE FROM EAP/APPLICANT/SPECIALIST
4.	24/11/2021, Letter (received via email on 24/11/2021), Sabelo Malaza, Wayne Hector, DFFE	 (d) Maps and Layout plans A copy of the layout map must be submitted with the final report. All available biodiversity information must be used in the finalisation of the layout map. Existing infrastructure must be used as far as possible e.g., roads. The layout map must indicate the following: The location of the BESS, Wind Energy Facility and associated infrastructure; All supporting onsite infrastructure e.g. roads (existing and proposed); The location of sensitive environmental features on site e.g., CBAs, heritage sites, wetlands, drainage lines etc. that will be affected; Buffer areas; and All "no-go" areas. The above map must be overlain with a sensitivity map and a cumulative map which shows neighbouring renewable energy developments and existing grid infrastructure. Google maps will not be accepted for decision making. 	 CSIR: These comments are noted. The main body of the Final EIA Report contains all maps as requested, and maps are also included throughout the document (refer to the list of figures upfront in the Final EIA Report). Chapter 3 of the Final EIA Report also includes relevant features identified by the Specialists. Refer to Appendix G of the Final EIA Report for the relevant combined sensitivity maps and environmental features map. Please note that the fine scale sensitivities mapped by the specialists within the proposed project development area, and the fine scale project layout cannot be easily seen when combined with a 50 km radius cumulative map. Scale needs to be considered in terms of the 50 km radius cumulative map. A feature- and sensitivity map has been provided in Appendix G of this Final EIA Report to cover these points. A separate cumulative map is also provided in Chapter 19 of the Final EIA Report, as well as in the relevant specialist assessments included in in Chapters 6 to 18. Maps compiled by the CSIR in the Final EIA Report are not produced using Google Maps.
5.	24/11/2021, Letter (received via email on 24/11/2021),	(e) Specialist Declaration of Interest Specialist Declaration of Interest forms must be attached to the final EIAR. You are therefore requested to submit original signed Specialist Declaration of Interest forms for each specialist study conducted. The	CSIR: • It was confirmed via email by Ms Constance Musemburi (the allocated Case Officer for the proposed projects) that electronic versions of

NO.	DATE OF COMMENT, FORMAT OF COMMENT, NAME OF ORGANISATION/I&AP	COMMENTS	RESPONSE FROM EAP/APPLICANT/SPECIALIST
	Sabelo Malaza, Wayne Hector, DFFE	forms are available on Department's website (please use the Department's template). Recommendations provided by specialist reports must be considered and used to inform the preferred layout alternative.	 Specialist Declaration of Interest forms are acceptable. As such Specialist Declaration of Interest forms for each specialist study conducted as part of this S&EIA Process has been included in Appendix B of this Final EIA Report. Recommendations provided by specialists have been considered in the revision of the WEF layout and selection of preferred alternatives. Specialist recommendations have also been included in the EMPr and conditions of EA, where applicable. Please refer to Chapter 6 to 19 of this Final EIA Report for the full detail Impact Assessments conducted as part of this S&EIA Process.
6.	24/11/2021,	(f) Undertaking of an Oath	CSIR: The EAPs undertaking under oath affirmation
	Letter (received via email on 24/11/2021), Sabelo Malaza, Wayne Hector, DFFE	 Please note that the final EIAR must have an undertaking under oath/affirmation by the EAP. Based on the above, you are therefore required to include an undertaking under oath or affirmation by the EAP (administered by a Commissioner of Oaths) as per Appendix 3 of the NEMA EIA Regulations, 2014, as amended, which states that the EIAR must include: "an undertaking under oath or affirmation by the EAP in relation to: the correctness of the information provided in the reports; the inclusion of comments and inputs from stakeholders and I&APs the inclusion of inputs and recommendations from the specialist reports where relevant; and 	(administered by a Commissioner of Oaths) has been included in Appendix B of this Final EIA Report as per the requirements of Appendix 3 of the NEMA EIA Regulations, 2014, as amended.

NO.	DATE OF COMMENT, FORMAT OF COMMENT, NAME OF ORGANISATION/I&AP	COMMENTS	RESPONSE FROM EAP/APPLICANT/SPECIALIST
		iv. any information provided by the EAP to interested and affected parties and any responses by the EAP to comments or inputs made by interested and affected parties".	
7.	24/11/2021, Letter (received via email on 24/11/2021), Sabelo Malaza, Wayne Hector, DFFE	(g) Details and Expertise of the EAP Please ensure that the Final EIAR includes the details and expertise of the EAP, including a curriculum vitae, in order to comply with the requirements of Appendix 3 of the NEMA EIA Regulations, 2014, as amended.	CSIR: The details and expertise of the EAP, including a curriculum vitae is included in Section 1.7 of Chapter 1 as well as in Appendix A of this Final EIA Report, as per the requirements of Appendix 3 of the NEMA EIA Regulations, 2014, as amended.
	24/11/2021, Letter (received via email on 24/11/2021), Sabelo Malaza, Wayne Hector, DFFE	 (h) Environmental Management Programme The EMPr must also include the following: All recommendations and mitigation measures recorded in the final EIAR and the specialist studies conducted. An environmental sensitivity map indicating environmental sensitive areas and features identified during the assessment process. In addition to the above, the EMPr must comply with Appendix 4 of the EIA Regulations, 2014, as amended. 	CSIR: An EMPr which complies with Appendix 4 of the EIA Regulations, 2014, as amended, has been compiled and is included as Part C to this EIA Report. Recommendations and mitigation measures provided by specialists following detailed specialists impact assessments have been included in the EMPrs. Please refer to Appendix G for the combined layout and environmental sensitivity and feature maps which show the sensitive feature identified by specialists. The full, detailed specialist assessments are included in Chapter 6 to 19 of this EIA Report.
8.	24/11/2021, Letter (received via email on 24/11/2021), Sabelo Malaza, Wayne Hector, DFFE	General Please also ensure that the final EIAR includes the period for which the Environmental Authorisation is required and the date on which the activity will be concluded as per Appendix 3 of the NEMA EIA Regulations, 2014, as amended. You are further reminded to comply with Regulation 23(1)(a) of the NEMA EIA Regulations, 2014, as amended, which states that: "The applicant must within 106 days of the acceptance of the scoping report submit to the competent authority -	CSIR: • Appendix 1 (3) (1) (q) of the NEMA EIA Regulations, 2014, as amended states "where the proposed activity does not include operational aspects, the period for which the environmental authorisation is required, the date on which the activity will be concluded, and the post construction monitoring

NO.	DATE OF COMMENT, FORMAT OF COMMENT, NAME OF ORGANISATION/I&AP	COMMENTS	RESPONSE FROM EAP/APPLICANT/SPECIALIST
		 (a) an environmental impact assessment report inclusive of any specialist reports, and an EMPr, which must have been subjected to a public participation process of at least 30 days and which reflects the incorporation of comments received, including any comments of the competent authority." • When providing coordinates as part of the information submitted regarding the location of an activity as part of an application for environmental authorisation, such coordinates must be provided in degrees, minutes and seconds using the Hartebeesthoek94 WGS84 coordinate system as per regulation 5(6) of the NEMA EIA Regulations, 2014, as amended. 	requirements finalised". It must be noted that, while the listed activities applied for refer to "development of" and not "development and related operation of", the WEF and associated infrastructure does have operational components. Nonetheless Chapter 20 of the Final EIA Report contains the period for which the Environmental Authorisation is required (i.e. a recommended 10-year validity period). It is not possible to estimate or provide the date on which the activity will be concluded and the post construction monitoring requirements to be finalised, because there are various additional permitting requirements that are applicable and need to be factored in the timing. These are outside of the mandate of the National Environmental Management Act (Act 107 of 1998, as amended), such as the REIPPPP or similar process, as well as the signing of a Power Purchase Agreement. It is understood that the information contained in the EIA Report and appendices is sufficient to make a decision in respect of the activity applied for. • Regulation 5(6) of the NEMA EIA Regulations, 2014, as amended is duly noted. Coordinates of the location of an activity submitted as part of an application for Environmental Authorisation have been provided in degrees, minutes and seconds using the Hartebeesthoek94 WGS84 coordinate system.

NO.	DATE OF COMMENT, FORMAT OF COMMENT, NAME OF ORGANISATION/I&AP	COMMENTS	RESPONSE FROM EAP/APPLICANT/SPECIALIST
9.		Should there be significant changes or new information that has been added to the EIAR or EMPr which changes or information was not contained in the reports or plans consulted on during the initial public participation process, you are required to comply with Regulation 23(1)(b) of the NEMA EIA Regulations, 2014, as amended, which states: "The applicant must within 106 days of the acceptance of the scoping report submit to the competent authority – (b) a notification in writing that the reports, and an EMPr, will be submitted within 156 days of acceptance of the scoping report by the competent authority, or where regulation 21(2) applies, within 156 days of receipt of application by the competent authority, as significant changes have been made or significant new information has been added to the environmental impact assessment report or EMPr, which changes or information was not contained in the reports or plans consulted on during the initial public participation process contemplated in subregulation (1)(a) and that the revised environmental impact assessment report or EMPr will be subjected to another public participation process of at least 30 days". Should you fail to meet any of the timeframes stipulated in Regulation 23 of the NEMA EIA Regulations, 2014, as amended, your application will lapse. You are hereby reminded of Section 24F of the National Environmental Management Act, Act No. 107 of 1998, as amended, that no activity may commence prior to an Environmental Authorisation being granted by the Department.	 The timeframes in Regulation 23(1)(b) have been adhered to in the submission of this Final EIA Report. The Application Form for EA was submitted to the DFFE, together with the Draft EIA Reports for comment, on 23 October 2021. In line with Regulation 23(1)(b) of the 2014 NEMA EIA Regulations (as amended), the EIA Report needed to be submitted to the DFFE for decision-making within 156 days of the acceptance of the Final Scoping Report (i.e. 18 August 2021) by the DFFE. No significant changes have been made or significant new information has been added to the Final EIA Report and EMPr. There has been no change to the specialist impacts or levels of impact significance. Furthermore, the changes made from Draft to Final were confirmed with the DFFE to be acceptable, thus making Regulation 23(1)(a) of the 2014 NEMA EIA Regulations (as amended) not applicable. The reminder regarding failure to meet any timeframes stipulated in Regulation 23 of the 2014 NEMA EIA Regulations (as amended) is noted. The Project Developer is aware of Section 24F of the National Environmental Management Act (Act 107 of 1998, as amended).

11.2 THE DIRECTORATE: BIODIVERSITY CONSERVATION

	DATE OF COMMENT, FORMAT		RESPONSE FROM
NO.	OF COMMENT, NAME OF	COMMENTS	EAP/APPLICANT/SPECIALIST
	ORGANISATION/I&AP		EAF/AFFLICANT/SFECIALIST
1.	23/11/2021, Letter (received via email on 23/11/2021), Seoka Lekota, Aulicia Maifo and Portia Makitla, DFFE, The Directorate: Biodiversity Conservation	COMMENTS ON THE DRAFT ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACT ASSESSMENT REPORT FOR THE PROPOSED 279 MW KWAGGA WIND ENERGY FACILITY 1, 341 MW KWAGGA WIND ENERGY FACILITY 2, 204.6 MW KWAGGA WIND ENERGY FACILITY 3 AND ASSOCIATED INFRASTRUCTURE NEAR BEAUFORT WEST, WESTERN CAPE PROVINCE The Directorate: Biodiversity Conservation has reviewed and evaluated the report. It is noted that the proposed development site is not located within any of the Renewable Energy Development Zones (REDZs) and is also not located within any of the Strategic Transmission Corridors. The proposed study area falls within Gamka Karoo vegetation type which is classified as "least threatened" and does not form part of any formally protected areas. According to EIA Screening Tool, most of the study area is shown to have a very high ecological significance or sensitivity, mainly due to the presence of the Swartbakens River corridor that is classified as an aquatic Critical Biodiversity Area (CBA) category 1. It should be noted that the Directorate does not support any development and its associated infrastructure within a highly sensitive area. You are therefore required to ensure that the development layout does not encroach into CBA 1. The final reports must comply with all the requirements as outlined in the Environmental Impact Assessment (EIA) guideline for renewable energy projects and the Revised Best Practice Guideline for Birds & Wind Energy for assessing and monitoring the impact of wind energy facilities on birds in Southern Africa. NB: The Public Participation Process documents related to Biodiversity EIA for review and queries should be submitted to the Directorate: Biodiversity Conservation at Email; BCAdmin@environment.gov.za for attention of Mr. Seoka Lekota. Yours fajthfully	CSIR: Thank you for the comments received on the Draft EIA Report for the proposed Kwagga WEF 3 development. Responses are provided below to each comment raised. • The proposed Kwagga WEF 3 project was identified and selected prior to the gazetting of the Phase 2 REDZs; however, it is nevertheless located approximately 14 km away (at its closest point) from the Beaufort West REDZ. In addition, the proposed Kwagga WEF 3 project site is located approximately 20 km away (at its closest point) from the Central Strategic Transmission Corridor (as gazetted on 16 February 2018, GN R113). Therefore, its proximity to the Beaufort West REDZ and the Central Strategic Transmission Corridor supports the development of a large-scale renewable energy project at the proposed location. The proposed project is therefore linked to the national planning vision for wind and solar development in South Africa. • Overall, the vegetation on the Kwagga WEF 3 project site is structurally

NO.	DATE OF COMMENT, FORMAT OF COMMENT, NAME OF ORGANISATION/I&AP	COMMENTS	RESPONSE FROM EAP/APPLICANT/SPECIALIST
			homogeneous with dwarf, spiny shrubs (i.e. Karoo bushes) being dominant. Based on species composition, however, seven habitats (i.e. plant communities) were distinguished, described and mapped within the Kwagga WEF 3 project site. The Terrestrial Biodiversity Impact Assessment concurred that no threatened or protected species under the National Environmental Management: Biodiversity Act (Act No. 10 of 2004) is listed for the study area and were found at the site. In addition, no nationally protected tree species is listed for the site, and none were recorded during the site visit. It is noted that the majority of the Kwagga WEF 3 site have been identified as Other Natural Areas (ONAs) i.e. areas not required to meet biodiversity targets. The presence of CBA 1 was noted along a larger tributary of the Brandleegte River and Muiskraal River in the northern parts of the Kwagga WEF 3 site as well as some smaller sections of the Daniels River and Huis River tributaries in the southern parts of the site with several

NO.	DATE OF COMMENT, FORMAT OF COMMENT, NAME OF ORGANISATION/I&AP	COMMENTS	RESPONSE FROM EAP/APPLICANT/SPECIALIST
			of the other smaller watercourses being noted as ESAs across the site. The Final EIA Reports comply with the requirements outlined in the Environmental Impact Assessment Guidelines for renewable energy projects. In addition, the South African "Best practice guidelines for avian monitoring and impact mitigation at proposed wind energy development sites in southern Africa" (Jenkins, A.R., Van Rooyen, C.S., Smallie, J.J., Anderson, M.D., & A.H. Smit. 2011) were identified as the applicable guidelines to be followed for the Avifaunal Impact Assessment which his included as Chapter 9 of this Final EIA Report. This document was published by the Endangered Wildlife Trust (EWT) and Birdlife South Africa (BLSA) in March 2011, and subsequently revised in 2011, 2012 and 2015. The NEMA protocol, published on 30 March 2020, which was used to prepare the Final EIA Report, is based largely on these guidelines.

12. DEPARTMENT OF AGRICULTURE, FORESTRY AND FISHERIES (DAFF): WESTERN CAPE

	DATE OF COMMENT, FORMAT OF		
NO.	COMMENT, NAME OF	COMMENTS	RESPONSE FROM EAP/APPLICANT/SPECIALIST
	ORGANISATION/I&AP		
1.	24/11/2021,	COMMENTS ON THE DRAFT ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACT ASSESSMENT	CSIR: Thank you for the comments received on the Draft EIA
	Letter (received via email on	REPORT FOR THE PROPOSED DEVELOPMENT OF THE WIND ENERGY FACILITY	Report for the proposed Kwagga WEF 3 development.
	24/11/2021),	AND ASSOCIATED INFRASTRUCTURE (WEF 1, WEF 2 AND WEF 3) NEAR	Responses are provided below to each comment raised.
	Melanie Koen, DAFF: Area Manager	BEAUFORT WEST	
	Forestry: Western Cape	1 The Department of Forestry Fisheries and Environment (DFFE) is	
		responsible for the implementation and the enforcement of the National	
		Forest Act (NFA), Act 84 of 1998 as amended and the National Veld and	
		Forest Fire Act, Act 101 of 1998 as amended (NVFFA). Thank you for giving	
		DAFF this opportunity to comment on above application.	
2.	24/11/2021,	Forestry studied the supporting documents for the above- mentioned	CSIR:
	Letter (received via email on	application and the following points related to Forestry's mandate i.e. the	This comment is noted and aligns with the findings
	24/11/2021),	implementation of the NFA are applicable.	for the detailed Terrestrial Biodiversity and
	Melanie Koen, DAFF: Area Manager	a According to the report the areas consists mainly of Karoo	Species Impact Assessment included in Chapter 8
	Forestry: Western Cape	vegetation types with no significant protected species.	of this Final EIA Report. Overall, the vegetation on
		b Section 15 of the National Forest Act (NFA) (Act No. 84 of 1998) as	the Kwagga WEF 3 project site is structurally
		amended prohibits the cutting, disturbing, damaging or destroying	homogeneous with dwarf, spiny shrubs (i.e. Karoo
		of protected tree species without a licence. Section 7 of the	bushes) being dominant. Based on species
		National Forest Act (NFA), act no 84 of 1998 as amended provides	composition, however, seven habitats (i.e. plant
		for the prohibition of the destruction of indigenous trees in any	communities) were distinguished, described and
		natural forest without a license.	mapped within the Kwagga WEF 3 project site. The
		c Forestry's mandate according to the NFA is not affected	Terrestrial Biodiversity Impact Assessment
		d Forestry recommend that Owner become a member of the	concurred that no threatened or protected species
		Southern Cape Fire Protection Association (SCFPA) and that	under the National Environmental Management:
		Forestry: Fire Advisor Paul Gerber (044- 302 6920;	Biodiversity Act (Act No. 10 of 2004) is listed for
		PaulGe@daff.gov.za) be consulted for advise under the NVFFA	the study area and were found at the site. In
			addition, no nationally protected tree species is

	DATE OF COMM	IENT, FORM	AT OF		
NO.	COMMENT,	NAME	OF	COMMENTS	RESPONSE FROM EAP/APPLICANT/SPECIALIST
	ORGANISATION/	I&AP			
				Forestry reserves the right to revise initial comment based on any additional	listed for the site, and none were recorded during
				information that may be received	the site visit.
					The Project Developer has been made aware of
					Section 15 and Section 17 of the National Forest
					Act (NFA) (Act No. 84 of 1998) as amended.
					The Project Developer has been made aware of
					the recommendation for the Project Applicant to
					become a member of the Southern Cape Fire
					Protection Association (SCFPA) and that Forestry:
					Fire Advisor Paul Gerber be consulted for advice
					under the NVFFA. These recommendations have
					been included in the EMPr (Part C of this Final EIA
					Report). The details of Mr Paul Gerber have been
					included on the database of I&APs and Organs of
					State. Refer to Appendix C of this Scoping Report
					for a copy of the I&AP database.

13. WESTERN CAPE DEPARTMENT OF ENVIRONMENTAL AFFAIRS AND DEVELOPMENT PLANNING (DEA&DP)

	DATE OF COMMENT, FORMAT OF		
NO.	COMMENT, NAME OF	COMMENTS	RESPONSE FROM EAP/APPLICANT/SPECIALIST
	ORGANISATION/I&AP		
1.	24/11/2021,	COMMENTS ON THE DRAFT ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACT ASSESSMENT	CSIR: This comment is noted.
	Letter (received via email on	REPORT FOR THE PROPOSED DEVELOPMENT OF THE 204.6MW KWAGGA	
	24/11/2021), Thea Jordan and Adri	WIND ENERGY FACILITY 3 AND ASSOCIATED INFRASTRUCTURE ON	The 30-day public comment period for the Draft EIA
	La Meyer, Western Cape DEA&DP,	PORTIONS 1, 2 AND 3 OF THE FARM ARTHURS KRAAL NO. 386, REMAINDER	Reports for the proposed 279 MW Kwagga WEF 1, the 341
	Directorate: Development	OF FARM ANNEX TAAIBOS NO. 21, PORTIONS 4, 5, 6 AND 8 OF THE FARM	MW Kwagga WEF 2 and the 204.6 MW Kwagga WEF 3
	Facilitation	CYFERFONTEIN NO. 115, AND PORTIONS 5 AND 7 OF THE FARM MUIS KRAAL	extended from 22 October to 22 November 2021.

	DATE OF COMMENT, FORMAT OF		
NO.	COMMENT, NAME OF	COMMENTS	RESPONSE FROM EAP/APPLICANT/SPECIALIST
	ORGANISATION/I&AP		
		NO. 373, BEAUFORT WEST AND PRINCE ALBERT MUNICIPALITIES (DFFE REF.	However, comments received on the Draft EIA Reports
		NO: 14/12/16/3/3/2/2072)	until 30 November 2021 were accepted and addressed in
			this CRR and the Final EIA Reports. Please refer to Section
		The Draft Scoping Report ("DSR") dated May 2021, the Department's	10 of this CRR for the email trail between the EIA Project
		comments thereto dated 28 June 2021, the email notification of 23 October	Team and the DEA&DP regarding the comment period, as
		2021 regarding the availability of the Draft Environmental Impact	well as Appendix D of this EIA Report for proof of individual
		Assessment ("EIA") Report for comment, the Department's email response	communication sent to I&APs regarding the request for
		of 25 October 2021 regarding the commenting period on the Draft EIA	comments until 25 November 2021.
		Report, and the email response of the environmental assessment	
		practitioner ("EAP") of 27 October 2021 extending the commenting period	
		to 24 November 2021, refer.	
		Please find consolidated comments from various directorates within the	
		Department on the Draft EIA Report dated October 2021 that was available	
		for download from various online platforms provided by the EAP.	
2.	24/11/2021,	The applicant is reminded of its "general duty of care towards the	CSIR: This comment is noted. The Project Developer has
	Letter (received via email on	environment" as prescribed in section 28 of the NEMA, 1998 which states	been informed of the Project Applicant's "general duty of
	24/11/2021), Thea Jordan and Adri	that "Every person who causes, has caused or may cause significant pollution	care towards the environment" as prescribed in section 28
	La Meyer, Western Cape DEA&DP,	or degradation of the environment must take reasonable measures to	of the NEMA, 1998 which states that "Every person who
	Directorate: Development	prevent such pollution or degradation from occurring, continuing or	causes, has caused or may cause significant pollution or
	Facilitation	recurring, or, in so far as such harm to the environment is authorised by law	degradation of the environment must take reasonable
		or cannot reasonably be avoided or stopped, to minimise and rectify such	measures to prevent such pollution or degradation from
		pollution or degradation of the environment."	occurring, continuing or recurring, or, in so far as such
		Disease disease and a social social as social to the social desired in the social so	harm to the environment is authorised by law or cannot
		Please direct any enquiries via e-mail to the official/s indicated in this	reasonably be avoided or stopped, to minimise and rectify
		correspondence should you require any clarity on any of the comments provided.	such pollution or degradation of the environment."
		The Department reserves the right to revise or withdraw comments or	The email addresses of the officials indicated in this
		request further information based on any information received.	correspondence have been included on the I&AP database,

	DATE OF COMMENT, FORMAT OF			
NO.	COMMENT, NAME	OF	COMMENTS	RESPONSE FROM EAP/APPLICANT/SPECIALIST
	ORGANISATION/I&AP			
				if not already registered. Refer to Appendix C of this EIA
				Report for a copy of the I&AP database.

13.1 DIRECTORATE: DEVELOPMENT FACILITATION

	DATE OF COMMENT, FORMAT OF		
NO.	COMMENT, NAME OF	COMMENTS	RESPONSE FROM EAP/APPLICANT/SPECIALIST
	ORGANISATION/I&AP		
1.	24/11/2021,	Regulation 3(1)(1) of Appendix 3 of the National Environmental	CSIR: The sensitivity buffers recommended by specialists on
	Letter (received via email on	Management Act, 1998 (Act No. 107 of 1998) ("NEMA") EIA Regulations,	the specialist team have been included in the legend of the
	24/11/2021), Thea Jordan and Adri	2014 (as amended) requires that a Draft EIA Report must contain an	combined site layout and environmental features map which
	La Meyer, Western Cape DEA&DP,	environmental impact statement which contains: (ii) a map at an	is included in Appendix G (Figure G.1) of this EIA Report.
	Directorate: Development	appropriate scale which superimposes the proposed activity and its	
	Facilitation	associated structures and infrastructure on the environmental	
		sensitivities of the preferred development footprint on the approved site,	
	24/11/2021,	indicating any areas that should be avoided, including buffers; and (iii) a	
	(received via email on 24/11/2021),	summary of the positive and negative impacts and risks of the proposed	
	Ms Adri La Meyer and Mr Ralph van	activity and identified alternatives. Although combined site layout and	
	Delin, Western Cape DEA&DP,	environmental sensitivity maps with various levels of sensitivities (high,	
	Directorate: Development	medium or low) were provided, the buffers as identified by the various	
	Facilitation	specialists were not depicted on the maps. Noting that the proposed	
		development footprint is estimated at approximately 250ha, it is	
		acknowledged that the buffers could not be depicted on the maps due to	
		the scale thereof. This Directorate thus recommends that the final site	
		layout map with combined environmental sensitivity include a legend for	
		each specialist recommended buffer.	
2.	24/11/2021,	Throughout the Draft EIA Report, it is indicated that three possible	CSIR: As noted in Section 2.1.2.2 of Chapter 2 of the Final EIA
		location alternatives for the construction compound and laydown area	report, four construction compound and laydown area

	DATE OF COMMENT, FORMAT OF		
NO.	COMMENT, NAME OF	COMMENTS	RESPONSE FROM EAP/APPLICANT/SPECIALIST
	ORGANISATION/I&AP		
	Letter (received via email on	have been identified and were assessed during the EIA phase.	alternatives were initially identified at the proposed Kwagga
	24/11/2021), Thea Jordan and Adri	Construction compound and laydown area Alternative 2 (located on	WEF 3 project site and were subjected to a detailed specialist
	La Meyer, Western Cape DEA&DP,	Portion 7 of Farm Muis Kraal No. 373, north-east of substation hub	assessment during the Scoping Phase. Three of the four
	Directorate: Development	Alternative 2) has been selected as the preferred alternative, as this	location alternatives for the compound and laydown area
	Facilitation	alternative is best suited in terms of location for access around the wind	were selected based on the scoping level specialist inputs
		energy facility ("WEF") site. Note that page 2-11 indicates that four	received i.e. those with the least environmental impact that
	24/11/2021,	construction compound and laydown were identified. The Draft EIA	were then taken forward for detailed specialist assessment in
	(received via email on 24/11/2021),	Report however failed to provide an assessment of the construction	the <u>EIA Phase</u> .
	Ms Adri La Meyer and Mr Ralph van	compound and laydown area layout alternatives considered, to indicate	
	Delin, Western Cape DEA&DP,	the positive and negative impacts and risks associated with the	Following detailed specialist assessments of these remaining
	Directorate: Development	construction compound and laydown area layout alternatives, and why	three location alternatives during the EIA Phase, all three
	Facilitation	the applicant's preferred alternative should be deemed as preferred.	location alternatives have been found suitable from an
			environmental sensitivity perspective. It was however
			recommended by the Heritage and Visual Specialists that the
			proposed location at the lowest elevation would be selected
			as the preferred location alternative. As such, the Project
			Developer has selected Compound and Laydown Area No. 2
			as the preferred location alternative as this alternative is
			located at the lowest elevation and closest to the main WEF
			site access point.
			Please refer to Section 5.3 of Chapter 5 for a summary of the
			positive versus negative impacts associated with the
			construction compound and laydown area location
			alternatives.
3.	24/11/2021,	It was further indicated that two possible locations for the substation hub	CSIR: As noted in Section 2.1.3.2 of Chapter 2 of the Final EIA
	Letter (received via email on	(incorporating the facility substation, switchyard, collector infrastructure,	Report, three possible substation hub locations were initially
	24/11/2021), Thea Jordan and Adri	battery energy storage system ("BESS") and associated operational and	identified at the proposed Kwagga WEF 3 project site that
	La Meyer, Western Cape DEA&DP,	maintenance buildings) have been assessed in the EIA phase. Substation	were subjected to a detailed specialist assessment during the

	DATE OF COMM	IENT, FORM	AT OF		
NO.	COMMENT,	NAME	OF	COMMENTS	RESPONSE FROM EAP/APPLICANT/SPECIALIST
	ORGANISATION	/I&AP			
	Directorate:	Develo	pment	hub Alternative 1 (located on Portion 7 of the Farm Muis Kraal No. 373,	Scoping Phase. Two of the three location alternatives for the
	Facilitation			south-west of construction compound and laydown area Alternative 1)	substation hub were selected based on the scoping level
				was selected as the preferred alternative as this alternative is considered	specialist inputs received i.e. those with the least
	24/11/2021,			most centrally located in terms of the refined turbine layout. The Draft	environmental impact that were then taken forward for
	(received via ema	ail on 24/11/	'2021),	EIA Report however failed to provide an assessment of the substation hub	detailed specialist assessment in the EIA Phase.
	Ms Adri La Meye	r and Mr Ral _l	ph van	alternatives layouts considered, the positive and negative impacts and	
	Delin, Western	Cape DE	A&DP,	risks associated with the identified layout alternatives, and why the	Following detailed specialist assessments of these remaining
	Directorate:	Develo	pment	applicant's preferred alternative should be deemed as preferred.	two location alternatives during the EIA Phase, both location
	Facilitation				alternatives have been found suitable from an environmental
					sensitivity perspective. It was however recommended by the
					Heritage and Visual Specialists that the proposed location at
					the lowest elevation would be selected as the preferred
					location alternative. As such, the Project Developer has
					selected Substation Hub Location No. 1 as the preferred
					location alternative as this alternative is located at the lowest
					elevation and is considered most centrally located in terms of
					the refined turbine layout.
					Please refer to Section 5.3 of Chapter 5 for a summary of the
					positive versus negative impacts associated with the
4.	24/11/2021,			Further to paragraphs 12 and 12 above the Haritage Impact	substation hub location alternatives. CSIR: This comment is noted. Recommendations from all
4.	Letter (received	d via oma	ail on	Further to paragraphs 1.2. and 1.3. above, the Heritage Impact Assessment compiled by ASHA Consulting (Pty) Ltd (final report dated 09	specialists on the Specialist Team (including the Heritage
	24/11/2021), The			October 2021) recommended that "The options for the substation hub	Impact Assessment compiled by ASHA Consulting (Pty) Ltd)
	La Meyer, Weste			and permanent laydown areas that are lowest in elevation are preferred."	were considered and included in the revision of the site layout
	Directorate:	Develo		Since the Draft EIA Report failed to provide a discussion on the substation	and selection of the preferred alternatives. All specialists'
	Facilitation	Develo	piliciit	hub and permanent laydown areas alternatives, it is unclear whether the	recommendations are aligned to the Project Applicant's
	lacilitation			heritage specialist's recommendation regarding the associated	selection of preferred alternatives.
	24/11/2021,			infrastructure location is aligned to the applicant's preferred alternatives.	selection of preferred diterratives.
	27/11/2021,			initiasti detaile location is anglied to the applicant spreighed alternatives.	

NO	DATE OF COMMENT, FORMAT OF	COMMENTS	DECDONICE EDONA FAD (ADDITIONAL (CDECIALICE
NO.	COMMENT, NAME OF ORGANISATION/I&AP	COMMENTS	RESPONSE FROM EAP/APPLICANT/SPECIALIST
	(received via email on 24/11/2021),		Please refer to Section 5.3 of Chapter 5 for a summary of the
	Ms Adri La Meyer and Mr Ralph van		positive versus negative impacts associated with the
	Delin, Western Cape DEA&DP,		construction compound and laydown area location
	Directorate: Development		alternatives.
	Facilitation		
5.	24/11/2021,	The applicability of the Spatial Planning and Land Use Management Act,	CSIR: The applicability of the Spatial Planning and Land Use
	Letter (received via email on	2013 (Act No. 16 of 2013) and Western Cape Land Use Planning Act, 2014	Management Act, 2013 (Act No. 16 of 2013) and Western
	24/11/2021), Thea Jordan and Adri	(Act No. 3 of 2014) to the proposed development must be reported on in	Cape Land Use Planning Act, 2014 (Act No. 3 of 2014) to the
	La Meyer, Western Cape DEA&DP,	the Final EIA Report to be submitted to the competent authority for	proposed Kwagga WEF 2 has been included, as requested by
	Directorate: Development	decision-making.	the Directorate. Please refer to Section 4.3.1.17 and Section
	Facilitation		4.3.2.3 of Chapter 4 of this Final EIA Report.
	24/11/2021,		
	(received via email on 24/11/2021),		
	Ms Adri La Meyer and Mr Ralph van		
	Delin, Western Cape DEA&DP, Directorate: Development		
	Directorate: Development Facilitation		
6.	24/11/2021,	It is not evident from the Draft EIA Report and Avifaunal Specialist	AVIFAUNA SPECIALIST: Although the painting of a single blade
0.	Letter (received via email on	Assessment Report compiled by Chris van Rooyen Consulting dated	(preferably in black) has shown to be effective in reducing bird
	24/11/2021), Thea Jordan and Adri	September 2021 that a recommendation was presented that all turbines	collisions this method has still not been proven to be effective
	La Meyer, Western Cape DEA&DP,	have one blade painted either red or black from the outset, which should	in a South African context. We have discussed this with
	Directorate: Development	be applied to all wind turbines on-site. International best practice has	BirdLife South Africa and they have also indicated that they
	Facilitation	shown that this recommendation can greatly reduce bird mortalities. The	will not support this as a recommendation or mitigation
		avifaunal specialist, in consultation with the visual specialist, should be	measure until such time as it has been proven to also be
	24/11/2021,	consulted whether this proposed recommendation is feasible. If	effective in South Africa. We are therefore of the opinion that
	(received via email on 24/11/2021),	supported by the specialists, the recommendation should be included in	it would be premature to include this as a requirement in the
	Ms Adri La Meyer and Mr Ralph van	the EMPr.	EMPr. Our recommendation of including additional measures

	DATE OF COMMENT, FORMAT OF		
NO.	COMMENT, NAME OF	COMMENTS	RESPONSE FROM EAP/APPLICANT/SPECIALIST
	ORGANISATION/I&AP		
	Delin, Western Cape DEA&DP,		such as Shut Down on Demand or other proven methods
	Directorate: Development		would cater for blade painting if at the time this has been
	Facilitation		proven to be effective in the South African context.
			VISUAL SPECIALIST: I have read that studies in Norway
			concluded that painting one of the blades reduced bird
			collisions by more than 70% for some bird species and not all.
			Wind turbines are typically painted white, and by presenting
			little contrast against the sky, the operation of wind turbines
			creates motion blur. This makes it difficult for birds to detect
			and avoid blades as they fly near them. This implies that the
			more visible the blades are the less likely the collisions.
			However, this makes for the turbines being more visible to
			humans as well and would probably increase the visual
			impact. As far as I can ascertain no studies have been done to
			determine if this is so. I believe further research still needs to
			be done to provide its effectiveness in the South African
			context.

13.2 DIRECTORATE: POLLUTION AND CHEMICALS MANAGEMENT

NO.	DATE OF COMMENT, FORMAT OF		
	COMMENT, NAME OF	COMMENTS	RESPONSE FROM EAP/APPLICANT/SPECIALIST
	ORGANISATION/I&AP		
1.	24/11/2021,	Section 2.1.2.8, page 2-12 of the Draft EIA Report indicates the use of a	CSIR: This comment is noted.
	(received via email on 24/11/2021),	conservancy tank during the operational phase. Although reference is	As noted in Section 2.1.2.8 in Chapter 2 of the EIA Report, the
	Thea Jordan and Adri La Meyer,	made to adherence to the National Water Act (Act No. 36 of 1998) and	remote location of the project site indicates that it is highly
	Western Cape DEADP, Directorate:	provision of relevant documentation to the Breede Gouritz Catchment	likely that a conservancy tank system will be employed on site
	Development Facilitation	Management Agency, per this Directorate's comments on the DSR, please	during the operational phase. A registered company will be

NO.	DATE OF COMMENT, FORMAT OF COMMENT, NAME OF ORGANISATION/I&AP	COMMENTS	RESPONSE FROM EAP/APPLICANT/SPECIALIST
	28/06/2021, (received via email on 28/06/2021), Ms Nabeelah Achmat, Western Cape DEADP, Directorate: Pollution and Chemicals Management	provide additional information regarding the provisional location and management of the proposed conservancy tank.	contracted to store and transport sewage from site to an appropriate municipal wastewater treatment facility. Should a conservancy tank system be employed, the Project Applicant will provide the BGCMA with a signed copy of the contract between the contractor or the municipality which is appointed to empty the conservancy tank. The conservancy tank will be located in close proximity to the O&M buildings. Appropriate management of the proposed
			conservancy tank have been added to the EMPr for the WEF (please refer to Section 9.5.4 of the EMPr). Obtaining non-binding letters prior to bidding, as well as confirming actual Service Level Agreements with the local municipalities is understood to be outside of the EIA process, and will only be concluded if the project receives preferred bidder status in terms of the REIPPPP.
2.	24/11/2021, (received via email on 24/11/2021), Thea Jordan and Adri La Meyer, Western Cape DEADP, Directorate: Development Facilitation 28/06/2021, (received via email on 28/06/2021),	The following general recommendations are provided to prevent and manage any potential contamination emanating from the WEF development site during the construction and operational phases: 2.3.1. It is recommended that a waste disposal facility provide written confirmation of sufficient capacity to accept any hazardous waste emanating from the site. Frequent and appropriate disposal of both general and hazardous waste is required to prevent potential pollution of soil, surface and groundwater.	CSIR: The general recommendations provided by the Directorate in this comment have been added to the EMPr for the WEF and on-site substation.
	Ms Nabeelah Achmat, Western Cape DEADP, Directorate: Pollution and Chemicals Management	2.3.2. It is essential that on-site sanitation is managed appropriately to prevent any spills or leakages.2.3.3. All cleaning substances that are to be used should be non-toxic or not harmful to the environment and must be responsibly managed to	

NO.	DATE OF COMM COMMENT, ORGANISATION/	NAME	OF OF	COMMENTS	RESPONSE FROM EAP/APPLICANT/SPECIALIST
				prevent the contamination of any nearby surface water resources and the	
				receiving environment.	
				2.3.4. All hazardous substances stored on-site should be stored and sealed	
				correctly, in a secured area.	
				2.3.5. It is noted that the lithium-ion BESS is the applicant's preferred	
				BESS. Lithium-ion batteries must have battery management systems	
				(containment, automatic alarms and shut-off systems) to monitor and	
				protect cells from overcharging or damaging conditions.	
				2.3.6. On-site battery maintenance should only be undertaken on	
				impermeable surfaces with secondary containment measures. Any	
				resulting hazardous substances must be disposed of appropriately.	
				2.3.7. An emergency response plan is to be implemented in the event of a	
				spill or leakage. Staff onsite should be trained on how to deal with the	
				clean-up of a hazardous substance, and the provision of spill kits on-site	
				should be readily available in the event of an incident.	
				2.3.8. Suitable emergency and safety signage is to be provided on-site, and	
				any areas which may pose a safety risk (including hazardous substances),	
				clearly demarcated. Emergency numbers for the local police, fire	
				department, Eskom and the local municipality must be placed in a	
				prominent clearly visible area on-site.	
				2.3.9. As indicated under mitigation 8.2.10 on page 52 of the EMPr, the	
				Directorate: Pollution and Chemicals Management, in terms of section 30	
				of NEMA, 1998, must also be notified if there is a sudden, unexpected or	
				uncontrolled release of a hazardous substance, and not only the	
				Department of Forestry, Fisheries and the Environment	

13.3 DIRECTORATE: WASTE MANAGEMENT

	DATE OF COMMENT, FORMAT OF		
NO.	COMMENT, NAME OF	COMMENTS	RESPONSE FROM EAP/APPLICANT/SPECIALIST
	ORGANISATION/I&AP		
1.	24/11/2021,	The EAP's responses to this Directorate's comments provided on the DSR	CSIR: This comment is noted with thanks.
	(received via email on 24/11/2021),	have been satisfactory addressed in the Draft EIA Report and EMPr. This	
	Thea Jordan and Adri La Meyer,	Directorate has no further comment on the Draft EIA Report	
	Western Cape DEADP, Directorate:		
	Development Facilitation		
	24/11/2021,		
	(received via email on 24/11/2021),		
	Mr Muneeb Baderoon, Western		
	Cape DEA&DP, Directorate: Waste		
	Management		

13.4 DIRECTORATE: AIR QUALITY

NO.	DATE OF COMMENT, FORMAT OF COMMENT, NAME OF ORGANISATION/I&AP	COMMENTS	RESPONSE FROM EAP/APPLICANT/SPECIALIST
1.	24/11/2021,	It is noted that the potential dust during construction activities will	CSIR: This comment is noted. As indicated by this Directorate,
	(received via email on 24/11/2021),	include the clearance of topsoil, excavations, and road construction. Per	appropriate dust suppression methods have been included in
	Thea Jordan and Adri La Meyer,	the EMPr, appropriate dust suppression methods must be strictly	the EMPr for the WEF. The specific persons responsible for
	Western Cape DEA&DP,	implemented and monitored on-site for excessive dust emissions,	dust suppression methods have been included in the EMPr
	Directorate: Development	especially during periods of high winds.	and the Project Developer has been made aware of the need
	Facilitation		for strict monitoring to ensure dust suppression methods are
			followed, especially during periods of high winds.
	24/11/2021,		
	(received via email on 24/11/2021),		
	Deon Stoltz, Western Cape		

	DATE OF COMMENT, FORMAT OF		
NO.	COMMENT, NAME OF	COMMENTS	RESPONSE FROM EAP/APPLICANT/SPECIALIST
	ORGANISATION/I&AP		
	DEA&DP, Directorate: Air Quality		
	Management		
2.	24/11/2021,	Dust generated during all phases of the proposed development must	CSIR: This comment is noted. As indicated by this Directorate,
	(received via email on 24/11/2021),	comply with the National Dust Control Regulations (Government Notice	appropriate dust suppression methods have been included in
	Thea Jordan and Adri La Meyer,	No. R. 827 of 1 November 2013) promulgated in terms of the National	the EMPr for the WEF. The specific persons responsible for
	Western Cape DEA&DP,	Environmental Management: Air Quality Act, 2004 (Act No. 39 of 2004).	dust suppression methods have been included in the EMPr
	Directorate: Development	These regulations prohibit a person from conducting any activity in such	and the Project Developer has been made aware of the need
	Facilitation	a way as to give rise to dust in such quantities and concentrations that the	for strict monitoring to ensure dust suppression methods are
		dust, or dust fall, has a detrimental effect on the environment including	followed, especially during periods of high winds. National
	24/11/2021,	health.	Dust Control Regulations (Government Notice No. R. 827 of 1
	(received via email on 24/11/2021),		November 2013) promulgated in terms of the National
	Deon Stoltz, Western Cape		Environmental Management: Air Quality Act, 2004 (Act No. 39
	DEA&DP, Directorate: Air Quality		of 2004) will be adhered to during all phases of the proposed
	Management		development.
3.	24/11/2021,	In terms of regulation 4 of the Western Cape Noise Control Regulations	CSIR: Please note, a detailed Noise Impact Assessment has
	(received via email on 24/11/2021),	(promulgated in Provincial Notice 200/2013, the local authority, or any	been conducted in accordance with SANS 10328:2008 (3rd Ed.
	Thea Jordan and Adri La Meyer,	other authority responsible for considering an application for a building	and SANS 10103:2008 (6th Ed.), as part of the S&EIA Process.
	Western Cape DEA&DP,	plan approval, business licence approval, planning approval or	The detailed Noise Impact Assessment has been included in
	Directorate: Development	environmental authorisation, may instruct the applicant to conduct and	Chapter 14 of this EIA Report.
	Facilitation	submit, as part of the application a noise impact assessment in	
		accordance with South African National Standards ("SANS") 10328	
	24/11/2021,		
	(received via email on 24/11/2021),		
	Deon Stoltz, Western Cape		
	DEA&DP, Directorate: Air Quality		
	Management		

NO.	DATE OF COMMENT, FORMAT OF COMMENT, NAME OF	COMMENTS	RESPONSE FROM EAP/APPLICANT/SPECIALIST
	ORGANISATION/I&AP		
4.	24/11/2021,	This Directorate notes that according to the noise specialist, the noise	CSIR: This comment is noted. The detailed Noise Impact
	(received via email on 24/11/2021),	significance relating to all developmental aspects of the proposed activity	Assessment has been included in Chapter 14 of this EIA
	Thea Jordan and Adri La Meyer,	will meet the daytime noise rating limit of the SANS 10103: 2008.	Report.
	Western Cape DEA&DP,		
	Directorate: Development		
	Facilitation		
	24/11/2021,		
	(received via email on 24/11/2021),		
	Deon Stoltz, Western Cape		
	DEA&DP, Directorate: Air Quality		
	Management		
5.	24/11/2021,	Per the requirements of SANS 10103: 2008, mitigation measures must be	CSIR: The detailed Noise Impact Assessment was conducted
	(received via email on 24/11/2021),	implemented should the noise impact exceed the night-time noise rating	in accordance with SANS 10328:2008 (3rd Ed. and SANS
	Thea Jordan and Adri La Meyer,	limit, e.g., running the turbines in low power mode at certain wind speeds	10103:2008 (6th Ed.). The Noise specialists'
	Western Cape DEA&DP,	at night.	recommendations (per the requirements of SANS 10103:
	Directorate: Development		2008) have been included in the EMPr for the WEF and
	Facilitation		substation. Please refer to Chapter 14 of this EIA Report for
			the full Noise Impact Assessment.
	24/11/2021,		
	(received via email on 24/11/2021),		
	Deon Stoltz, Western Cape		
	DEA&DP, Directorate: Air Quality		
	Management		
6.	24/11/2021,	This Directorate supports the noise specialist's recommendation that	CSIR: The Directorates support of the noise specialist's
	(received via email on 24/11/2021),	ambient noise monitoring should be undertaken at the one noise	recommendation that ambient noise monitoring should be
	Thea Jordan and Adri La Meyer,	sensitive area when the windspeed is above 5m/s. Ambient noise	conducted during the construction phase by noise specialist,
	Western Cape DEA&DP,		is noted. This recommendation as well as the directorates

	DATE OF COMMENT, FORMAT OF		
NO.	COMMENT, NAME OF	COMMENTS	RESPONSE FROM EAP/APPLICANT/SPECIALIST
	ORGANISATION/I&AP		
	Directorate: Development Facilitation	monitoring should be undertaken during the construction phase by noise specialist, according the requirements of SANS 10103: 2008	recommendation for ambient noise monitoring to be undertaken during the construction phase by a noise specialist (according to the requirements of SANS 10103:
	24/11/2021,		2008) has been added in the EMPr for the WEF and
	(received via email on 24/11/2021),		substation.
	Deon Stoltz, Western Cape		
	DEA&DP, Directorate: Air Quality		
	Management		
7.	24/11/2021,	This Directorate therefore recommends that:	CSIR: The recommendations provided by the Directorate in
	(received via email on 24/11/2021),	4.7.1. All machinery that may cause a significant noise nuisance during the	this comment have been added to the EMPr for the WEF and
	Thea Jordan and Adri La Meyer,	construction phase may only be utilised during the daytime hours.	on-site substation.
	Western Cape DEA&DP,	4.7.2. All directly affected parties should be notified of any activities on	
	Directorate: Development	site that will cause a significant noise disturbance prior to activities taking	
	Facilitation	place.	
		4.7.3. All blasting must be conducted during the daytime hours and all	
	24/11/2021,	affected parties be notified prior to commencement.	
	(received via email on 24/11/2021),	4.7.4. All mitigation measures proposed by the noise specialist be	
	Deon Stoltz, Western Cape	incorporated in the EMPr and adhered to.	
	DEA&DP, Directorate: Air Quality		
	Management		

14. WESTERN CAPE GOVERNMENT TRANSPORT AND PUBLIC WORKS - ROADS DEPARTMENT

	DATE OF COMMENT, FORMAT				
NO	OF COMMENT, NAME OF	COMMENTS	RESPONSE FROM EAP/APPLICANT/SPECIALIST		
	ORGANISATION/I&AP				
1.	29/11/2021 Letter (received via email on 29/11/2021), Ms Grace Swanepole and Mr SW Carstens with endorsements from Mr A. Koopman and Mr. E. Burger, Western Cape Government: Transport and Public Works - Roads	THREE DRAFT EIA REPORTS FOR THE PROPOSED DEVELOPMENT OF THE THREE KWAGGA WIND ENERGY FACILITIES; NAMELY, THE 279MW KWAGGA WEF 1 (DFFE REF: 14/12/16/3/3/2/2070), THE 341MW KWAGGA WEF 2 (DFFE REF: 14/12/16/3/3/2/2071) AND THE 204.6MW KWAGGA WEF 3 (DFFE REF: 14/12/16/3/3/2/2072), AND ITS ASSOCIATED INFRASTRUCTURE, NEAR BEAUFORT WEST IN THE WESTERN CAPE PROVINCE 1. The following refer: 1.1 This Branch's letter TPW/CFS/RP/LUD/REZ/SUB-01/23 (Job 28641) dated 24 June 2021 to you. Find a copy attached to this letter. 1.2 Your letter dated 22 October 2021 to Interested and/or Affected Party. 2. This Branch remains to offer no objection to the issuing of an Environmental Authorisation in favour of any of the three wind energy facilities, provided that this Branch's letter of 24 June 2021 remains to be complied to.	CSIR: This comment is noted with thanks.		
	20/44/2024		CCID: This commont is noted The Western Con-		
2.	29/11/2021 Letter (received via email on	THREE DRAFT SCOPING REPORTS FOR THE DEVELOPMENT OF THE THREE KWAGGA WIND ENERGY FACILITIES (NAMELY THE 279MW KWAGGA WEF 1, THE 341MW KWAGGA WEF 2	CSIR: This comment is noted. The Western Cape Government: Transport and Public Works – Roads		
	29/11/2021),	AND THE 204.6MW KWAGGA WEF 3) AND ITS ASSOCIATED INFRASTRUCTURE; NEAR	Department was pre-included on the project database		
	Ms Grace Swanepole and Mr	BEAUFORT WEST IN THE WESTERN CAPE PROVINCE	of I&APs and Organs of State at the outset of the		
	SW Carstens with	BEAGIGKI WESTERN GALET KOVINGE	Scoping and EIA Process. This stakeholder was given		
	endorsements from Mr A.	The following refer:	the opportunity to comment on the Draft Scoping		
	Koopman and Mr. E. Burger, Western Cape Government: Transport and Public Works - Roads	 Your e-mail on behalf of CSIR Environmental Management Services on 28 May 2021 to Interested and/or Affected Party. JG Afrika's three transport specialist assessments (respectively for Kwagga Wind Energy Facilities I, 2 and 3) all dated 25 January 2021. This Branch will not object to the issuing of an Environmental Authorisation in 	Report and the Draft EIA Report during the 30-day public comment period. The Western Cape Government: Transport and Public Works — Roads Department will be contacted by the Project Developer and/or Project Contractor during the land		
		favour of any of the three wind energy facilities, provided that this Branch is offered the opportunity to comment on the land use application, at which stage	use application process. This will take place outside of the EIA process.		

NO	DATE OF COMMENT, FORMAT OF COMMENT, NAME OF ORGANISATION/I&AP	COMMENTS	RESPONSE FROM EAP/APPLICANT/SPECIALIST
		this Branch will issue its approvals in terms of Act 21 of 1940 (building restrictions) and Roads Ordinance 19 of 1976 (accesses and construction activities with the road reserves and building lines).	
3.	29/11/2021 Letter (received via email on 29/11/2021), Ms Grace Swanepole and Mr SW Carstens with endorsements from Mr A. Koopman and Mr. E. Burger, Western Cape Government: Transport and Public Works - Roads	 The following (Public) Provincial Roads, all for which this Branch is the Road Authority, are either in the immediate vicinity or traversing the affected farms: Trunk Road 33 section 5 (TR03305; N12) Divisional Road 2301 (DR02301) Minor Road 7066 (OP07066) Minor Road 7067 (OP07067) Minor Road 7070 (OP07070) Minor Road 8806 (OP08806) Minor Road 8807 (OP08807) Minor Road 8824 (OP08824) Minor Road 8825 (OP08825) Minor Road 8828 (OP08828) Minor Road 8831 (OP08831) 	CSIR: This comment is noted. The Specialist Traffic Impact Assessment undertaken during the EIA phase has assessed the presence of these listed roads. Please refer to Chapter 16 for the full, detailed Traffic Impact Assessment.
4.	29/11/2021 Letter (received via email on 29/11/2021), Ms Grace Swanepole and Mr SW Carstens with endorsements from Mr A. Koopman and Mr. E. Burger, Western Cape Government: Transport and Public Works - Roads	Based upon the above-mentioned roads and the farms being served, it appears as if Portion 1 of Farm 386, Portion 3 of Farm 386, Portion 4 of Farm 115 and Portion 6 of Farm 115 are all possibly land locked. This Branch is not aware of any registered servitude rights of way being in place to ensure permanent, legal and unfettered access to those farms.	CSIR: This comment is noted. It is proposed that an internal service road network, connecting the wind turbines and associated project infrastructure, be newly constructed. This has been accommodated for in the Application for EA. A detailed road layout, which was subjected to detailed specialist assessment during the EIA phase, has been included in the Draft and Final EIA Report. The detailed road network connects and provides access to turbines and associated infrastructure located on Portion 1 of Farm 386, Portion 3 of Farm 386, Portion 4 of Farm 115 and Portion 6 of Farm 115. The Project Developer and/or

NO	DATE OF COMMENT, FORMAT OF COMMENT, NAME OF ORGANISATION/I&AP	COMMENTS	RESPONSE FROM EAP/APPLICANT/SPECIALIST
5.	29/11/2021 Letter (received via email on 29/11/2021), Ms Grace Swanepole and Mr SW Carstens with endorsements from Mr A. Koopman and Mr. E. Burger, Western Cape Government: Transport and Public Works - Roads	Regardless of all the Provincial Roads in that vicinity are only TR03305 and DR02301 described as access roads. An impression is created that the other (gravelled) Provincial Roads in that vicinity will be utilised as private roads. All the Provincial Roads Public accessibility must be retained (if not closed — deproclaimed) and they must be evaluated for the purposes of construction, operation and decommissioning.	Project Contractor will lodge the necessary applications to the relevant authority to register servitude rights, where required. Please refer to Figure G.6 which shows the locality of the proposed Kwagga WEF 3 project and the main access road, and Figure G. 7 which shows the detailed internal access road. CSIR: This comment is noted. Only the two stated roads as per the provided comment will be utilised as main access roads to the proposed WEFs. The Project Developer and/or Project Contractor will ensure that all Provincial Public Roads will remain open and accessible to all during the construction, operational and decommissioning phases.
	29/11/2021 Letter (received via email on 29/11/2021), Ms Grace Swanepole and Mr SW Carstens with endorsements from Mr A. Koopman and Mr. E. Burger, Western Cape Government: Transport and Public Works - Roads	 This Branch, for now, will ultimately require the following: Access applications (for alt the accesses to each respective farm portion), which might include servitude rights of way to be registered to ensure permanent, legal and unfettered access to all affected farm portions. It will be required to clearly state which access will serve what purpose (wind energy and / or farming) Construction applications, including wayleaves for third party services, when building restrictions, building lines and road reserves are affected. Abnormal load applications, which may require prior geometric and materials designs to be completed and constructed. Due to the possible longevity of this process it is recommended to commence with these applications as soon as possible. 	CSIR: This comment is noted. The Project Developer and/or Project Contractor will ensure that access applications are submitted and approval obtained prior to the commencement of construction, and these will clearly state the purpose of each access. All relevant permits, such as the wayleaves and abnormal load applications will be applied for by the Project Developer and/or Project Contractor during the detailed design phase prior to construction, after Environmental Authorisation is issued, should such authorisation be granted.

NO	DATE OF COMMENT, FORMAT OF COMMENT, NAME OF ORGANISATION/I&AP	COMMENTS	RESPONSE FROM EAP/APPLICANT/SPECIALIST
		 A geotechnical and geometric design report, including improvement proposals, 	The EMPr notes that a geotechnical and geometric
		must be compiled to ensure that all the roads (on the entire road network) that	design report must be compiled during the detailed
		will be affected by this development are adequately improved and maintained	design phase, post Environmental Authorisation, and
		before any other construction activity may commence on any of the farm portions.	that relevant approvals are obtained from the relevant
		This is to ensure that no more than normal deterioration and additional	authorities prior to commencement of construction.
		maintenance costs are experienced by the Road Authority during the construction	
		and operating phases. It will be required that any design affecting any Proclaimed	The Project Developer will also commission a similar
		Provincial Road must carry this Branch's Chief Directorate Road Design's approval	geotechnical report for approval from the relevant
		before implementation thereof may commence.	authorities prior to commencing with any major
		• Confirmation that a similar geotechnical (as per paragraph 6.4) proposal will be	upgrade or decommissioning phase.
		compiled and approval obtained prior to commencing with any major upgrade or	
		decommissioning phase; whenever that may be.	

15. HERITAGE WESTERN CAPE (HWC)

	DATE OF COMMENT, FORMAT C	F.	
NO.	COMMENT, NAME C	F COMMENTS	RESPONSE FROM EAP/APPLICANT/SPECIALIST
	ORGANISATION/I&AP		
1.	30/11/2021	HERITAGE IMPACT ASSESSMENT: PROPOSED WIND ENERGY FACILITY	CSIR: This comment is noted with thanks. As per the requirements of
	Letter (received via email c	DEVELOPMENT ON PTNS 5, 7 MULSKRAAL 373, PTNS 1, 2, 3 FARM	the HWC, an integrated Heritage Impact Assessment (HIA) including
	30/11/2021),	ARTHURS KRAAL NO.386, PTNS 4, 5, 6, 8 FARM CYFERFONTEIN NO.115	archaeology, cultural landscape, palaeontology and visual aesthetics
	Ms Stephanie Barnardt and M	& FARM ANNEX TAALBOS NO.21, BEAUFORT WEST, SUBMITTED IN	was undertaken and an integrated HIA report compiled. The integrated
	Ayanda Mdludlu, Heritage Wester	TERMS OF SECTION 38(8) OF THE NATIONAL HERITAGE RESOURCES ACT	HIA was submitted to HWC and released to registered conservation
	Cape	(ACT 25 OF 1999)	bodies and the relevant local municipalities for a 30-day consultation
		This matter was discussed at the Impact Assessment Committee	period which extended from 9 October to 9 November 2021 as per the
		(IACom) meeting held on 17 November 2021.	requirements of the HWC (see Appendix D.9). These relevant specialist

NO.	DATE OF COMM COMMENT,		COMMENTS	RESPONSE FROM EAP/APPLICANT/SPECIALIST
	ORGANISATION/	I&AP		
			It was noted that the matter was tabled at Archaeology, Palaeontology and Meteorites Committee (APM) meeting held on the 3 November	assessments are also included in Chapters 11, 12 and 13 of this EIA Report.
			2021 whereby the Committee endorsed the Archaeological Impact Assessment and Palaeontological Impact Assessment dated October	
			2021 with the following recommendations on page 54-55 of the Heritage Impact Assessment;	
2.	30/11/2021 Letter (received 30/11/2021), Ms Stephanie E Ayanda Mdludlu, Cape	Barnardt and M	It is recommended that the proposed Kwagga WEF3 should be authorised but subject to the following conditions which should be incorporated into the Environmental Authorisation: • A pre-construction palaeontological survey of unsurveyed, potentially sensitive sectors of the authorised layout (mitigation recommendations will need to be made afterwards as necessary); • Monitoring for fossils on an on-going basis by the ECO during the construction phase; • A Chance Fossil Finds Procedure must be in place; • A pre-construction archaeological survey of the authorised layout must be commissioned to determine whether any significant archaeological sites still lie within the final footprint (mitigation recommendations will need to be made afterwards as necessary); • No materials (e.g. rocks or bricks) may be removed from any historical sites; • The options for the substation hub and permanent laydown areas that are lowest in elevation are preferred;	CSIR: This recommendation is noted. The conditions listed in this comment have been included in Chapter 20 of this EIA Report as Conditions for EA as well as in the WEF EMPr.
			 Temporary laydown areas must be fully rehabilitated after construction; 	

	DATE OF COMMENT, FORMAT OF		
NO.	COMMENT, NAME OF ORGANISATION/I&AP	COMMENTS	RESPONSE FROM EAP/APPLICANT/SPECIALIST
		 Lighting must be designed to minimise light pollution (e.g. use down lighting, motion sensors), with the red safety lights on the tops of the turbines being of particular concern (a radarbased Audio Visual Warning System should be used); The colours of buildings, roofs, etc should be muted and designed to blend with the natural landscape; and If any archaeological material or human burials are uncovered during the course of development then work in the immediate area should be halted. The find would need to be reported to the heritage authorities and may require inspection by an archaeologist. Such heritage is the property of the state and may require excavation and curation in an approved institution. 	
3.	30/11/2021 Letter (received via email on 30/11/2021), Ms Stephanie Barnardt and Ms Ayanda Mdludlu, Heritage Western Cape	The Committee (IACom) endorsed the heritage Impact Assessment and associated documents as prepared by ASHA Consulting dated October 2021. The Committee supports the recommendations and findings of the heritage impact assessment referred to on page 54-55.	CSIR: This comment is noted with thanks.

16. CENTRAL KAROO DISTRIC MUNICAPLITY

16.1 MUNICPAL HEALTH SERVICES

FINAL ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACT ASSESSMENT REPORT: Scoping and Environmental Impact Assessment for the proposed development of the 204.6 MW Kwagga Wind Energy Facility 3 and associated infrastructure near Beaufort West in the Western Cape

	DATE OF COMMENT, FORMA	T OF		
NO.	COMMENT, NAME	OF	COMMENTS	RESPONSE FROM EAP/APPLICANT/SPECIALIST
	ORGANISATION/I&AP			
1.	25/11/2021,		EIA Project Team	CSIR: This comment is noted.
	Email, Gerrit Van Zyl,			
	Central Karoo District Municip	ality:	Your email below refers.	
	Municipal Health Manager		The Section Municipal Health Services does not not have any	
			comments on the Draft EIA reports for the projects refered to in your	
			email.	
			Kind regards	

17. SOUTH AFRICAN RADIO ASTRONOMY OBSERVATORY (SARAO)

Please note: Section 4.3.1 in Chapter 4 of this Final EIA Report includes information on the Square Kilometre Array (SKA) and Karoo Central Astronomy Advantage Area (KCAAA) in relation to the proposed project. The proposed projects fall outside of the KCAAA, and thus will not have any detrimental impacts on the SKA.

In addition, Appendix I.1 of this EIA Report includes the letter received from SARAO confirming that the proposed Kwagga WEF 1 presents a low risk of interference to the SKA radio telescope with a compliance surplus of 41.53 dBm/Hz.

18. DEPARTMENT OF WATER AND SANITATION (DWS)

	DATE OF COMMENT, FORMAT OF				
NO.	COMMENT,	NAME	OF	COMMENTS	RESPONSE FROM EAP/APPLICANT/SPECIALIST
	ORGANISATION	/I&AP			
1.	25/11/2021,			Dear EMS	CSIR: This comment was received in response to a follow up email and
	Email, Marianne	Classen, DWS	5		request for comment that was sent to officials at the DWS. The EIA
				Please note that these e-mails was forwarded to the Breede Gourits	Project Team responded to Ms Marianne Claasen's email on 25
				Catchment Management Agency (BGCMA) for their further attention	November 2021 notifying Ms Claasen that comments from the BGCMA
				because the area in question is sorting under the BGCMA.	on the Draft EIA Reports were received on 24 November 2021 from Ms

NO.	DATE OF COMMENT, FORMAT OF COMMENT, NAME OF ORGANISATION/I&AP	COMMENTS	RESPONSE FROM EAP/APPLICANT/SPECIALIST
			Zama Mbunquka and have been captured and addressed in the
		Natasha (BGCMA) can you please confirm if this matter was attended	Comments and Responses Reports for the respective projects.
		to.	
		Kind Regards	
		Dear EMS team	
		Thank you your e-mail is noted.	
		Kind Regards	

19. BREEDE-GOURITZ CATCHMENT MANAGEMENT AGENCY

NO	DATE OF COMMENT, FORMAT OF COMMENT, NAME OF ORGANISATION/I&AP	COMMENTS	RESPONSE FROM EAP/APPLICANT/SPECIALIST
1.	24/11/2021, Letter (received via sent on 24/11/2021), Zama Mbunquka and Jan Van Staden, Breede-Gouritz Catchment Management Agency (BGCMA)	COMMENT ON THE DRAFT ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACT ASSESSMENT REPORT FOR THE PROPOSED KWAGGA WIND ENERGY FARM ON REMAINDER OF FARM 17, PORTION 7,10,11,12 OF FARM 17 PORTION 1,2,3 FARM 386, PORTION 4,5,6,8, PORTION 4,5,6,8, BEAUFORT WEST AND PRINCE ALBERT. With reference to the application dated October 2021 and after having band the application and applications because the applications because the following that the applications is a proposite that a following the date of the applications are applications.	CSIR: This comment is noted. The Project Developer was informed during the scoping phase of the S&EIA processes for the proposed projects, of the requirement to apply for water authorisation in terms of section 21 (c) and (i) water uses of the National Water Act, 1998 (NWA) as stipulated.
		1. Please note that the proposed Kwagga wind energy development constitutes section 21 (c)& (i) water uses of the National Water Act, 1998 (Act 36 of 1998). The applicant must obtain authorization in terms of the aforementioned Act prior to the commencement of the proposed windfarm energy development. It is noted on the comments and	The Project Applicant will apply for and obtain the required authorisation prior to commencement of construction, should this project be granted Environmental Authorisation and receive preferred bidder status in terms of the REIPPPP.

NO	DATE OF COMMENT, FORMAT OF COMMENT, NAME OF ORGANISATION/I&AP	COMMENTS	RESPONSE FROM EAP/APPLICANT/SPECIALIST
		response report that the applicant will obtain necessary authorization	
	24/44/2024	prior to commencing with the development.	COURT!
2.	24/11/2021,	2. The response provided in the comments and response report have	CSIR: This comment is noted. Please refer to Section 6 of this
	Letter (received via sent on	addressed all the issues raise by this office on the letter dated 30 June	Comments and Responses Reports for the comments received from
	24/11/2021), Zama Mbunquka and	2021.	the BGCMA during the Scoping Phase of the proposed projects in
	Jan Van Staden,		letter dated 30/06/2021.
	Breede-Gouritz Catchment		
	Management Agency (BGCMA)		
3.	24/11/2021,	3. Please note that BGCMA comments only pertains to the above	CSIR: This comment is noted. As stated above, the Project Applicant
	Letter (received via sent on	mentioned properties, the other properties fall outside the Jurisdiction	will apply for and obtain the required authorisation from the
	24/11/2021), Zama Mbunquka and	of this Catchment Management Agency, hence the applicant must	relevant Catchment Management Agencies prior to
	Jan Van Staden,	obtain separate comments and Water Use Authorisation from the	commencement of construction, should this project be granted
	Breede-Gouritz Catchment	Department of Water and Sanitation.	Environmental Authorisation and receive preferred bidder status in
	Management Agency (BGCMA)	Notwithstanding the above, the responsibility rests with the applicant	terms of the REIPPPP.
		to identify any sources of pollution from his undertaking and to take	
		appropriate measures to prevent any pollution of the environment.	The Project Developer has been made aware of the responsibility to
		Failure to comply with the requirements of the National Water Act 1998	identify any sources of pollution from their undertaking and to take
		(Act 36 of 1998) could lead to legal action being instituted against the	appropriate measures to prevent any pollution of the receiving
		applicant.	environment. The failure to comply with the requirements of the
		The BGCMA reserves the right to revise initial comments and request	National Water Act, 1998 (Act 36 of 1998), which could lead to legal
		further information based on any additional information that might be	action being instituted against the Project Applicant, has also been
		received.	communicated to the Project Developer.

20. SOUTH AFRICAN CIVIL AVIATION AUTHORITY

NO	DATE OF COMMENT, FORMAT OF COMMENT, NAME OF	COMMENTS	RESPONSE FROM EAP/APPLICANT/SPECIALIST
NO.	ORGANISATION/I&AP		
1.	22/11/2021,	Good day,	CSIR: The Project Developer has been liaising with the SACAA about
	Email, Simphiwe Masilela,		these proposed Wind farm projects since February 2021, specifically
	CAA	Kindly note a response has already been forwarded for the above	relating to the requirement and need for the Obstacle Evaluation,
		mentioned (refer to email attached herein)	Application and Approval with the SACAA. Proof of correspondence between the Project Developer and the SACAA is included in Appendix
		As per Obstacle Notice 1/2021 – Appointment of New Windfarm	D of this Final EIA Report. Please note wind farm applications based on
		Obstacle Application Service Provider , the service of assessing	project boundaries were submitted to ATNS on 28 October 2021.
		Windfarms as from 1 May 2021 was handed over at Air Traffic and	
		Navigation Services (ATNS). All EIA comment requests regarding Wind	This SACAA comment regarding the appointment of the Air Traffic and
		Energy facilities must be forwarded to ATNS. This in due time will	Navigation Services (ATNS) as the new Obstacle Application Service
		apply to Solar Plants as well, we are currently in the process of	Provider has been noted. Please note, the Project Developer has
		transitioning the service. Once the process has been concluded, the	submitted the necessary documents for the Obstacle Application and
		published notice will be updated on the SACAA website as soon as practicable.	Approval has been lodged with the ATNS on 28 October 2021.
		In the meantime kindly follow the following procedure for Solar PV):	The Civil Aviation Site Sensitivity Verification (SSV) is included in Chapter 17 of this EIA Report. The SSV notes that low sensitivity from a civil aviation perspective identified by the Screening Tool was verified
		http://www.caa.co.za/Obstacles%20Forms/CA139-27.pdf application	by the EAP during the site visit.
		form: apply one centre point co-ordinate, to the said proposed site	
		Kindly note that when the time for formal application comes, the	The Air Traffic and Navigation Services SOC Limited (ATNS) data (May
		client is required to provide the following together with the	2021) indicates that there is a licensed aerodome (i.e. Karoo Gateway)
		application:	located approximately 80 km away from the proposed project site in a
			northerly direction, as well as one licensed aerodrome (i.e.
		o A kmz/kml (Google Earth) file reflecting the footprint to the	Oudtshoorn) and one unlicensed aerodrome (i.e. Willowmore) located
		proposed development site.	more than 60 km away from the proposed project site in a southerly
		o Provide coordinates, Height and Elevation as per excel	direction. The ATNS data further notes that although Conventional
		spreadsheet attached herein	Routes relating to Air Traffic Services (ATS) associated with the Cape
		O Also indicate the highest structure of the project & the	Town International Airport Airspace intersect the 50 km radius area
		Overhead electric power transmission line	

FINAL ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACT ASSESSMENT REPORT: Scoping and Environmental Impact Assessment for the proposed development of the 204.6 MW Kwagga Wind Energy Facility 3 and associated infrastructure near Beaufort West in the Western Cape

	DATE OF COMMENT, FORMAT OF		
NO.	COMMENT, NAME OF	COMMENTS	RESPONSE FROM EAP/APPLICANT/SPECIALIST
NO.	ORGANISATION/I&AP		
			from the project site, none intersect with the proposed Kwagga WEF 3
		As published on SACAA website, should the site be outside of a 3km	project airspace. The ATNS data also notes that Area Navigation Routes
		radius from an airport and not found to be on the on the approach	(ANR) associated with the Cape Town International Airport Airspace
		and departure areas, then no Glint and Glare study would be required.	intersect with the 50 km radius area from the project site, but none
		Should you require more information please contact the inspectorate	intersects with the proposed Kwagga WEF 3 project airspace.
		at obstacles@caa.co.za	

21. AIR TRAFFIC NAVIGATION SERVICES (ATNS)

	DATE OF COMMENT, FORMAT OF			
NO.	COMMENT, NA	AME OF	COMMENTS	RESPONSE FROM EAP/APPLICANT/SPECIALIST
	ORGANISATION/I&A	Р		
1.	25/11/2021,		Good morning	CSIR: This comment is noted. Please refer to Chapter 17 for proof of
	Email, Ferdi Smit,			correspondence with the civil aviation authorities regarding the
	ATNS		ATNS has no comments on the draft EIA reports as mentioned below.	potential impact of the proposed Kwagga WEF 3 on the Air Traffic and
				Navigational Services. Please note wind farm applications based on
			We will only be involved at a later stage when the application for the	project boundaries were submitted to ATNS on 28 Oct 2021.
			actual wind turbines is submitted to ATNS/CAA for impact analysis on	
			ATNS equipment and procedures.	
			Regards	

22. SOUTH AFRICAN NATIONAL ROADS AGENCY (SANRAL)

FINAL ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACT ASSESSMENT REPORT: Scoping and Environmental Impact Assessment for the proposed development of the 204.6 MW Kwagga Wind Energy Facility 3 and associated infrastructure near Beaufort West in the Western Cape

	DATE OF COMMENT, FORMAT OF		
NO.	COMMENT, NAME OF	COMMENTS	RESPONSE FROM EAP/APPLICANT/SPECIALIST
	ORGANISATION/I&AP		
1.	25/11/2021,	Dear Sir/Madam	CSIR: This comment is noted. Ms Nicole Abrahams was informed that a
	Email, Nicole Abrahams,		detailed Traffic Impact Assessment was conducted for the proposed
	National Roads Agency	The proposed project boundary appears to be quite a distance from	Kwagga WEF 1 and potential impacts assessed. Please refer to Chapter
	Environmental Coordinator:	the N12. SANRAL would only require that a Traffic Impact Assessment	16 of this EIA Report for the full Traffic Impact Assessment.
	Western Region	(TIA) be conducted. Our roads will therefor be affected during	
		transportation of the equipment to site.	

23. BIRD LIFE SOUTH AFRICA

	DATE OF COMMENT, FORMAT OF		
NO.	COMMENT, NAME OF	COMMENTS	RESPONSE FROM EAP/APPLICANT/SPECIALIST
	ORGANISATION/I&AP		
1.	25/11/2021,	Good day	CSIR: This comment is noted.
	Email, Samantha Ralston-Paton,		
	BirdLife South Africa: Birds and	Many thanks for following up. We acknowledge receipt, but currently	
	Renewable Energy Project Manager	do not have the capacity to review the application.	
		Kind regards	
		Sam	

24. AVIC INTERNATIONAL FLIGHT TRAINING ACADEMY (PTY) LTD

	DATE OF COMMENT, FORMAT OF		F	
NO.	COMMENT, NA	ME C	F COMMENTS	RESPONSE FROM EAP/APPLICANT/SPECIALIST
	ORGANISATION/I&A			
1.	01/12/2021,		Dear EIA Project Team,	CSIR: This comment is noted. Please note wind farm applications based
	Email, Francois Siebri	s, AVIC		on project boundaries were submitted to ATNS on 28 October 2021.
	International Fligh	t Trainir	As the Three Kwagga wind energy facilities are on the Southern	The Civil Aviation Site Sensitivity Verification (SSV) is included in
	Academy (Pty) Ltd: CE	0	boundary of FAD 29 and only cover a small portion of said area, AIFA	Chapter 17 of this EIA Report. The SSV notes that low sensitivity from a
			has no objection to these facilities.	civil aviation perspective identified by the Screening Tool was verified
				by the EAP during the site visit.
			AIFA would either reserve the right for objection to any possible ADIO	
			Interference that might/could be experience from such facility. The	The Air Traffic and Navigation Services SOC Limited (ATNS) data (May
			facility is direct underneath the main Navigation route between FABW	2021) indicates that there is a licensed aerodome (i.e. Karoo Gateway)
			and FAGG, a main training route for AIFA aircraft.	located approximately 80 km away from the proposed project site in a
				northerly direction, as well as one licensed aerodrome (i.e.
			Regards.	Oudtshoorn) and one unlicensed aerodrome (i.e. Willowmore) located
				more than 60 km away from the proposed project site in a southerly
			Francois Siebrits	direction. The ATNS data further notes that although Conventional
				Routes relating to Air Traffic Services (ATS) associated with the Cape
				Town International Airport Airspace intersect the 50 km radius area
				from the project site, none intersect with the proposed Kwagga WEF 3
				project airspace. The ATNS data also notes that Area Navigation Routes
				(ANR) associated with the Cape Town International Airport Airspace
				intersect with the 50 km radius area from the project site, but none
				intersects with the proposed Kwagga WEF 3 project airspace.